

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION III 799 ROOSEVELT ROAD

GLEN ELLYN, ILLINOIS 60137

DCD/DCB (RIOS

JUN 0 1 1988

Docket No. 50-373 Docket No. 50-374

Commonwealth Edison Company ATTN: Mr. Cordell Reed Senior Vice President Post Office Box 767 Chicago, IL 60690

Gentlemen:

Enclosed for your review, prior to our scheduled meeting of June 21, 1988, is the SALP 7 Board Report for the LaSalle County Station, Units 1 and 2, covering the period November 16, 1986 through March 15, 1988.

In accordance with NRC policy, I have reviewed the SALP Board Assessment and concur with the assigned ratings. It is my view that Commonwealth Edison Company's conduct of nuclear activities in connection with the LaSalle County Station shows an appropriate concern for nuclear safety. Although current performance has improved as compared to SALP periods 3, 4 and 5, I believe Commonwealth Edison needs to maintain their effort to sustain this long-term improving trend in order to achieve superior performance. Highlights of the report are set forth below:

- The Assessment of Performance of LaSalle County Station indicated ten Category 2 ratings, one Category 2 with an improving trend and one Category 1 rating These ratings reflect acceptable performance in all functional areas.
- The area of emergency preparedness received a Category 2 with an improving trend rating which is an improvement from the Category 2 rating received during the previous SALP period.
- The area of licensing activities received a Category 1 rating which is an improvement from the Category 2 rating received during the previous SALP period.
- 4. For the area of maintenance, it was recommended by the SALP Board that you increase your attention to balance of plant maintenance.
- 5. For the area of surveillances, the SALP Board noted that your missed surveillances, personnel errors, and ESF actuations continue to be a matter of concern to the NRC.
- The area of security received a Category 2 rating which is an improvement from the Category 2 with a declining trend rating received during the previous SALP period.

IE40

While you will have sufficient opportunity to present your comments at the meeting on June 21, 1988, we also solicit written comments within 30 days after the meeting to enable us to thoroughly evaluate your comments and to provide you with our conclusion relative to them.

In accordance with Section 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, a copy of this letter and the SALP Report will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

No reply to this letter is required at this time; however, should you have any questions concerning the SALP Report, we would be pleased to discuss them with you.

Sincerely,

Enclosure: SALP 7 Board Reports

No. 50-373/88001(DRP); No. 50-374/88001(DRP)

cc w/enclosure:

H. Bliss, Nuclear Licensing Manager

G. J. Diederich, Plant

Manager

DCD/DCB (RIDS)

Licensing Fee Management Branch

Resident Inspector, RIII

Richard Hubbard

J. W. McCaffrey, Chief, Public

Utilities Division

David Rosenblatt, Governor's

Office of Consumer Services

T. E. Murley, Director, NRR

Regional Administrators RI, RII, RIV, RV

L. W. Zech, Chairman

K. C. Rogers, commissioner

F. M. Bernthal, Commissioner T. M. Roberts, Commissioner

K. M. Carr, Commissioner

P. Shemanski, NRR Project Manager

D. R. Muller, NRR Director, Project Directorate III-2

J. Lieberman, Director, Office of

Enforcement

E. McKenna, SALP Coordinator, NRR

N. B. Le, NRR

RIII PRR

RIII SGA

State Liaison Officer, State

of Illinois

INPO

DRP, DRS and DRSS Branch Chiefs

and Section Chiefs

D. E. Jones, Project Inspector, RIII