

APPENDIX A
NOTICE OF VIOLATION

TU Electric	Dockets: 50-445/88-59 50-446/88-55
Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station Units 1 and 2, Glen Rose, Texas	Permits: CPPR-126 CPPR-127

During a NRC inspection conducted on August 3 through September 8, 1988, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1988), the violation is listed below:

Criterion V of Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50, as implemented by Section 5.0, Revision 3 of the TU Electric Quality Assurance Plan (QAP), states, in part, "Activities affecting quality shall be prescribed by documented instructions, procedures, or drawings, or a type appropriate to the circumstances and shall be accomplished in accordance with these instructions, procedures, or drawings"

Instructions for Attachment 5, "Flex Conduits," Revision 3, to Field Verification Method (FVM) CPE-SWEC-FVM-EE/ME/IC/CS-089 states, in part, "All flex conduit . . . will be verified for proper type, only the unsat flex conduit will be listed on data sheet."

Contrary to the above, the following conditions were identified for room 150A:

1. Conduit C03G10245 was listed on the data sheet as Amertite Type VF (Unsatisfactory) though it is actually Sealtite Type UA (Satisfactory).
2. Conduit C03G06656 was not listed though it is Amertite Type VF (Unsatisfactory).

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement II) (445/8859-V-01).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, TU Electric is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U. S. nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC, 20555, with a copy to the Assistant Director for

Inspection Programs, Comanche Peak Project Division, Office of Special Projects, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation if admitted, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

RF Warnick

Dated at Comanche Peak Site
this 30th day of September, 1988