

AA61-2

PDR UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

EDD (info copy)
Dorian

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

COMMISSIONER'S
CONSENT CALENDAR ITEM RESPONSE NOTE

R MINOGUE
May as well start
answering K's ~~to~~ ~~push~~
it will come down later
in a challenge.
T 4/7

TO: Samuel J. Chilk
Secretary of the Commission

FROM: COMMISSIONER KENNEDY

SUBJECT: SECY-79-660 - PETITION FOR RULE MAKING (PRM) 50-17, "NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION"

79-557-80

Approved [Signature]

Disapproved _____

Noted Without Objection _____

Comments: Concur with attached comments.

I concur subject to attached comments.

[Signature]
Signature

1/4/80
Date

NOTE: Please also respond to and/or comment on OGC/UPE memorandum if one has been issued on this paper.



8604140380 860327
PDR PR
2 FR20491 PDR

1. The examples associated with the criteria should also be codified in a Regulatory Guide. The Statement of Considerations should reflect our intention to do so.
2. As a separate but related matter, I would appreciate staff's views on the desirability of requiring licensees and applicants to provide an analysis and finding with respect to significant hazards considerations as part of an application for an amendment.

AA61-2

POZ

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

WEC WORK COPY "K"

EDC
info copy

OFF OF THE SECRETARY

COMMISSIONER'S
CONSENT CALENDAR ITEM RESPONSE NOTE

R MINOGUE
May as well start
answering K's questions -
it will come down later
in a challenge.
1/17

TO: Samuel J. Chilk
Secretary of the Commission

FROM: COMMISSIONER KENNEDY

SUBJECT: SECY-79-660 - PETITION FOR RULE MAKING (PRM) 50-17, "NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION"

53-55-80

Approved *[Signature]*

Disapproved _____

Noted Without Objection _____

Comments: *Concur with attached*
Comments.

I concur subject to attached comments.

[Signature]
Signature
1/4/50
Date

NOTE: Please also respond to and/or comment on OGC/UPE memorandum if one has been issued on this paper.



PTO

201 CPPG
P7
Recommendation #1
FCN AS
PA E
W1



1. The examples associated with the criteria should also be codified in a Regulatory Guide. The Statement of Considerations should reflect our intention to do so.
2. As a separate but related matter, I would appreciate staff's views on the desirability of requiring licensees and applicants to provide an analysis and finding with respect to significant hazards considerations as part of an application for an amendment.

AAJ61-2

PDR
5/14/81

SIMPSON - SENATE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NUCLEAR REGULATION ACTION

SHOLLY AMENDMENT

a

Sec. . Section 189 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, is amended by adding the following at the end of subsection a. thereof: "The Commission is authorized to issue and to make immediately effective an amendment to a license upon a determination by the Commission that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, notwithstanding the pendency before it of a request for a hearing from any person. In making its determination under this subsection that an amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission shall consult with the State in which the facility is located. The authority under this subsection to issue and to make immediately effective an amendment to a license shall take effect upon the promulgation by the Commission of standards for determining whether an amendment to a license involves no significant hazards consideration."

b

Sec. . For the purpose of implementing the amendment to section 189 a. of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 contained in section of this Act, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, within 90 days of enactment of this Act, shall promulgate regulations establishing standards for determining whether an amendment to a license involves no significant hazards consideration, criteria for providing or dispensing with prior notice and public comment on such determinations, and criteria for consultation on such determinations with the State in which the facility is located.