BOSTON EDISON

NUCLEAR OPERATIONS DEPARTMENT

PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION

Procedure No. 1.3.4-14

EOP VALIDATION PROGRAM

Total Pages: 37

Approved ___HF Brennan___ 7/7/87
Quality Assurance Manager /Date

Approved _____ 7/6/87
Nuclear Operations Manager /Date

Safety Evaluation: ~~Required~~/Not Required

FOR INFORMATION
ONLY

Table of Contents

I   PURPOSE

This document provides appropriate requirements and instructions for validating the PNPS Emergency Operating Procedures (EOPs).

II.   APPLICABILITY

The requirements and instructions specified herein apply to the overall process of developing new EOPs and revising existing EOPs.

This document supplements existing PNPS procedures governing procedure preparation, revision, and control but does not supplant them.

III.   DEFINITIONS

EOP Source Documents - Guidelines ; rocedures, data, and other records which comprise the technical basis of the EOPs and requirements for their development.

EOP Operational Correctness - A EOP characteristic that refers to the compatibility of the procedures with plant hardware, plant responses, operator capabilities, other plant procedures, and the composition and manning level of on-shift personnel.

EOP Validation - The process of confirming and documenting the operational correctness and usability of the EOPs.

EOP Usability - An EOP characteristic that refers to the adequacy of the procedures' level of detail and the understandability of the information presented in the procedure.

FOR INFORMATION
ONLY

IV. REQUIREMENTS AND INSTRUCTIONS

A. Validation Methodology and Evaluation Techniques

As stated above, the general attributes of EOP usability and operational correctness are evaluated through the validation process. The specific EOP characteristics encompassed by this definition are as follows:

Attribute	Procedure Characteristic
Usability	Level of detail Understandability
Operational Correctness	Compatibility with plant hardware Compatibility with plant response Compatibility with other plant procedures Compatibility with operator capabilities Compatibilty with normal shift composition and manning level

The evaluation criteria against which each of these procedure characteristics is evaluated are listed in Table 1 (page 5).

The EOP Validation Program employs the following evaluation techniques:

- Observation of licensed operators using the EOPs during real-time execution of multiple transient and accident scenarios conducted on the PNPS simulator.

- Walkthroughs/talkthroughs by licensed operators of those EOPs (and steps of EOPs) which cannot be effectively addressed through simulated exercises. Procedure walkthroughs shall be conducted in a control room setting (either at the plant or the PNPS simulator).

- Collection of operator opinions using prepared questionnaires.

Performance of validation activities and the results of associated evaluation shall be documented on the forms illustrated in Attachments A, B, and C. Additional details regarding preparation for and execution of individual EOP Validation Program activities are provided in the remaining sections of this procedure.

Table 1: EOP Validation Evaluation Criteria

Characteristic	Evaluation Criteria
Level of detail	Does the EOP provide the information necessary for operators to evaluate key plant conditions and take the appropriate action?
	Is the level of detail provided in the EOP consistent with the knowledge and capabilities of the intended users?
	Where appropriate, are response times, equipment limitations, instrument inaccuracies, and contingency actions adequately addressed in the EOP?
Understandability	Are operators able to correctly, and in a timely manner, interpret each of the steps of the EOPs?
Compatibility with plant hardware	Does the existing plant design permit the performance of prescribed actions as directed (specifically considering the availability of both information and controls), and in the sequence specified?
Compatibility with plant response	Are the specified actions effective in stabilizing plant conditions?
Compatibility with other procedures	Is the wording that is used in the EOPs consistent with that of other plant procedures?
	Where references to plant procedures are provided, does the specified procedure exist, is the number and title correct, and does it provide the appropriate instructions for the task or activity intended by the context of the reference in the EOP?
	Are the actions prescribed in the EOPs compatible with those specified in other plant procedures which may have to be executed concurrently?

IV. B. <u>Scenario Development</u>

Detailed event scenarios shall be developed to guide the performance of EOP validation exercises. The scenarios shall be of varying complexity and shall exercise to the fullest extent possible the scope of plant conditions and key actions addressed by the EOPs.

For the validation of revisions to the EOPs, the scope of scenarios need only encompass the changes made to the previously-approved procedures. If existing scenarios are determined to be adequate for this purpose, no new scenarios need be developed.

Scenario development should be a coordinated effort by a group of individuals having expertise in EOP development, plant systems and operations, and operator training. A trial check-out of the scenarios should be conducted prior to being used in the actual EOP validation exercises to ensure that the initial conditions and specified sequence of malfunctions will generate the desired plant symptoms and conditions. Also prior to actual use in validating the EOPs, scenarios shall be reviewed and approved by the Nuclear Training Organization.

The following process is suggested for developing the scenarios:

1. Identify the EOP flowpaths to be exercised.

2. At each major decision point in an EOP flowpath, specify the plant symptoms or conditions which would constrain operators to the desired response pattern.

3. Define system degradations, instructor actions, or event complications which could cause the specified plant symptoms or conditions.

4. Select initial plant conditions and simulator malfunctions which will initiate and cause plant conditions to progress in accordance with the desired response patterns.

5. Record the necessary sequence of instructor actions, the anticipated plant response, and proper operator actions on an EOP Validation Scenario Outline (illustrated on page 3 of Attachment A.)

6. Prepare a simple matrix of EOP flowchart paths vs. scenarios to confirm that the key plant conditions and operator actions addressed by the EOPs are, in fact, covered by the combined scope of the scenarios.

IV.   B.   Scenario Development   (Continued)

7.   Complete an EOP Validation Scenario Cover Sheet (illustrated on page 4 of Attachment A) to accompany each Scenario Outline.

An EOP Validation Test Plan (illustrated on page 5 of Attachment A) shall be developed which specifies the sequence for running the scenarios. Simulator exercises for each day should progress from simple to complex scenarios. In order to minimize the possibility of the control room operators responding in advance to "expected" events, the following factors should also be considered in developing the Test Plan:

*   Similar scenarios should not be executed consecutively.

*   The initiating event, plant symptoms, and the flowpath through each of the EOPs should be varied in successive scenarios.

C.   Validation Team Member Composition and Qualifications

The team formed to conduct EOP validation exercises shall be composed of a designated Director, a simulator instructor, and a group of licensed operators. The designated Director shall be fully knowledgeable of the new and revised EOPs to be validated, and shall be experienced in BWR plant operations. Licensed operators who are participating in a validation exercise shall be organized to form a standard on-shift complement of licensed control room operators including a Watch Engineer and Shift Supervisor, each performing their respective duties and assigned responsibilities.

All exercise participants shall have previously completed a formal training session on the organization, structure, conventions, and technical content of the EOP(s) being validated.

D.   Performance of EOP Validation Exercises

EOP validation exercises shall be executed in the approved sequence specified in the Test Plan. The Exercise Director shall coordinate execution of each scenario.

The names of the Director, the simulator instructor, and the participating control room operators shall be recorded on the EOP Validation Exercise Performance Record (illustrated on page 6 of Appendix A) for each exercise conducted.

IV.   D.   <u>Performance of EOP Validation Exercises</u> (Continued)

Each exercise shall be conducted as follows:

1.   Set the initial plant conditions as specified on the EOP
      Validation Scenario Cover Sheet and in the EOP Validation Scenario
      Outline.

2.   Describe the initial plant conditions to all exercise participants.

      For exercises conducted during the first part of a program for
      validating an entire set of EOPs, a brief description should also
      be given on the scenario's expected progression of events,
      anticipated plant responses, and the EOP(s) and EOP flowpaths
      intended to be validated. As participants become familiar with
      the validation process, this pre-exercise briefing should become
      increasingly more limited in scope and shall eventually be
      discontinued.

3.   Run the scenario in accordance with the appropriate instructions
      detailed in the Scenario Outline. If a walkthrough/talkthrough
      sequence is required, the Director shall ask the control room
      operators to demonstrate and describe in detail the actions they
      would perform in response to the described plant conditions. As
      appropriate, the simulator instructor shall supply additional
      information specified in the Scenario Outline, including a
      description of the plant response to the actions the operators
      identify as having been taken.

4.   Compare the actions taken (or described as having been taken) by
      the control room operators to the associated actions specified in
      the Scenario Outline. The Director (or designated assistant(s))
      should note the following performance deviations at the
      appropriate location in the "Notes" column of the Scenario Outline:

          •   Performance of an inappropriate step or action

          •   Formulation of an incorrect response to a decision

          •   Misinterpretation of a procedure step

          •   Failure to perform a required (or expected) step or
                action

          •   Violation of a Limit

          •   Failure to observe a specified caution

      If the Operator Actions listed on the Scenario Outline are
      performed correctly, a check mark (✓) should be placed in the
      "Notes" column alongside the action statement.

FOR INFORMATION
ONLY

E.   Recording the Results of EOP Validation Exercises

After finishing each scenario, the Director shall complete an EOP
Validation Exercise Checklist (Attachment B) and each participating
control room operator shall complete an EOP Validation Exercise
Questionnaire (Attachment C.)

The Director shall review all of the completed Validation Exercise
Questionnaires with the simulator instructor and control room operators
who participated in the exercise prior to beginning the next scenario.
Additional explanatory remarks shall be recorded directly on the
respective Questionnaires as appropriate.

The Director shall assign a unique identification number to each
performance deviation, procedure discrepancy, operator concern, and
other deficiency identified on the completed Validation Scenario
Outlines, the Exercise Checklists, and Exercise Questionnaires.
Multiple occurrences of a particular deviation, discrepancy, concern or
other deficiency may be assigned the same number.

The Director shall also complete a Part I EOP Validation Discrepancy
Report (illustrated on page 7 of Attachment A) for each numbered
performance deviation, procedure discrepancy, operator concern, and
other identified deficiency. A complete description of the discrepancy
shall be provided; if more space is needed for this purpose, a
Continuation Sheet (illustrated on page 9 of Attachment A) shall be
used and the "Continuation Sheet Attached" box on the report shall be
checked. The sequential and total number of Continuation Sheets used
for an individual discrepancy shall be identified in the appropriate
blanks on each Continuation Sheet.

At the conclusion of all EOP validation exercises, the Director shall
verify that all of the following items have been properly completed for
each scenario:

1.   EOP Validation Scenario Outlines and respective Cover Sheets

2.   EOP Validation Exercise Performance Records

3.   EOP Validation Exercise Checklists

4.   EOP Validation Exercise Questionnaires

5.   Part I EOP Validation Discrepancy Reports and associated
     Continuation Sheets

6.   EOP Validation Test Plan

E.   Recording the Results of EOP Validation Exercises
      (Continued)

When completed, all of the items listed above shall be forwarded to the
Nuclear Operations Section Manager for subsequent evaluation,
resolution, and correction of identified discrepancies as described in
Section F.

F.   Evaluating, Resolving, and Correcting Identified Discrepancies

Each performance deviation, EOP discrepancy, operator concern, and
other discrepancy identified through the validation process shall be
analyzed to determine whether any corrective action is required.
Resolution of all identified discrepancies and, if required, completion
of associated corrective action(s) shall be documented on Part II of an
EOP Validation Discrepancy Report (illustrated on page 8 on Attachment
A.) If no corrective action is required, appropriate justification
shall be provided.

The analysis and resolution of EOP discrepancies and the identification
of appropriate corrective actions should be performed as a cooperative
effort of several individuals having expertise in the EOPs, the EOP
source documents, plant operation, and control room operator training.
The procedure author(s) and individuals who participated in the
validation exercises should also participate in the evaluation and
resolution of identified discrepancies if possible. The following
process shall be followed in completing this task:

1.   Review the description of the discrepancy. (If those who
      participated in the validation exercises are also participating in
      the discrepancy review and resolution process, they can supply
      additional information, as necessary.)

2.   Determine whether any corrective action is necessary.

3.   If corrective action is appropriate, develop a recommended
      solution which corrects the discrepancy. Solutions may include
      changes to the EOP, additions to the EOP training program,
      modifications to plant equipment, or revisions to EOP source
      documents.

F. **Evaluating, Resolving, and Correcting Identified Discrepancies**
(Continued)

4. Document the agreed-upon corrective action on Part II of the EOP
Validation Discrepancy Report, using Continuation Sheets as
necessary to completely record the action to be taken. If it is
concluded that no corrective action is required, provide
appropriate justification. The individual who prepares the
description of the required corrective action (or the
justification for no corrective action) shall sign and date the
Report in the space provided.

5. When the corrective action has been implemented, the individual
who completes the corrective action shall sign and date the
associated EOP Validation Discrepancy Report Part II in the space
provided.

6. Satisfactory completion of the required corrective action shall be
independently verified by an individual designated by the Nuclear
Operations Section Manager. The person who performs this task
shall sign and date the associated EOP Validation Discrepancy
Report Part II in the space provided.

G. **Documentation**

Documentation of the validation of EOPs shall consist of the following
items:

1. EOP Validation Scenario Outlines and respective Cover Sheets

2. EOP Validation Exercise Performance Records

3. EOP Validation Exercise Checklists

4. EOP Validation Exercise Questionnaires

5. Part I EOP Validation Discrepancy Reports and associated
Continuation Sheets for each identified discrepancy

6. Part II EOP Validation Discrepancy Reports and associated
Continuation Sheets for each identified discrepancy

G. Documentation (Continued)

The completed documentation package shall be returned to the Nuclear
Operations Manager. A review of all materials for compliance with the
requirements and instructions of the EOP Verification Program shall be
performed by the Nuclear Operations Manager and the QA Director; these
individuals shall sign and date the EOP Validation Record (illustrated
on page 10 of Attachment A) when they have determined that all
requirements have been satisfactorily completed.

All records of EOP Validation shall be retained as specified by NOO
Procedure 1.3.7.

V. ATTACHMENTS

A. Forms For Development and Performance of EOP
    Validation Program Activities

B. EOP Validation Program Exercise Checklist

C. EOP Validation Program Exercise Questionnaire

FOR INFORMATIO
ONLY

(

ATTACHMENT A

FORMS FOR
DEVELOPMENT AND PERFORMANCE
OF
EOP VALIDATION PROGRAM
ACTIVITIES

( )

(

FOR INFORMATION
ONLY

Table of Contents

Scenario # _____   PNPS EOP VALIDATION SCENARIO OUTLINE   Page _____ of _____

TIME	INSTRUCTOR ACTION	PLANT RESPONSE	OPERATOR ACTION	NOTES

FOR INFORMATION
ONLY

BOSTON EDISON COMPANY
PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION

EOP VALIDATION SCENARIO COVER SHEET

SCENARIO # _____

DESCRIPTION OF EVENT(S)/CONDITIONS (summary)

PROCEDURES EXERCISED
    (number and revision, and scope of coverage)

INITIAL CONDITIONS (simulator IC number and description)

SIMULATOR MALFUNCTIONS (number and description)

EQUIPMENT OUT OF SERVICE (list)

ESTIMATED RUN TIME OF SCENARIO (hours) _____

Prepared by: _____

                                                Date: _____

Reviewed/Approved by: _____
                        Nuclear Training Organization

                                                Date: _____

FOR INFORMATION
ONLY

BOSTON EDISON COMPANY
PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION

Rev. _____

EOP VALIDATION TEST PLAN

DAY #_____: (Date _____)

Sequence	Scenario #	Run Time	Remarks
1.	_____	_____	_____
2.	_____	_____	_____
3.	_____	_____	_____
4.	_____	_____	_____
5.	_____	_____	_____
6.	_____	_____	_____

DAY #_____: (Date _____)

Sequence	Scenario #	Run Time	Remarks
1.	_____	_____	_____
2.	_____	_____	_____
3.	_____	_____	_____
4.	_____	_____	_____
5.	_____	_____	_____
6.	_____	_____	_____

Approved: Exercise Director _____

Date _____

BOSTON EDISON COMPANY
PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION

EOP VALIDATION EXERCISE PERFORMANCE RECORD

SCENARIO # _____

PARTICIPANTS

Exercise Director _____

Simulator Director _____

Control Room _____
Operators
(Name and shift _____
position)
_____

_____

PERFORMANCE CHECKLIST

|_| Initial Conditions Set

|_| Participants briefed

|_| Scenario executed: Date _____ Time _____

|_| Performance deviations noted on Scenario Outline

|_| EOP Validation Exercise Checklist completed

|_| EOP Validation Exercise Questionnaire completed

|_| Exercise results reviewed with participants

|_| Part I EOP Validation Discrepancy Reports completed
    for each identified performance deviation, procedure
    discrepancy, operator concern, and other deficiency

All checklist items completed:

/s/ _____   _____
    Exercise Director   Date

BOSTON EDISON COMPANY
PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION

EOP VALIDATION DISCREPANCY REPORT

Part I

DISCREPANCY ID # _____

SCENARIO # DURING WHICH DISCREPANCY WAS NOTED _____

SOURCE OF DISCREPANCY

|‾| Validation Scenario Outline

|‾| Validation Exercise Checklist

|‾| Validation Exercise Questionnaire

|‾| Other (describe) _____

EOP APPLICABILITY

EOP number(s)   Flowchart Elements
and revision   (describe)

_____   _____

_____   _____

- -
DESCRIPTION OF DISCREPANCY

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

                                  Continuation Sheet(s) Attached |‾|

FOR INFORMATION
ONLY

BOSTON EDISON COMPANY
PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION

EOP VALIDATION DISCREPANCY REPORT

Part II

DISCREPANCY ID # _____

EOP APPLICABILITY (Number and revision) _____

_____

- -

CORRECTIVE ACTION (Description)

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

Continuation Sheet(s) Attached |_|

Prepared by:

    Name (Print) _____

    Signature _____ Date _____

Implemented by:

    Name (Print) _____

    Signature _____ Date _____

Completion verified by:

    Name (Print) _____

    Signature _____ Date _____

FOR INFORMATION
ONLY

BOSTON EDISON COMPANY
PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION

EOP VALIDATION DISCREPANCY REPORT

Continuation Sheet

DISCREPANCY ID # _____

EOP APPLICABILITY (Number and revision) _____

_____

Continuation of: Part I |‾| Sheet _____ of _____

Part II |‾| Sheet _____ of _____

- -

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

FOR INFORMATION
ONLY

BOSTON EDISON COMPANY
PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION

EOP VALIDATION RECORD

EOPs VALIDATED (Number and Revision)

_____   _____

_____   _____

_____   _____

_____   _____

_____   _____

ATTACHMENTS

|_| EOP Validation Scenario Outlines and respective Cover Sheets

|_| EOP Validation Exercise Performance Records

|_| EOP Validation Exercise Checklists

|_| EOP Validation Exercise Questionnaires

|_| Part I EOP Validation Discrepancy Reports and associated
    Continuation Sheets for each identified discrepancy

REVIEWED BY:

Nuclear Operations
Manager   _____

                                      Date _____

QA Director   _____

                                      Date _____

ATTACHMENT B

EOP VALIDATION PROGRAM
EXERCISE CHECKLIST

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING EOP VALIDATION EXERCISE CHECKLIST

The questions of this checklist shall be answered by the Exercise Director. One set of responses should be completed at the conclusion of each scenario.

This checklist should be completed as follows:

1. Use black ink.

2. Identify the scenario number upon which the attached answers and comments are based, and the date on which this scenario was run.

   Scenario # _____ Date _____

3. Enter the scenario number on the top of each attached page in the space provided.

4. Answer each of the attached questions "yes" or "no" based on observation of the actions of the control room operators during the scenario. In the "Comments" section under each question provide EOP references as appropriate for the answer given. Also provide any additional remarks necessary to fully explain identified discrepancies, deficiencies, etc. Comments should be as specific as possible. Use the attached Comment Continuation Sheet when additional space is necessary to adequately explain a response to a particular question. Do not use the same Comment Continuation Sheet for making comments that are applicable to different questions.

5. When answers to all questions are completed, sign below.

NOTE

Discrepancy ID numbers shall be assigned after all EOP validation exercises have been completed.

Completed by:

   Name (print) _____

   Signature _____

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

SCENARIO # _____

EOP VALIDATION EXERCISE CHECKLIST

1. Did the operators recognize the occurrence of   Yes   No
    entry conditions and select the appropriate
    EOP(s)?

    Comments _____

    _____

    _____

                    Comment Continuation Sheet attached |‾|

    Discrepancy ID # _____

2. Did the operators correctly perform all   Yes   No
    required actions, and do so in the proper
    sequence?

    Comments _____

    _____

    _____

                    Comment Continuation Sheet attached |‾|

    Discrepancy ID # _____

3. Did the operators perform any inappropriate   Yes   No
    actions?

    Comments _____

    _____

    _____

                    Comment Continuation Sheet attached |‾|

    Discrepancy ID # _____

FOR INFORMATION
ONLY

SCENARIO # _____

EOP VALIDATION EXERCISE CHECKLIST   (Continued)

4.   Did the operators appear to have difficulty   Yes   No
      understanding any of the decisions or
      instructional steps presented in the EOP(s)?

      Comments _____

      _____

      _____   _____

                        Comment Continuation Sheet attached |‾|

Discrepancy ID # _____

5.   Were the operators able to comply with all   Yes   No
      prescribed instructions and actions?

      Comments _____

      _____

      _____

                        Comment Continuation Sheet attached |‾|

Discrepancy ID # _____

6.   Were the prescribed instructions and actions   Yes   No
      effective in stabilizing plant conditions?

      Comments _____

      _____

      _____

                        Comment Continuation Sheet attached |‾|

Discrepancy ID # _____

SCENARIO # _____

EOP VALIDATION EXERCISE CHECKLIST (Continued)

7.   Did the operators appear to need additional   Yes   No
        guidance at any time during the transient?

        Comments _____

        _____

        _____

                Comment Continuation Sheet attached |_|

Discrepancy ID # _____ _____

8.   Were all necessary system controls and   Yes   No
        instrumentation available to the operators?

        Comments _____

        _____

        _____

                Comment Continuation Sheet attached |_|

Discrepancy ID # _____ _____

9.   Additional comments:

FOR INFORMATION
ONLY

SCENARIO # _____

EOP VALIDATION EXERCISE CHECKLIST

Comment Continuation Sheet

Continuation of comments on Checklist Question number: _____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

FOR INFORMATION
ONLY

ATTACHMENT C

EOP VALIDATION PROGRAM
EXERCISE QUESTIONNAIRE

FOR INFORMATION
ONLY

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING EOP VALIDATION EXERCISE QUESTIONNAIRE

Each control room operator participant is requested to complete this questionnaire based on personal impressions and observations during the scenario just performed.

This Questionnaire should be completed as follows:

1. Use black ink.

2. Identify the scenario number upon which the attached answers and comments are based, and the date on which this scenario was run.

    Scenario # _____ Date _____

3. Enter the scenario number on the top of each attached page in the space provided.

4. Answer each of the attached questions "yes" or "no" based on the results of the scenario just executed. In the "Comments" section under each question provide EOP references as appropriate for the answer given. Also provide any additional remarks necessary to fully explain identified discrepancies, deficiencies, etc. Comments should be as specific as possible. Use the attached Comment Continuation Sheet when additional space is necessary to adequately explain a response to a particular question. Additional copies of Comment Continuation Sheets are available; do not use the same Comment Continuation Sheet for making comments that are applicable to different questions.

5. When answers to all questions are completed, sign below.

NOTE

Discrepancy ID numbers will be assigned
by the Exercise Director after
all EOP validation exercises have
been completed.

Completed by:

Name (print) _____

Signature _____

FOR INFORMATION
ONLY

SCENARIO # _____

EOP VALIDATION EXERCISE QUESTIONNAIRE

1. Was it easy to determine when entry to an EOP   Yes   No
    was required?

    Comments _____

    _____

    _____

                    Comment Continuation Sheet attached |‾|

Discrepancy ID # _____

2. Was any instruction or decision in any of the   Yes   No
    EOPs difficult to understand? Ambiguous? etc.

    Comments _____

    _____

    _____

                    Comment Continuation Sheet attached |‾|

Discrepancy ID # _____

3. Were you able to perform all the prescribed   Yes   No
    actions in the specified sequence?

    Comments _____

    _____

    _____

                    Comment Continuation Sheet attached |‾|

Discrepancy ID # _____

FOR INFORMATIO
ONLY

SCENARIO # _____

EOP VALIDATION EXERCISE QUESTIONNAIRE (Continued)

4.   Has the flowpath through the EOP(s) easy to   Yes   No
       follow?

       Comments _____

       _____

       _____

                      Comment Continuation Sheet attached |‾|

Discrepancy ID # _____

5.   Did you need any additional procedural   Yes   No
       guidance at any time during the transient?

       Comments _____

       _____

       _____

                      Comment Continuation Sheet attached |‾|

Discrepancy ID # _____

6.   Has any unnecessary information present in   Yes   No
       the EOP(s)

       Comments _____

       _____

       _____

                      Comment Continuation Sheet attached |‾|

Discrepancy ID # _____

FOR INFORMATIO
ONLY

SCENARIO # _____

EOP VALIDATION EXERCISE QUESTIONNAIRE (Continued)

7.　　Was the terminology used in the EOP(s)　　　　Yes　　　No
　　　consistent with that used on the control
　　　panels and in other procedures?

　　　Comments　_____

　　　_____

　　　_____

　　　　　　　　Comment Continuation Sheet attached |‾|

Discrepancy ID # _____

8.　　Were all graphs and tables in the EOP(s) easy　Yes　　　No
　　　to read and interpret?

　　　Comments　_____

　　　_____

　　　_____

　　　　　　　　Comment Continuation Sheet attached |‾|

Discrepancy ID # _____

9.　　Were all required instrumentation and controls　Yes　　　No
　　　available in the control room?

　　　Comments　_____

　　　_____

　　　_____

　　　　　　　　Comment Continuation Sheet attached |‾|

Discrepancy ID # _____

FOR INFORMATION
ONLY

SCENARIO # _____

EOP VALIDATION EXERCISE QUESTIONNAIRE (Continued)

10.   Did the available instrumentation provide   Yes   No
        the required range of indication and
        accuracy?

        Comments _____

        _____

        _____

                        Comment Continuation Sheet attached |‾|

Discrepancy ID # _____

11.   Did the EOP(s) ever mislead you, specify   Yes   No
        inappropriate actions, or provide you with
        incorrect information?

        Comments _____

        _____

        _____

                        Comment Continuation Sheet attached |‾|

Discrepancy ID # _____

12.   Were the prescribed actions compatible with   Yes   No
        those specified in other plant procedures
        that were being executed concurrently?

        Comments _____

        _____

        _____

                        Comment Continuation Sheet attached |‾|

Discrepancy ID # _____

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

SCENARIO # _____

EOP VALIDATION EXERCISE QUESTIONNAIRE (Cont'nued)

13. Where cross-references were provided in the   Yes   No
     EOP(s), was the specified procedure, section,
     step, etc., correct in each case?

     Comments _____

     _____

     _____

                 Comment Continuation Sheet attached |‾|

Discrepancy ID # _____ _____

14. Additional comments:

FOR INFORMATION
ONLY

SCENARIO # _____

EOP VALIDATION EXERCISE QUESTIONNAIRE

Comment Continuation Sheet

Continuation of comments on question number: _____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

FOR INFORMATION
ONLY