

suction to the containment sump during the recirculation phase of operation. In order to allow transfer to the recirculation phase, the TSs require that the RHR discharge to charging pump suction valves be closed with power supplied to the valves to allow realignment. In Mode 4, TS 3/4.5.3 requires that the RHR discharge to charging pump suction valves be closed with power removed from the valves to prevent possible overpressurization of the charging pump suction line piping.

In order to satisfy TS Surveillance Requirement 4.5.3.2, which specifies that the RHR discharge to charging pump suction valves be open with the power removed prior to entry into Mode 4 from Mode 3, the requirements of TS 3.5.2 would be violated. This conflict exists because the current TSs do not allow time between Modes 3 and 4 to remove power from the valves, or restore power to the valves during the transition from Mode 4 to Mode 3. The proposed TS change adds notes to TS 3/4.5.2 and TS 3/4.5.3 allowing 4 hours after transitioning between Modes 3 and 4 to allow restoration or removal of power to the valve operators as required. This change corrects an inconsistency in the current FNP TSs, and is consistent with allowances provided in the Westinghouse Standard Technical Specifications, NUREG-1431, Revision 1, dated April 7, 1995, to address similar situations and is, therefore, acceptable.

In other administrative TS changes, the licensee proposed to delete the cycle-specific guidance concerning manual engineered safety feature functional input checks. Because the cycle-specific surveillance has been completed in Operating Cycle 14, the TS guidance is no longer needed nor applicable. The staff considers this editorial change acceptable.

### 3.0 STAFF CONCLUSION

The staff has reviewed the licensee's proposed TS changes to resolve compliance issues related to the SR NI and RHR discharge to charging pump suction valves. Based on its review, the staff concludes that the proposed TS changes are acceptable.

### 4.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the State of Alabama official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendments. The State official had no comments.

### 5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendments change a requirement with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The NRC staff has determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (62 FR 33134, June 18, 1997). Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no