



Public Service of New Hampshire

SEABROOK STATION Engineering Office: 1671 Worcester Road Framingham, Massachusetts 01701 April 7, 1986 SBN-993 T.F. B7.1.3

**New Hampshire Yankee Division** 

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Attention: Mr. Vincent S. Noonan, Project Director PWR Project Directorate No. 5

(a) Construction Permits CPPR-135 and CPPR-136, Docket References: Nos. 50-443 and 50-444

> (b) PSNH letter (SBN-968), dated March 14, 1986, "Containment Structural Integrity Test", J. DeVincentis to V. Noonan

Subject: Containment Structural Integrity Test

Dear Sir:

8604090260 860407

05000443

ADOCK

PDR

In our previous letter to you [Reference (b)] we indicated that the Seabrook Containment Structural Integrity Test was not required to take strain readings at the containment spring line, because it was not required by ASME III, Division 2 code requirements for "non-prototype" containments.

As noted in Reference (b), Indian Point (IP) Unit 2 is the prototype for both Seabrook Station and Washington Public Power Supply System (WPPSS) Unit 1 containment structures - all designed and constructed by UE&C. The Seabrook containments and WPPSS unit 1 are considered "non-prototype" as defined in the USNRC Regulatory Guide 1.18 (Appendix A and Article CC-6212.2 of ASME Code Section III, Division 2.

To further justify our characterization, your staff requested a comparison of the basic containment features between WPPSS Unit 1, IP Unit 2 and Seabrook Unit 1. Accordingly enclosed please find, as Attachment 1 the comparison that identifies those features which show that Seabrook's containment configuration, design and construction are nearly identical to the prototype plant.

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Very truly yours,

J. DeVincentis, Director Engineering and Licensing

cc: Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Service List

P.O. Box 300 · Seabrook, NH O3874 · Telephone (6O3) 474-9521

Diane Curran Harmon & Weiss 20001 S. Street, N.W. Suite 430 Washington, D.C. 20009

Sherwin E. Turk, Esq. Office of the Executive Legal Director U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Robert A. Backus, Esquire 116 Lowell Street P.O. Box 516 Manchester, NH 03105

Philip Ahrens, Esquire Assistant Attorney General Department of The Attorney General Statehouse Station #6 Augusta, ME 04333

Mrs. Sandra Gavutis Designated Representative of the Town of Kensington RFD 1 East Kingston, NH 03827

Jo Ann Shotwell, Esquire Assistant Attorney General Environmental Protection Bureau Department of the Attorney General One Ashburton Place, 19th Floor Boston, MA 02108

Senator Gordon J. Humphrey U.S. Senate Washington, DC 20510 (ATTN: Tom Burack)

Diana P. Randall 70 Collins Street Seabrook, NH 03874

Richard A. Hampe, Esq. Hampe and McNicholas 35 Pleasant Street Concord, NH 03301

Donald E. Chick Town Manager Town of Exeter 10 Front Street Exeter, NH 03833

Brentwood Board of Selectmen RFD Dalton Road Brentwood, NH 03833 Peter J. Mathews, Mayor City Hall Newburyport, MA 01950

Calvin A. Canney City Manager City Hall 126 Daniel Street Portsmouth, NH 03801

Stephen E. Merrill Attorney General Dana Bisbee, Esquire Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 25 Capitol Street Concord, NH 03301-6397

Mr. J. P. Nadeau Selectmen's Office 10 Central Road Rye, NH 03870

Mr. Angie Machiros Chairman of the Board of Selectmen Town of Newbury Newbury, MA 01950

Mr. William S. Lord Board of Selectmen Town Hall - Friend Street Amesbury, MA 01913

Senator Gordon J. Humphrey 1 Pillsbury Street Concord, NH 03301 (ATTN: Herb Boynton)

H. Joseph Flynn Office of General Counsel Federal Emergency Management Agency 500 C Street, SW Washington, DC 20472

Matthew T. Brock, Esq. Shaines Madrigan & McEachern 25 Maplewood Avenue P.O. Box 360 Portsmouth, NH 03801

Gary W. Holmes, Esq. Holmes & Ells 47 Winnacunnet Road Hampton, NH 03841

Ed Thomas FEMA Region I John W. McCormack PO & Courthouse Boston, MA 02109

## ATTACHMENT 1

IP-2, WPPSS-1 and SB-1 are conventional steel-lined reinforced concrete containments (PWR). They are nearly identical in configuration, design, and construction. All these containments are made of three basic structural elements - the circular base mat, an upright cylindrical shell, and a hemispherical dome. Comparisons of basic features of these three containments are as follows:

		IP-2	WPPSS-1	<u>SB-1</u>
1.	Cylindrical Wall:			
	<ul> <li>a. Inside Diameter</li> <li>b. Thickness</li> <li>c. Height from top of base mat to spring line</li> </ul>	135'-0" 4'-6" 148'-0"	150'-0" 4'-6" 166'-0"	140'-0" 4'-6" 149'-0"
2.	Hemispherical Dome:			
	a. Inside Radius b. Thickness	67'-6" 3'-6"	75'-0" 3'-6"	70'-0" 3'-6"
3.	Circular base mat thickness	10'-0"	17'-6"	10'-0"
4.	Diameter of Equipment Hatch Opening	16'-0"	25'-0"	28'-0"
5.	Diameter of Personnel Airlock Opening	8'-6"	9'-0"	7'-1-1/2"
6.	Test Pressure	54 psig	60 psig	60 psig

Based on above, it is justified to consider SB-1 Containment as "nonprototype." Being "nonprototype" containment, it does not incorporate the following "new or unusual design features" as defined in Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.18:

- 1. A dome with a shape other than hemispherical.
- 2. An opening larger than 0.2D, where D is the internal diameter of the cylinder.
- Two openings with a diameter greater than 0.15D that are separated by a distance of less than 0.2D.
- 4. A connection of the cylindrical wall to the bottom slab or to the dome by a sliding joint, a hinge or a combination of sliding joint and hinges.
- 5. A pattern of main reinforcing other than vertical straight bars and horizontal hoop.
- 6. An intermediate interior floor connected to the wall.
- 7. Any other structural design feature that may decrease the safety margins from those of a containment confirmed by an acceptance test.