

### LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME (1) LaSalle County Station Unit 2	DOCKET NUMBER (2) 050000374	PAGE(S) 1 OF 03
--	--------------------------------	--------------------

TITLE (4) Unit 2 Delta Temperature Leak Detection for Division 1 and 2 of Residual Heat not properly designed.

EVENT DATE (5)			LER NUMBER (6)			REPORT DATE (7)			OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)		
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAMES		DOCKET NUMBER(S)
07	01	85	85	032	02	03	31	86			050000
											050000

THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR § (Check one or more of the following) (11)

OPERATING MODE (9) 4	20.402(b)	20.408(e)	90.73(a)(2)(iv)	73.71(b)
POWER LEVEL (10) 0.00	20.408(a)(1)(i)	90.30(a)(1)	90.73(a)(2)(v)	73.71(a)
	20.408(a)(1)(ii)	90.30(a)(2)	90.73(a)(2)(vi)	OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 305A)
	20.408(a)(1)(iii)	X 90.73(a)(2)(i)	90.73(a)(2)(vii)(A)	
	20.408(a)(1)(iv)	90.73(a)(2)(ii)	90.73(a)(2)(vii)(B)	
	20.408(a)(1)(v)	90.73(a)(2)(iii)	90.73(a)(2)(viii)	

LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)

NAME	TELEPHONE NUMBER
Kermit C. Wittenburg, Technical Staff Engineer, ext. 772	AREA CODE 815 357-6761

COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)

CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPROS	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPROS
E	I	JTE	P427	N					

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)

YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)  NO

EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)	MONTH	DAY	YEAR

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e. approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)

On May 24, 1985, a potential problem was identified during the performance of LST-85-52, a functional test for proper indication from various Leak Detection temperature sensors. It was observed that the sensors designated as monitoring the cool air entering the Unit 2 Residual Heat Removal rooms were located on the wall beside the duct, rather than in the duct as per the Unit 1 design. The design was investigated and on July 1, 1985, at 1530 hours with Unit 2 in Cold Shutdown, it was determined that the sensors as installed were not capable of sensing a differential temperature across the RHR rooms thus rendering the Unit 2 Division I and II LD Isolation system for differential temperature inoperable.

The affected sensors have been relocated to their proper position per modification M-1-2-85-53.

8604170230 860331  
PDR ADOCK 05000374  
S PDR

TECO  
11

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1):  LaSalle County Station Unit 2	DOCKET NUMBER (2):  05000374	LER NUMBER (6):			PAGE (3):		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
		85	032	02	02	OF	03

TEXT (if more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

I. EVENT DESCRIPTION

On May 24, 1985, a potential problem was identified during the performance of LIS-85-52, a functional test for proper indication from various Leak Detection (LD, IJ) temperature sensors. It was observed that the sensors designated as monitoring the cool air entering the Unit 2 Residual Heat Removal (RHR, BO) rooms from the Core Standby Cooling System Equipment Cooling Air System (VY, VF), were located on the wall beside the duct rather than in the duct as per the Unit 1 design.

This design was investigated for operability and on July 1, 1985, at 1530 hours with Unit 2 in Cold Shutdown, it was determined that the sensors, as installed, were not capable of sensing a differential temperature across the RHR rooms, thus rendering the Division I and II LD Isolation System (JM) for differential temperature inoperable.

II. CAUSE

The Unit 2 sensors are built in accordance with the Unit 2 design drawings.

Mechanical Engineering Change Notice, M-5861S, dated October 5, 1981, was issued to relocate the temperature sensors on both Unit 1 and Unit 2. The actual work involved is entirely electrical in nature. The electrical construction group has no record of receiving the mechanical ECN. The only record for the change to the Unit 1 system location is documented in Field Change Requests 8735 and 8736, dated in 1981. No Field Change Request was made for the Unit 2 sensors.

The root cause of the error was the failure of the original engineering organization to ensure that the Unit 1 changes were reflected in the Unit 2 design.

III. PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES OF THE OCCURRENCE

The consequences of the Division I and II RHR differential temperature logic being inoperable was minimal since the failure of both Division differential temperature sensors to function per operational design did not affect the operability of the Division I and II ambient temperature sensors or any of the other numerous leak detection isolation signals which would act to protect the equipment if a leak were to occur.

IV. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

The affected sensors have been relocated to a proper position per Modification M-1-2-85-53, Work Request L50001.

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)	
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		
LaSalle County Station Unit 2	0 5 0 0 0 3 7 4	8 5	- 0 3 2	- 0 2	0 3	OF 0 3

TEXT (if more space is required, use additional NRC Form 266A's) (17)

IV. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (Continued)

The Station Nuclear Engineering Department (SNED) was requested to review the as-built locations of the sensors for the Leak Detection Reactor Water Cleanup (CE) Heat Exchanger areas for Unit 2. The SNED review concluded that the sensor locations are proper and satisfactory.

SNED was also requested to analyze the RHR system operation with the "as found" location to determine the actual operational response of the differential temperature LD system. It has been determined that the differential temperature loops would have provided a zero or negative delta temperature (which reads out as zero) under all leak conditions.

V. PREVIOUS OCCURRENCES

The only similar event of this nature is documented in DVR 1-2-85-51 where the cable labels were swapped between an inlet differential temperature sensor and an ambient temperature sensor during initial construction for the Unit 2 RCIC equipment area LD system.

As a result of this event, LST-85-52 was performed, which tested LD temperature sensors by applying hot/cold sources to the thermocouples. The test results were satisfactory.

VI. NAME AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF PREPARER

Kermit C. Wittenburg, Tech Staff Engineer, 815/357-6761, extension 772.



**Commonwealth Edison**  
LaSalle County Nuclear Station  
Rural Route #1, Box 220  
Marseilles, Illinois 61341  
Telephone 815/357-6761

March 31, 1986

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Document Control Desk  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sir:

Reportable Occurrence Report #85-032-02, Docket #050-374 is being submitted to your office to supercede previously submitted Reportable Occurrence Report 85-032-01 to correct the Cause Code of the event.

*for* *R. D. Biele*  
G. J. Diederich  
Station Manager  
LaSalle County Station

GJD/DRR/kg

Enclosure

xc: NRC, Regional Director  
INPO-Records Center  
File/NRC

IE22  
11