



GPU Nuclear Corporation

Post Office Box 388

Route 9 South

Forked River, New Jersey 08731-0388

609 971-4000

Writer's Directory Number

June 1, 1988

Mr. Ronald R. Bellamy
Facilities Radiological Safety and Safeguards Branch
Division of Radiation Safety and Safeguards
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Dear Mr. Bellamy:

Subject: Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station
Docket No. 79-29
Inspection Report 88-06
Response to Notice of Violation

As directed by the subject inspection report dated May 3, 1988, Attachment I provides our response to the Notice of Violation and our request for withdrawal of same.

Should you require any further information, please contact Brenda DeMerchant, Oyster Creek Licensing Engineer at (609)971-4642.

Very truly yours,

E. E. Fitzpatrick
Vice President and Director
Oyster Creek

EEF/BD/dmd
(0501A)

cc: Mr. William T. Russell, Administrator
Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Mr. Alexander W. Dromerick, Project Manager
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Division of Reactor Projects I/II
Washington, DC 20555

NRC Resident Inspector
Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

Attachment I

Violation:

Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 50.54(t) requires licensees who are authorized to operate a nuclear power facility to provide for a review of its emergency preparedness program at least every 12 months. This review shall include an evaluation of the adequacy of interfaces with State and Local Governments.

Contrary to the above, GPU Nuclear Corporation (GPUN) failed to evaluate the adequacy of the interface with the State of New Jersey.

Response:

During the subject inspection of Oyster Creek Audit Report S-OC-87-01, the section titled, "Offsite Interface" Section H, was apparently the area concentrated on to determine compliance regarding the interface with the State of New Jersey.

Subsequent to the NRC inspection the audit report was reviewed with the Lead Auditor who had been absent during the time of the inspection. The review confirmed that the scope of audit coverage in the area of question was adequate to satisfy 10CFR50.54(t) requirements. The auditor had chosen to assess the station's compliance with the code of Federal Regulations, Part 50.54(t), by using an evaluation of a real event. Evaluation of this event may be found on page 13 of the audit report, Section G, "Drills, Exercises, and Events".

GPUN therefore, does not concur with the Notice of Violation cited in Appendix A of inspection report dated May 3, 1988 and requests that it be withdrawn.