BOSTON EDISON

NUCLEAR OPERATIONS DEPARTMENT PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION

Procedure 1.3.4.-13

EOP VERIFICATION PROGRAM

Total Pages: 49

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

Approved 7 10/15/177 suffarce Manager (Date Approved Nuc Opera Hons /Date Manager

Safety Evaluation: - Required Not Required

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 1 of 49

8810130415 880907 PDR FDIA JOHNSON88-198 PDR

Table of Contents

Section

.

Ι.	PURPOSE
п.	APPLICABILITY
111.	DEFINITIONS
IV.	REQUIREMENTS AND INSTRUCTIONS
	A. Verification Procedure
	B. Personnel Qualifications
	C. Evaluating, Resolving, and Correcting
	Identified Discrepancies 7
	D. Documentation

ATTACHMENT A: EOP VERIFICATION PROGRAM CHECKLISTS

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 2 of 49

I. PURPOSE

This document provides appropriate requirements and instructions for verifying the PNPS Emergency Operating Procedures (EOPs).

II. APPLICABILITY

The requirements and instructions specified herein apply to the overall process of developing new EOPs and revising existing EOPs.

This document supplements existing PNPS procedures governing procedure preparation, revision, and control but does not supplant them.

III. DEFINITIONS

EOP Source Documents - Guidelines, procedures, data and other records which comprise the technical basis of the EOPs and requirements for their development.

EOP Technical Accuracy - An EOP characteristic that refers to the compatibility of the procedures with plant systems, hardware, and instrumentation; additionally, the conformity of the EOPs with other plant procedures that are referenced therein, and with the content of the technical guidelines from which the EOPs were developed.

EOP Verification - The process of confirming and documenting the technical accuracy and written correctness of the EOPs.

EOP Mritten Correctness - An EOP characteristic that refers to the conformity of the procedures to the standards of EOP format and editorial content presented in the EOP Writers' Guide.

IV. REQUIREMENTS AND INSTRUCTIONS

A. Verification Procedure

EOP technical accuracy and written correctness shall be verified using the checklists provided in Attachment A. New EOPs shall be evaluated in verms of all checklist criteria; one set of checklists shall be completed for each new EOP. Modifications of existing EOPs need be evaluated only in terms of those criteria directly applicable to new and modified steps; if the number of such steps is relatively small, only one set of checklists need be completed for all revised EOPs.

The instructions listed below shall be followed during performance of verification checklist evaluations:

- Fill in the following information on the EOP Verification Record (illustrated on page 6 of Attachment A):
 - a. The number, revision, and title of the EOP being verified.
 - b. The PSTG revision which was used as the source document for the EOP being verified.

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 3 of 49

IV. A. Verification Procedure (continued)

- c. The Writers' Guide revision which was used as the source document for the EOP being verified.
- d. The name, organization (or department) and job title (including such information as RO, SRO, Shift Supervisor, etc., as applicable) of the person performing the verification.
- Write the number of the EOP being verified on the top of each checklist page.
- -3. Apply each checklist evaluation criterion, one at a time, to each flowchart element of the EOP being verified. If necessary, refer to the EOP Writers' Guide for guidance on proper interpretation of the criteria presented in checklist Sections A, B, and C. (The relevant paragraph of the EOP Writers' Guide is identified in parentheses after each Section A, B, and C checklist item.)
- 4. If all EOP flowchart elements to which a checklist item is applicable are fully compliant with the evaluation criterion, circle "Yes" on the checklist form. If a checklist item does not apply to the EOP being verified, circle "NA". If one or more EOP flowchart elements are not fully compliant with the evaluation criterion, circle "No" and assign a unique discrepancy identification number of the format:

Checklist section - Criterion number - Sequence number

Example: B2-14-2 (Checklist section B2, criterion 14, second discrepancy)

Multiple occurrences of the same discrepancy may be assigned the same identification number provided that each location of the discrepancy is documented.

- Complete Part I of an EOP Verification Discrepancy Report (illustrated on page 7 of Attachment A) for each identified discrepancy.
 - L. Uniquely identify the flowchart element(s) to which the identified discrepancy applies; if the discrepancy is one of omission identify the applicable part of the PSTGs.
 - b. Provide a comprehensive narrative description of the nature of the discrepancy. If additional space is needed, use a Continuation Sheet (illustrated on page 9 of Attachment A) and check the associated box on the Report indicating that a continuation sheet is attached. The sequential and total number of continuation sheets used for an individual discrepancy shall be identified in the appropriate blanks on each continuation sheet.

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 4 of 49

IV. A. Verification Procedure (continuation)

- When all checklist items have been completed, the person who performed the verification shall:
 - a. List the checklist section(s) completed.
 - b. Sign and date the EOP Verification Record.
 - c. Attach all completed checklists and Part I Discrepancy Reports including associated continuation sheets to the EOP Verification Record.
 - Return the completed package to the Nuclear Operations Manager.

B. Personnel Qualifications

Personnel performing the EOP verification must be knowledgeable in certain specific subject areas related to the activities to be performed. Minimum personnel qualifications for each task are listed in Table 1.

The EOP author(s) should not participate in the evaluation of the EOPs relative to the checklist criteria.

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 5 of 49 Table 1: Personnel Qualifications for ECP Verification Activities

Activity	Qualifications
Application of Checklist Sections A and B	Familiarity with the PNPS PSTGs and the EOP Writers' Guide
Application of Checklist Sections C and D	Familiarity with the PNPS PSTGs. the EOP Writers' Guide, and plant operations (licensed operator preferred)
Application of Checklist Section E	Familiarity with the EOP format, plant systems and control room instrumentation (licensed operator preferred)

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 6 of 49

IV. C. Evaluating. Resolving. and Correcting Identified Discrepancies

Each EOP discrepancy identified through the verification process shall be analyzed to determine if any corrective action is required. Resolution of all identified discrepancies and, if required, completion of associated corrective action(s) shall be documented on Part II of an EOP Verification Discrepancy Report (illustrated on page 8 of Attachment A). If no corrective action is required, appropriate justification shall be provided.

The analysis and resolution of EOP discrepancies and the identification of appropriate corrective actions should be performed as a cooperative effort among several individuals having expertise in the EOPs, the EOP source documents, plant operation, and control room operator training. The procedure author(s) and the individual(s) who completed the verification checklists should participate in the evaluation and resolution of discrepancies. The following process shall be followed in completing this task:

- Review the description of the discrepancy. (If those who performed the verification are participating in the discrepancy review and resolution process they can supply additional information as necessary.)
- Determine whether any corrective action is necessary. This decision should be made by :

(a) confirming that the identified discrepancy is actually a deviation from the evaluation criterion and

(b) assessing the degree of deviation from the evaluation criterion, and

(c) investigating whether extenuating or mutually conflicting requirements necessitate a deviation from the evaluation criterion.

- If corrective action is appropriate, develop a recommended solution which corrects the discrepancy. Solutions may include changes to the EOP, additions to the EOP training program, modifications to plant equipment, or revisions to EOP source documents.
- 4. Document the agreed-upon corrective action on Part II of the EOP Verification Discrepancy Report, using Continuation Sheets as necessary to completely record the action to be taken. If no corrective action is required, provide appropriate justification. The individual who prepares the description of the required corrective action (or the justification for no corrective action) shall sign and date the Report in the space provided.

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 7 of 49

IV. C. Evaluating. Resolving. and Correcting Identified Discrepancies (continued)

- When the corrective action has been implemented, the individual who completes the corrective action shall sign and date the associated EOP Verification Discrepancy Report Part II in the space provided.
- Satisfactory completion of the required corrective action shall be independently verified. The person who performs this task shall sign and date the associated EOP Verification Discrepancy Report Part II in the space provided.
- D. Documentation

Documentation of each verified EOP shall consist of the following:

- 1. EOP Verification Record with all information and signatures.
- 2. One completed set of EOP Verification Checklists.
- An EOP Verification Discrepancy Report Part I (including associated Continuation Sheets), appropriately completed for each discrepancy listed on the Verification Checklists.
- An EOP Verification Discrepancy Report Part II (including associated Continuation Sheets), appropriately completed for each discrepancy listed on the Verification Checklists.

The completed documentation package shall be returned to the Nuclear Operations Manager. A review of all materials for compliance with the requirements and instructions of the EOP Verification Program shall be performed by the Nuclear Operations Manager and the QA Director. These individuals shall sign and date the EOP Verification Record when they have determined that all requirements have been satisfactorily completed.

All records of EOP Verification shall be retained as specified by MCD Procedure 1.3.7.

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 8 of 49

Attachment A Page 1 of 41

ATTACHMENT A

EOP VERIFICATION PROGRAM CHECKLISTS

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 9 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 2 of 41

Table of Contents

Sect	ion		Page A-
	INST	RUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING EOP VERIFICATION	4
۸.	PROC	EDURE IDENTIFICATION	10
Β.	PROC	EDURE FORMAT	10
	B1.	Format of Flowchart Elements	11
	B2.	Step Numbering	17
	B3.	Listed Items	18
	B4.	Use of Emphasis Techniques	10
	85.	Procedure Layout and Associated Conventions	13
	B6.	Instructions for Printing, Copying, and Storage	20
С.	PROC	EDURE CONTENT	22
	C1.	Level of Detail	
	C2.	Writing Style	23
	C3.	Cautions and Notes	25
	C4.	Branching Instructions and Cross-References	26
	C5.	Component Identification	27
	C6.	Spelling, Grammar, and Punctuation	29
	C7.	Nomenclature, Vocabulary, and	30
	C8.	Numerical Values	31
ο.	CONF		39
F.	COND	ANT ATTA PLANT-SPECIFIC TECHNICAL GUIDELINES	40
ε.	COMP)	Proc. 1.3.4 Page 10 of 4 RTYPE H7.01	41 -13 Rev.1 19

Attachment A Page 3 of 41

Table of Contents (Continued)

Forms	Page A.
EOP Verification Record	6
EOP Verification Discrepancy Report, Part I	7
EOP Verification Discrepancy Report, Part II	8
EOP Verification Discrepancy Report, Continuation Sheet	9
Tables	Page A.
A1: Application of Logic Terms	32
A2: Standard Terms and Definitions	33
A3: Standard Acronyms and Abbreviations	36

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 11 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 4 of 41

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING EOP VERIFICATION CHECKLISTS

1. Use black ink on all checklists

- 2. Fill out an EOP Verification Record (page A6) as follows:
 - (a) Identify the number, revision, and title of the EOP being verified.
 - (b) Identify the PSTG revision which was used as the source document for the EOP being verified.
 - (c) Identify the Writers' Guide revision which was used as the source document for the EOP being verified.
 - (d) List the section(s) of the EOP Verification Checklists being completed by you.
 - (e) Print your full name, organization (or department) and job title (including such information as RO, SRO, Shift Supervisor, etc., as applicable) under "Verification Performance Completed By."
- 3. Write the EOP number on the top of each checklist page.
- 4. Apply each checklist evaluation criterion, one at a time, to each flowchart element of the EOP being verified. If necessary, refer to the EOP Writers' Guide for guidance on proper interpretation of the criteria presented in checklist Sections A, B, and C. (The relevant paragraph of the Writers' Guide is identified in parentheses after each Section A, and B, and C checklist item.)
- 5. If all EOP flowchart elements to which a checklist item is applicable are fully compliant with the evaluation criterion, circle "Yes" on the checklist form. If a checklist item does not apply to the EOP being evaluated, circle "NA". If one or more EOP flowchart elements are not fully compliant with the evaluation criterion, circle "No" and assign a unique discrepancy identification number of the following format:

Checklist section - Criterion number - Sequence number

Example: B2-14-2 (Checklist section B2, criterion 14, second discrepancy)

Multiple occurrences of the same discrepancy may be assigned the same identification number provided that each location of the discrepancy is documented.

- Complete Part I of an EOP Verification Discrepancy Report (illustrated on page A7) for each identified discrepancy.
 - (a) Uniquely identify the flowchart element(s) to which the identified discrepancy applies. If the discrepancy is one of omission, identify the applicable part of the PSIGs.

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 12 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

- (b) Provide a comprehensive narrative description of the nature of the discrepancy. If additional space is needed, use a Continuation Sheet (illustrated on page A9) and check the associated box on the Report indicating that a continuation sheet is attached. The sequential and total number of continuation sheets used for an individual discrepancy shall be identified in the appropriate blanks on each continuation sheet.
- 7. When all checklist items have been completed:
 - (a) Sign and date the EOP Verification Record.
 - (b) Attach all completed checklists and Part I Discrepancy Reports including associated continuation sheets to the EOP Verification Record.
 - (c) Return the completed package to the Nuclear Operations Manager.

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 13 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

At	ta	ich	men	t A
Pa	ge	6	of	41

EOP VER	IFICATION RECORD
OP /	Revision
OP Title	
ESIGN INPUT	
PSTG Revision	Dated
EOP Writers' Guide Revision	Dated
ERIFICATION PERFORMANCE	
EOP Verification Checklist Secti	on(s)
Completed by:	
Name (Print)	
Organization & Job Title	
Signature	And the subscription of th
Signature	Date
Signature	Date
Reviewed by: Nuclear Operations Manager	Date
Reviewed by: Nuclear Operations Manager	Date
Signature Reviewed by: Nuclear Operations Manager QA Director	Date
Reviewed by: Nuclear Operations Manager	Date Date

×.

	Page 7 of 41
BOSTON EDISON COMPANY PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION	
EOP VERI	FICATION DISCREPANCY REPORT
	Part I
DISCREPANCY ID #	CHECKLIST #
EOP #	REVISION
PROCEDURE ELEMENT(s); quote, or	describe uniquely:
DESCRIPTION OF DISCREPANCY:	

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 15 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

	Page 8 of 41
BOSTON EDISON COMPANY PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION	N
EOP VI	ERIFICATION DISCREPANCY REPORT
	Part II
DISCREPANCY ID .	CHECKLIST #
EOP Number	REVISION
CORRECTIVE ACTION	
Description:	
	Continuation Sheet(s) attached [_]
Prepared by:	
Name (Print)	
Signature	Date
Implemented but	
Ampremented by:	
Rame (Print)	
Signature	Date
completion Verified by:	
Name (Print)	
Signature	Date
	Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 16 of 49

Attachment A Page 5 of 41

	EOP VERIFICATIO	N DISCREPANCY REPORT	
	CONTIN	UATION SHEET	
DISCREPANCY ID		CHECKLIST #	
EOP Number		REVISION	
Continuation of: Part	I _ Sheet	of	********
Part	II _ Sheet	of	
	a na gine da ante part a fara a sana		
*			
-			
		Proc. 1.3.4- Page 17 of 4	13 Rev.1 9

Attachment A Page 10 of 41 EOP SECTION A: PROLEDURE IDENTIFICATION 1. Is the procedure number and title presented in YES NO NA large, boldfaced, underlined print, and placed at the top of the EOP? (II.A. III.D.1.a. III.D.2.a. III.D.3) Discrepancy ID # _____ 2. Is the EOP number unique? (II.A) Yes No NA Discrepancy ID # _____ 3. Is the EOP title descriptive of the procedure Yes No NA content? (II.A) Discrepancy ID # 4. Does the ECP have a title block located in the Yes No NA lower right corner of the bordered area surrounding the EOP? (II.B, III.E.5) Discrepancy ID # 5. Does the EOP title block contain: Yes No NA (1) plant name. (2) approval signature,(3) effective date, (4) procedure title. (5) procedure number, and (6) revision number? (II.B) Discrepancy ID #

> Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 18 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

1

Attachment A Page 11 of 41

EOP _____

SECTION B: PROCEDURE FORMAT

81	Format of Flowchart Elements			
۱.	As appropriate, is entry to the EOP indicated at the top (i.e., beginning) of the procedure by either of the following:			
	• A tabular presentation of entry conditions enclosed in a heavy-bordered rectangle with rounded corners? (III.A.1)	Yes	No	NA
	<pre>* "he 'd "START" printed in boldfaced upperd to enclosed in a heavy-bordered rectang h rounded corners? (III.A.1, III.D.2.c)</pre>	ase Yes gle	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
2.	Are concurrent execution statements enclosed within a shaded elongated rectangle with entry and exit arrows appropriately located? (III.A.2)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
3.	Are section designators printed in boldfaced uppercase letters centered within shaded trapezoids? (III.A.3, III.D.1.d, III.D.2.d	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
4.	Are instructional steps presented as complete sentences enclosed in rectangles? (III.A.4)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
5.	Are individual decisions which constitute major branch points each phrased as "yes/no" questions and enclosed 'n diamonds? (III.A.5)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
6.	Are "Yes" and "No" response path labels capitalized and placed adjacent to arrows extending from decision diamonds? (III.A.5, III.A.6.a, III.A.6.b)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
	F	Proc. 1.3.4-13	Rev	1

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. Page 19 of 49 RTYPE H7.01 ١

Attachment A Page 12 of 41 EOP Format of Flowchart Elements (continued) B1. 7. Do conditional statements that are formatted as a single instructional step: Have the conditional part of the instruction a. . Yes No NA stated first, followed by the contingent action? (This formatting requirement does not apply for conditional clauses that begin with . "Until" or "Except"). (III.A.6) Discrepancy ID # Have the logic terms printed in uppercase letters and separated from the remainder of b. Yes No NA their respective clauses. (This formatting requirement does not apply for conditional clauses that begin with "Until" or "Except".) (III.A.7.b, III.D.2.e) Discrepancy ID # ____ 8. Where a prescribed action is to be performed until Yes No NA certain specified conditions occur, are the conditions prefaced by the word "UNTIL" printed in uppercase letters? (III.A.7.c, III.D.2.e) Discrepancy ID # 9. Where a prescribed action is to be performed with Yes No NA certain specified exceptions, are the exceptions prefaced by the word "EXCEPT" printed in uppercase letters? (III.A.7.d, III.D.2.e) Discrepancy ID # ____ Where a prescribed action may be performed any 10. Yes No NA time before a specified condition occurs, is the condition prefaced by the word "BEFORE" (separated by a double horizontal line) printed in boldfaced uppercase letters and enclosed in a trapezoid? (III.A.7.e, III.D.1.g, III.D.2.e) Discrepancy ID # _ 11. Where an "and/or" decision structure is required. Yes No NA has one of the following formats (or an acceptable alternate format) been chosen to clearly depict the relationship between the conditional clauses? (III.A.6.e) A decision table • An appropriately structured series of decision diamonds Discrepancy ID # Proc. 1.3.4-13 RE 1 Page 20 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

	A P	ttachment age 13 of	A 41		
BI	. Format of Flowchart Elements (continued)	OP			
12.	Where plant conditions are specified which cause procedure execution to immediately proceed from one instructional step to a subsequent flowchart element, are the continuation conditions prefaced by the word "WHEN" printed in uppercase letters and separated from the remainder of the respective clause by two periods? (III.A.8, III.D.2.e)	Y	es	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
13.	Are hold points expressed as instructions beginning with "WAIT UNTIL" printed in uppercase letters and enclosed in an octagon? (III.A.9, III.D.2.e)	Y	es	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
14.	Are override statements formatted as decision tables enclosed in shaded heavy-bordered rectangles with rounded corners? (III.A.10)	Ye	s	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
15	Do override statements have heavy shaded lines extend downward from the left and right sides of the enclo rounded-corner rectangle to indicate the flowchart element(s) which the override statement applies? (E lines need not be used where an override statement applies to an entire procedure.) (III.A.10)	ending Ye osing Extension	s	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
16.	Are procedure exit statements and end points enclos in heavy-bordered rectangles with rounded corners? (III.A.11)	ed Ye	s	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
17.	Is supplemental information that applies to the performance of a step located outside the direct path of the flowchart elements, and is the associat to the appropriate flowchart element indicated by a dashed line? (III.A.12)	Ye	\$	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 21 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

		Attachment Page 14 of	A 41		
81	Format of Flowchart Elements (continued)	EOP			
18.	Are notes printed in italics and located immediately adjacent to (either before or after) the text to which they apply? (III.A.12, III.D.4)		Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	_			
19.	For notes, is the word "NOTE" printed in uppercass letters and punctuated with a colon prefacing the text of the note? (III.A.13, III.D.2.h)	e	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
20.	Are references to cautions indicated within flow elements through the use of a circled number with black background? (III.A.14)	hart	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	_			
21.	Is the full text of each referenced caution enclo in a rectangle and arranged in sequence around th periphery of the EOP, located to the left of or a any figures present? (III.A.14, III.E.6)	sed e bove	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	<u>.</u>			
22.	Is each figure labeled with a number a J title pr in boldfaced uppercase letters centered above the figure number having a prefix corresponding to th number of the EOP followed by a unique sequential decimal number? (III.A.15, III.A.15.b, III.D.1.e, III.D.2.f)	inted e	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
23.	Are all figures that are referenced within the EO correctly arranged around the periphery of the procedure in proper numerical sequence? (III.A.15, III.E.6)	P	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
24.	Are the text and graphics of each figure clear, simple, and easily read? (III.A.15.a)		Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	_			
25.	Are axes of all graphs labeled with parameters, units, and numerical values? (III.A.15.c)		Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
	P P R	roc. 1.3.4 age 22 of TYPE H7.01	-13 49	Rev	.1

Attachment A Page 15 of 41

B1.	EOP			
26.	Are both horizontal and vertical grid lines provided on all graphs? (III.A.15.d)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
27.	Are all graphical presentations of operating regions which are beyond the action level defined by the EOP deltneated through the use of cross-hatching or background shading? (III.A.15.e)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
28.	Is each table labeled with a number and title printed in boldfaced uppercase letters centered above the table, with the table number having a prefix corres- ponding to the number of the EOP followed by a unique sequential decimal number? (III.A.16, III.A.16b, III.D.1.e, III.D.2.g)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
29.	Are all tables that are referenced within the EOP correctly arranged around the periphery of the procedure in proper numerical sequence (small tables located adjacent to flowchart elements excepted)? (III.A.16, III.E.6)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
30.	Are small tables placed alongside the flowchart element in which they are referenced, with a dashed line used to indicate step association? (III.A.12, III.A.16)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
31.	Are the text and graphics of each table clear, simple and easily read? (III.A. 6.a)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
32	Are tables placed within a border? (III.A.16.c)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
33	Is an appropriate heading provided for each table column, printed in uppercase letters and centered over the respective column? (III.A.16.d, IIID.2.g)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
	Proc. Page RTYPE	1.3.4-13 23 of 49 H7.01	Rev	7.1

Attachment A Page 16 of 41

١

81	. Format of Flowchart Elements (continued)			
34.	Is a double horizontal line placed below table column headings? (III.A.16.e)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
35.	Are table columns divided by vertical lines? (III.A.16.f)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
36.	Are dashed horizontal lines or blank spaces used within tables to group (or divide) entries as appropriate? (III.A.16.g)	Yes	No	NA

Discrepancy ID # ____

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 24 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 18 of 41

١

EOP

B3. Listed Items

 Are multiple items for which there is no unconditional pre-designated preference or priority arranged in a list format, with each entry in the list prefaced by a bullet (o)? (III.C)

Discrepancy ID # ____

 Are bullets which precede listed items indented two Yes No kA spaces to the right of the left margin of the immediately preceding text? (III.C.)

Discrepancy ID # _____

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 26 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 19 of 41

EOP

B4. Use of Emphasis Techniques

1.	For the entry conditions element, are the words "ENTRY CONDITIONS" and the column headings printed i boldfaced and uppercase print? (III.A.1, III.D.1.b, III.D.2.b)	n)	res	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
2.	For the entry point element, is the word "START" printed in boldfaced and uppercase print? (III.A.1, III.D.1.c, III.D.2)	١	res	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
3.	Are figure axis labe's (except for identified engineering units) printed in uppercase print? (III.A.15.c, III.D.2.f)	Y	'es	No	NA
	Discrepancy. ID #				
4.	Are boldfaced letters used within flowchart elements of a slightly larger print size than that normally used for standard text? (III.D.1)	Y	es	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
5.	Are as-labeled component/instrument designators, control switch positions, and annunciator engravings printed with uppercase letters enclosed in quotation marks? (III.D.2.j, III.D.5)	Y	es	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 27 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

		Attachment Page 20 of	A 41		
		EOP			
85.	Procedure Layout and Associated Conventions				
۱.	Is the EOP devoid of page breaks? (III.E.3)		Yes	No	NA
2.	Does a border surround the page? (III.E.4)		Yas	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			no	
3.	Is the page border of medium line thickness and least 1/2 inch from the page edges? (III.E.4)	at	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	-			
4.	Where multiple EOPs exist on a single page is th a bordered area surrounding each EOP? (III.E.5)	ere	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
5.	Is a title block connected to the lower right ha corner of each bordered area surrounding each EO (III.E.5)	nd P?	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
6.	Are lines ending in arrows used to connect all flowchart elements? (III.E.7)		Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
7.	Is the general progression through the EOP down to the right? (III.E.7)	and	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
8.	Is at least a 1/2 inch spacing maintained betwee page border and: (a) The EOP title and	n the	Yes	No	NA
	(b) flowchart elements (including connecting li and extension lines emanating from override statements)? (III.E.8)	nes			
	Discrepancy ID #				
		Proc. 1.3.4 Page 28 of RTYPE H7.01	-13 49	Rev	.1

Attac	hment	A
Page	21 of	41

EOP

85	Procedure Layout and Associated Conventions (con	tinued)			
9.	Is a spacing of at least 3/8 inch maintained between flowchart elements? (III.E.9)	Yes	No	NA	
	Discrepancy ID #				
10.	Is a spacing of at least 3/8 inch maintained between parallel lines connecting flowchart elements, and between extension lines emanating from override statements (except for dotted intraprocedure branch lines and dashed lines connecting supplemental information)? (III E.10)	Yes	No	NA	
	Discrepancy ID #				
11.	Has the cross-over of lines connecting flowchart elements been minimized? (III.E.11)	Yes	No	HA	
	Discrepancy ID #				

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 29 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 22 of 41 EOP Instructions for Printing, Copying, and Storage 66. 1. Is text within flowchart elements single-spaced, with Yes No NA one-and-a-half line spacing maintained between listed items and between multiple instructions or statements enclosed within one flowchart element? (III.F.1) Discrepancy ID # 2. Is text within instructional steps, exit statements, Yes No NA and endpoints left-aligned? (III.F.2) Discrepancy ID # _____ 3. Is text within the individual columns of entry Yes No NA conditions, override statements, and elements with decision table formats left-aligned? (III.F.3) Discrepancy ID # 4. Is text within hold points and decision diamonds Yes No NA centered? (III.F.4) Discrepancy ID # ____ 5. Is type size no smaller than 9-point? (III.F.5) Yes No NA Discrepancy ID # _____ 6. Has a type style been used that is simple, easy-to- Yes No NA read, and devoid of serifs and other character embellishments? (III.F.6) Discrepancy ID # 7. Are all portions of the copy of the EOP fully Yes No NA legible? (II.F.7) Discrepancy ID #

> Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 30 of 49 RTIPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 23 of 41

SECTION C: PROCEDURE CONTENT

FOF)		
EQ.		-	-

C1.	Level	of	Detail
	the second second second	and the second	and a second second

۱.	Is the level of detail presented in the EOP consistent with the knowledge and capabilities of a newly-licensed reactor operator (i.e., has the relative complexity of and an operator's familiarity with the evolutions to be performed been appropriately considered)? (IV.A.1)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
2.	Has excessive detail been avoided? (IV.A.2)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
3.	Have expected results of routine actions <u>not</u> been stated? (IV.A.2)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
4.	Have system response times been specified where appropriate? (IV.A.4)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
5.	Have equipment limitations been identified where appropriate? (IV.A.4)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
6.	Have instrument inaccuracies been identified where appropriate? (IV.A.4)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
7.	Has alternate or backup instrumentation been identified where appropriate? (IV.A.4)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 31 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

		Attachment Page 24 of	A 41		
CI	. Level of Detail (continued)	EOP			
8.	Have manual override instructions been incorporat where appropriate? (IV.A.4)	ed	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	_			
9.	Have methods of verifying correct plant response specified where appropriate? (IV.A.3)	been	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	133			
10.	Are instructions succinct and precise with only s simple sentences used? (IV.A.5)	hort,	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	- 11			
11.	Where required, has verification of automatic pla response been included as an instruction? (III.A.	nt 5)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	_			

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 32 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Ŷ.

Attachment A Page 25 of 41

EOP

C2.	Mriting	Style
	Sector and a sector sector is a sector of	

1.	within all flowchart elements easily readable and interpretable? (IV.B.1)	Ye	s	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	_			
2.	Are instructions written in the second person imperative mood with an implicit subject? (IV.B.2)	Ye	s	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
3.	Does each instructional step only address one ide (IV.B.3)	a? Ye	s	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	4			
4.	Are the objects of actions specifically stated (i.e., is it obvious exactly what is to be done to what?). (IV.B.4)	Ye	s	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
5.	Have multiple objects (3 or more) been listed individually and separately from the preceding text? (IV.B.4)	Ye	s	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
6.	Where actions must be performed concurrently, are they specifically identified as such? (IV.B.5)	Ye	s	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
7.	Are limits expressed quantitatively? (IV.B.6)	Ye		No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	_			ne.
8.	Has the need for arithmetical calculations been avoided where possible? (IV.B.7)	Ye	s	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
	P.	age 33 of 49	3	Rev	.1

PTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 26 of 41

LS. CAULIONS and Motes	3.	Cautions	and	Notes
------------------------	----	----------	-----	-------

FOP			
-01	-	 -	

1.	Have cautions only been used to identify potential hazards to personnel or equipment? (IV.C)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
2.	Have notes only been used to provide supplementary information related to performance of a particular action? (IV.C)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
3.	Has the use α^{\prime} , autions and notes been minimized? (IV.C)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
4.	Do notes and cautions <u>not</u> contain instructional steps? (IV.C)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 34 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

	Atta Page	thment A 28 of 41		
	EOP			
C4	Branching Instructions and Cross References (con-	tinued)		
6.	Does each intra-procedure branch correctly employ a unique letter designator format (i.e., capitalized, circled, and each pair connected by a dotted line)? (IV.D)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
7.	Has forward and backward branching within the EOP been minimized? (IV.D.)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
8.	Have cross-references been minimized? (IV.D)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
9.	For cross-references to supplemental procedures, has the referenced procedure teen identified by both number and title, with the fitle enclosed in quotation marks? (IV.D)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
10.	Where figures and tables are referenced in the EOP, are they identified by correct number? (Identification of the title is not required.) (III.A.15, III.A.16,	Yes IV.D)	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 36 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

	Att Pag	achment A e 29 of 41		
	EOP	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
CS.	Component Identification			
۱.	Are components clearly and completely Identified? (IV.E)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
2.	Where a specific control switch or instrument is referenced, are as-labeled designations printed in uppercase letters and enclosed in quotation marks? (IV.E.1)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
3.	Have system titles been capitalized? (The word "system" is not required.) (IV.E.2)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
4.	For infrequently used components, have locations been specified? (IV.E.3)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 37 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

		Attachment Page 30 of	A 41		
		EOP			
C6.	Spelling, Grammar, and Punctuation				
۱.	Is spelling, grammar, and punctuation consistent standard rules and modern usage? (IV.F)	with	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	_			
2.	Has excessive use of commas, definite articles, pronouns, and adverbs been minimized to the exten possible? (IV.F.1, IV.F.2)	t	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
3.	Are elements with columnar formats (e.g., overrid statements) devoid of commas? (IV.F.1)	e	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	_			
4.	Mave personal pronouns not been used? (IV.F.2)		Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 38 of 49 RTYPE H7.01 ٨

Attachment A Page 31 of 41

	-		
FO	P		
**	۰.	1000	 -

C7. Momenclature, Vocabulary, and Abbreviations

1.	Have simple, common words with specific, precise meanings been used? Have ambiguous terms been avoided? (IV.G.1, IV.G.2)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
2.	Are logic terms used consistent with the definitions provided in Table Al? (IV.G.3)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
3.	Has terminology been consistent with the definitions provided in Table A2? (IV.G.4)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
4.	Has the use of abbreviations and acronyms been minimized? Have only those immediately recognizable from Table A3 been used? (IV.G.5)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 39 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Logic Ter	Definition
AND	Designates a combination of two or more conditions. Identifies the second and subsequent elements of a set conditions.
BEFORE	Indicates that the respective action is to be performed prior to the occurrence of a specified condition. Does not imply or require any specific margin be observed when a step states that action be taken "before" reach- ing a limit or value.
EXCEPT	Specifies an exception to or exclusion from taking a prescribed action.
IF	Indicates that performance of the associated action is contingent upon the existence of the identified condition(s). If the identified conditions do not exist, the prescribed action is not to be taken and execution of operator actions proceeds to the following step.
OR	Designates alternative combinations of conditions. Indicates that the associated action is to be performed if any one of the specified conditions occur. (Always used in the inclusive sense.)
THEN	Designates the action portion of an instruction.
WAIT UNTI	L Indicates that execution of subsequent operator actions is not permitted until the identified condition exists.
MHEN	Indicates that upon occurrence or existence of the identified condition(s), execution of the procedure should immediately proceed to the next identified flow chart element.
UNTIL	Indicates that the associated action is to be terminated when the specified condition occurs.

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 40 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 33 of 41 EOP

Table A2: Standard Nomenclature and Definitions

Availab	le:
	into operation) to accomplish the stated (or implied) action or function.
Cannot .	be determined: The value or status of the specified parameter relative to the procedure action level cannot be ascertained using available indications.
Cannot	be maintained: The value of the specified paramaeter cannot be kept above or below (as applicable) the identified limit. Implies an evaluation based on system performance and availability considered in relation to parameter values and trends. Neither implies that the parameter must actually exceed the limit before the action is taken nor that the action must be taken before the limit is reached.
Cannot	be restored: The value of the specified parameter cannot be returned to within the specified limit. Implies an evaluation based on system performance and availability considered in relation to parameter values and trends. Does not imply any specific time limit, but does not permit prolonged operation beyond the limit.
Close:	To position a value or damper so as to prevent flow of the process fluid.
Confir	Second and a suppropriate, physical observation to establish that the specified action has occurred, conditions are
	as stated, etc. Includes an implied requirement to take corrective action if the identified conditions do not exist.
Contro	Take action, as necessary, to maintain the value of the specified parameter within applicable limits.
Enter:	Commence performing, in sequence, the steps of the identified procedure.
Execut	e: Perform the actions prescribed in the identified step.

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 41 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 34 of 41 EOP

Table A2: Standard Nomenclature and Definitions (continued)

Exit: Cease performing the steps of the identified procedure.
Tattista
Operate the necessary controls so as to establish the specified system configuration or plant condition. Prolonged attempts to jumper interlocks, align alternate or backup power supplies, enter remote areas to manually operate valves, etc., are not intended by this term.
Line up:
Establish the prerequisites necessary for system operation. Does not encompass starting main system pumps.
Maintain:
Take action, as necessary, to keep the value of the specified parameter within the applicable limits.
Monitor:
Observe and evaluate at a frequency sufficient to remain apprised of the value, trend, and rate of change of the specified parameter.
Open:
To position a valve or damper so as to allow flow of the process fluid.
Place: To align a switch to a specified position.
Prevent: Take action to forestall or avert the state, condition, or action addressed by the step.
Purge: Force flow through an enclosed volume. Includes establishing both an influent and effluent flowpath.
Restore: Take action, as necessary, to return the value of the specified parameter to within applicable limits.
Set: To position a control to a specified scale value.
Shut -
To position a breaker so as to permit the flow of current in the associated circuit.
Slowly:
Only as fast as can be accommodated and still maintain effective control of the associated parameter(s) within specified values or limits.

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 42 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 35 of 41 EOP

Table A2: Standard Nomenclature and Definitions (continued)

Start:

To energize a pump or fan motor.

Terminate:

Stop the stated action, process or evolution. The most direct action to stop the stated action/process/evolution is preferred, but many actions may be required.

Throttle:

To position a valve or damper so as to partially restrict flow of the process fluid.

Trip:

To deenergize a pump or fan motor; to position a breaker so as to interrupt or prevent the flow of current in the associated circuit.

Vent:

Open an effluent (exhaust) flowpath from an enclosed volume.

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 43 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 36 of 41

EOP

Table A3: Standard Acronyms and Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Meaning
ADS	Automatic Depressurization System
APRM	Average Power Range Monitor
ARI	Alternate Rod Insertion
BIIT	Boron Injection Initiation Temperature
CAC	Containment Atmospheric Control
CAD	Containment Atmospheric Dilution
CPS	Counts Per Second
CRD	Control Rod Drive
CS	Core Spray
CST	Condensate Storage Tank
Demin	Demineralizer
DSIL	Drywell Spray Initiation Limit
DW	Drywell
ECCS	Emergency Core Cooling Systems
Elev	Elevation
•F	Degrees Fahrenheit
ft	Feet
FW	Feedwater
GPM	Gallons Per Minute
H&V	Heating and Ventilation
HCLL	Heat Capaacity Level Limit
HCTL	Heat Capacity Temperature Limit
HCU	Hydraulic Control Unit

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 44 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 37 of 41

EOP

Abbreviation	Meaning
HPCI	High Pressure Coolant Injection
hr	Hour
нх	Heat Exchanger
1n.	Inch
lbs	Pounds
LCO	Limiting Condition for Operation
LI	Level Indicator
LOCA	Loss of Coolant Accident
LPCI	Low Pressure Coolant Injection
LR	Level Recorder
MARFP	Minimum Alternate RPV Flooding Pressure
MCFI	Minimum Core Flooding Interval
MOUTL	Maximum Core Uncovery Time Limit
min	Hinimum
MPCHLL	Maximum Primary Containment Water Level Limit
mR	Milliroentgen/Milliren (as appropriate to the context and the units of associated instrumentation.
MSIV	Main Steam Isolation Valve
N/A	Not Applicable
NPSH	Net Positive Suction Head
N.H.	North Hest
PCPL	Primary Containment Pressure Limit
psig	Pounds per square inch (gauge)

.....

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 45 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 38 of 41

EOP

	Abbreviation	Meaning
	PSP	Pressure Suppression Pressure
	RB	Reactor Building
•	RBCCW	Reactor Building Closed Cooling Water
	RCIC	Reactor Core Isolation Cooling
	RHR	Residual Heat Removal
	RPM	Revolutions Per Minute
	RPV	Reactor Pressure Vessel
	RWCU	Reactor Water Cleanup
	SBGT	Standby Gas Treatment
	SBLC	Standby Liquid Control
	S.E.	South East
	SRV	Safety Relief Valve
	SRVTPLL	SRV Tail Pipe Level Limit
	SSH	Salt Service Water
	S.W.	South West
	TAF	iop of the active fuei
	TBCCH	Turbine Building Closed Cooling Water
	TIP	Traversing In-Core Probe
	Ł	Ampersand ("AND")
		Feet (units of elevation)
		Inches (units of elevation)
	ĩ	Percent

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 46 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

		Attachment A Page 39 of 41		
C8	. Numerical Values	EOP	•	
۱.	Have limits and values of operating parameters be expressed quantitatively? (IV.H)	en Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	-		
2.	Have only Arabic numerals been used? (IV.H.1) Discrepancy ID #	Yes	No	NA
3.	Do parameter values include the units of measurem (IV.H.2)	ient? Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
4.	Have parameter values been expressed to a precisi consistent with the intent of the action(s) speci in the step? (IV.H.3)	on Yes fied	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
5.	Are acceptance values expressed in terms of a ran rather than a tolerance hand to obviate the need for mental arithmetic (e.g., 20 in. to 30 in rather than 25 in. ± 5 in.)? (IV.K.4)	ge Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
6.	Has a slash mark (/) been used in place of the wo "per" (e.g., mR/hr)? (IV.H.5)	rd Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	-		
7.	Are numbers between zero and one expressed in dec form with a zero preceding the decimal point (e.g., 0.12)? (IV.H.6)	imal Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 47 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 40 of 41

	~	n	
Ł	O	P	
	v		 _

SECTION D: CONFORMITY WITH PLANT-SPECIFIC TECHNICAL GUIDELINES

1.	Does the wording of each flowchart element comply with the content and intent of the corresponding step of the technical guidalines?	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
2.	Have all steps of the corresponding technical guidelines been incorporated into the EOP?	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
3.	Are cautions referenced at the points specified in the technical guidelines?	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
4.	Are all instructions and cautions in the EOP derive from corresponding technical guideline teps and contions?	d Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
5.	Do all numerical values in the EOP correspond to those specified in the technical guidelines?	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
6.	Do the EOP entry conditions correspond to those specified in the technical guidelines?	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
7.	Does the sequence of operator actions and decisions correspond to that presented in the technical guidelines?	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
8.	Does the association of override statements corresp to that defined in the technical guidelines?	ond Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
9.	Are cross-references consistent with those identifi in the technical guidelines?	es Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
	Pro	DC. 1.3.4-13 De 48 of 49	Rev	.1

		Attachment A Page 41 of 41		
		EOP		
SEC	CTION E: COMPATIBILITY WITH THE CONTROL ROOM			
۱.	Are as-labeled designations used to identify speci components, alarms, controls, and instruments?	Ific Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	-		
2.	Are component locations specified when appropriate (e.g. the least-experienced intended user might b unfamiliar with the location, or when failure to o so may cause confusion)?	e Yes do	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
3.	Is the determination of identified status (value, trend, position, etc.) of plant parameters as specified in the EOP adequately supported by plant instruments, approved instructions, or other appropriate sources of information?	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID 2	_		
4.	Are the values of plant parameters specified in the EOP within the range of the respective control room instruments?	ne Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	<u>.</u>		
5.	Are the units of measurement for values of plant parameters as specified in the EOP the same as the presented on the respective control room instrumen	Yes hts?	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
6.	Are the values of parameters specified in the EOP expressed to a precision consistent with the accumpty and precision of the respective instru- ment.	Yes	No	NA
	Discrementa ID .	<u> </u>		

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev.1 Page 49 of 49 RTYPE H7.00