							Jan 1	I	LICENS	EE EVENT	REPOR	T (LER)								
LaSalle County Station Unit 2										Number (2) Page (3) O 0 0 3 7 4 1 of 0 3										
Title	(4) Mi	ssed	Loo	se Par	ts Mo	onito	r Surv	eill.	ance Due	to Person	nnel Er	rror		5-11						
Even	t Date		1				ber (6			Report Date (7)				Other Facilities Involved (8)						
Month			Sequential ///				Month	Day	Year	Fac	ility	Names	Names Docket Number(s)							
																0]	5 0 0	0; 3	3 7	
0 5	1 3	8	8	8 8		01	0 1 6	5	010	0 6	110	8 18				01	5! 0 0	01 3	31 71	
2011 20170	ATING DE (9)			1		eck o			of the fo					OF 10C			173.71 (
POWER LEVEL (10)			8	6	=	20.4 20.4 20.4 20.4	05(a) 05(a)	(1) (i (1) (i (1) (i) i) ji) X 50 v) X 50	0.36(c)(1 0.36(c)(1 0.73(a)(1 0.73(a)(1 0.73(a)(1	2) 2)(i) 2)(ii)	50 50 50 50	0.73(a 0.73(a 0.73(a 0.73(a) (2) (v) (2) (v) (2) (v) ii) iii)(A iii)(B		73.71(Other in Abs below Text)	(Spec		
Name		(////							LICENSEE	CONTACT	FOR TH	HIS LER	(12)	AREA	C photographic distribution in the	ELEPH	ONE NUME	BER .		
Dale Sp	pencer	, Tec	hni						sion 649	(F.1) * F.1.				8	1 5		51 71 -1	6	7 6 6 1	
CAUSE	SYSTE	M	COM	PONENT	M	UNE UNUFA TURER	C- F	THE SAME BROKEN	TABLE ///	OR RESIDENCE AND ADDRESS OF		SYSTEM	pain facility from the second	ONENT	MANU TUR	AC-	REPORT TO NE		111111	
_ A	I	I	+	++	-	1	+-		N THE			-	-	-	-	1				
	(16	100	com	SUPPLE plete 6					CTED (14)	<u></u>	NO.				Submi	cted ssion (15)	1	Day	Year	

On May 13, 1988, at 1700 hours, with Unit 2 in Operational Condition 1 (Run) at 86% power, it was discovered that LaSalle Technical Surveillance LTS-500-12, "Loose Parts Monitor Functional Test," had not been performed as required by Technical Specification surveillance requirements 4.3.7.12.b. The surveillance was last performed on April 1, 1988. Since this surveillance is on a 31 day frequency, the surveillance had a due date (date without 25% grace period) of May 1, 1988 and a critical date (date with 25% grace period) of May 9, 1988. The surveillance was performed and reviewed, by 1730 hours on May 13, 1988, with acceptable results.

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e, approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)

The root cause of the event was the failure of personnel to perform an adequate review of the surveillance schedule. This resulted in the surveillance exceeding both its due date and critical date.

This event is being reported pursuant to the requirements of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i), due to the deviation from plants Technical Specifications.

8806140483 080610 PDR ADDCK 05000374 PDR S

IE 1/1

		L	CEN	SEE	E	VEN	IT S	REP	PORT	(1	ER)	TE	XT	CONT	NUAT	I	N										
FACILITY	Y NAME (1)		DC	CKE	T	NUP	BER	} ((2)						NUMBE								1	Pa	ige (3)	
													Y	ear	14/	1	Sec	uen	tia r	14	REV	rision umber					
LaSalle (County Station Unit	2	0	1 5	1	0	10)	0	3	1 71	4	8	18	-		0	0	16	-	0	1 0	0	2	OF	01	3
TEXT	Energy Industry	Identi	fica	tic	n	Sys	ten	n (EIIS	()	code	5	are	ider	tifi	e	1 10	th	e te	ext as	[xx	(1			-		

PLANT AND SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION

General Electric - Boiling Water Reactor

Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes are identified in the text as [XX].

A. CONDITION PRIOR TO EVENT

Unit(s): 2	Event	Date:	5/13/88		Event	Time:	1700 Hou	ırs
Reactor Mode(s):	1	Mode	(s) Name:	Run		Power	Level(s):	86%

B. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

At 1700 hours on May 13, 1988, with Unit 2 in Operational Condition 1 (Run) at 86% power, it was discovered that LaSalle Technical Surveillance LTS-500-12, "Loose Parts Monitor (MD) [II] Functional Test," had not been performed, due to personnel error, as required by Technical Specification surveillance requirement 4.3.7.12.b. The surveillance was last performed on April 1, 1988. Since this surveillance is on a 31 day frequency, the surveillance had a due date (date without 25% grace period) of May 1, 1988 and a critical date (date with 25% grace period) of May 9, 1988. The surveillance was performed and reviewed prior to 1730 hour on May 13, 1988, with acceptable results.

This event is being reported pursuant to the requirements of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i) due to a deviation from plant Technical Specifications.

C. APPARENT CAUSE OF EVENT

The root cause of the event was the failure of Technical Staff personnel to perform an adequate review of the surveillance schedule (personnel error). This resulted in the surveillance exceeding both its due date and critical date.

Contributing factors included the following:

The cognizant group leader was not on site during the week in which the surveillance was due. Turnover of responsibilities concentrated on Unit 1 outage activities with emphasis on personnel, scheduling, and procedural requirements necessary to support an upcoming emergency diesel generator outage. An insufficient turnover was given in the area of routine surveillance activities on the operating unit.

The system engineer who is assigned this surveillance was assigned to other high priority duties at the time the surveillance was due. Primary alternate duties involved the common emergency diesel generator which was scheduled to be out of service on a short duration (72 hour) time clock.

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER	NUMBER	Page (3)					
		Year	1//	Sequentia: Number	14/1	Revision Number			
LaSalle County Station Unit 2	01510101013171	8 1 8	-	01016	- 1	010	01 3	OF	01

D. SAFETY ANALYSIS OF EVENT

The consequences of the event were minimal. The surveillance was immediately (and successfully) performed. Therefore the system was always fully capable of performing its design function. Additionally, a daily channel sheck is performed per LaSalle Operating Surveillances LOS-AA-D1 and LOS-LM-D1. Technical Specification 3.3.7.12 allows for the Loose Parts Monitoring System to be inoperate for 30 days without additional action, therefore, operation outside the Technical Specifications did not occur as a result of this event.

E. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

The surveillance (LTS-500-12) was immediately performed. Results were found to be satisfactory.

A meeting, conducted by the Services Superintendent, was held with Technical Staff group leaders and supervisors on May 14, 1988. The importance of prompt (and thorough) review of surveillances, and the surveillance schedule, was emphasized.

Technical Staff has implemented a review of overdue and upcoming surveillances on the general surveillance schedule. Group Leaders are required to provide a status of all category I surveillances which are approaching their due dates and critical dates to the Technical Staff Supervisor.

The Station is evaluating the use of alternative mechanisms to highlight category 1 (required by Technical Specifications or Operating License) surveillances which are past their due date and approaching the Technical Specification critical date.

An ongoing effort continues to reduce the number of past due category 2, 3, and 4 (not required by Technical Specifications or Operating License) surveillances.

All Technical Staff personnel will be tailgated on this event. Action Item Record 374-200-88-02501 will track completion of this corrective action.

F. PREVIOUS EVENTS

LER Number	Title
374/88-004-00	Missed Technical Specification Surveillance Due to Personnel Error
373/87-008-00	Missed Hydrogen Analyzer Sample
373/87-019-00	Incomplete Surveillance on Control Red Drive Cycling
373/86-003-00	Missed Sample on Reactor Water pH
374/86-010-00	Failure to Perform Surveillance on Rod Worth Minimizer During Power Reduction
373/85-018-00	Missed Hydrogen Sample
373/85-033-00	Missed Noble Gas Sample on Standby Gas Treatment System
373/85-069-00	Surveillance Not Completed on Time
374/85-047-00	Missed Sample on 1 "B" Residual Heat Removal Service Water Process Radiation

G. COMPONENT FAILURE DATA

None.

June 10, 1988

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Station P1-137 Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sir:

Licensee Event Report #88-006-00, Docket #050-374 is being submitted to your office in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i).

G. J. Diederich Station Manager LaSalle County Station

GJD/DAS/kg

Enclosure

XC: Nuclear Licensing Administrator NRC Resident Inspector NRC Region III Administrator INFO - Fecords Center