Mr. Martin L. Bowling, Jr. Recovery Officer - Technical Services Northeast Nuclear Energy Company c/o Ms. Patricia A. Loftus Director - Regulatory Affairs P. O. Box 128 Waterford, Connecticut 06385

SUBJECT: MILLSTONE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT 3 - REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (TAC NO. MA3372)

Dear Mr. Bowling:

In a June 16, 1998, letter, Sargent & Lundy documented two discrepancy reports, DR-MP3-0514 and 0580, which raised issues related to the adequacy of the licensing basis of Millstone Unit 3, rather than Northeast Utilities' compliance with the Millstone Unit 3 licensing basis. In order for the staff to complete its review of the issues, additional information is required.

The enclosed request was discussed with Mr. David Dodson of your staff on October 16, 1998. A mutually agreeable target date of November 30, 1998, for your response was established. If circumstances result in the need to revise the target date, please call me at the earliest opportunity.

Sincerely,

Original signed by D. McDonald for:

NAC FILE CENTER COPY

DEDI

James W. Andersen, Project Manager Millstone Project Directorate Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-423

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

10

Docket File	JDurr, RI
PUBLIC	JAndersen
MSPD Reading	DScrenci, RI
LBerry	OGC
ACRS	JPulsipher, NRR
WDean	

DOCUMENT NAME: GVAndersen\a3372.rai

*See previous concurrence

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without enclosures "E" = Copy with enclosures "N" = No copy

OFFICE	MSPD-PM	MSPD-LA	D:MSPD*	*ICAVP	*SCSB
NAME	JAndersen	LBerry XQ	WDean	Elmbro	JPulsipher
DATE	1/2/98	9/ /98	9/15/98	09/15/98	09/9/98

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

9810290157 981023 ADOCK



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

October 23, 1998

Mr. Martin L. Bowling, Jr. **Recovery Officer - Technical Services** Northeast Nuclear Energy Company c/o Ms. Patricia A. Loftus **Director - Regulatory Affairs** P. O. Box 128 Waterford, Connecticut 06385

SUBJECT: MILLSTONE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT 3 - REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (TAC NO. MA3372)

Dear Mr. Bowling:

In a June 16, 1998, letter, Sargent & Lundy documented two discrepancy reports, DR-MP3-0514 and 0580, which raised issues related to the adequacy of the licensing basis of Millstone Unit 3, rather than Northeast Utilities' compliance with the Millstone Unit 3 licensing basis. in order for the staff to complete its review of the issues, additional information is required.

The enclosed request was discussed with Mr. David Dodson of your staff on October 16, 1998. A mutually agreeable target date of November 30, 1998, for your response was established. If circumstances result in the need to revise the target date, please call me at the earliest opportunity.

Sincerely.

Jon James W. Andersen, Project Manager Millstone Project Directorate Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-423

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/end: See next page

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

MILLSTONE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT 3

DOCKET NO. 50-423

 In the safety evaluation supporting License Amendment No. 87, page 7, the staff stated that:

> The licensee now notes, in the "Bases" for TS [Technical Specification] 3.4.6.6.1.d that this change is made "In order to ensure a negative pressure in all areas inside the secondary containment boundary under most meteorological conditions, the negative pressure acceptance criteria at the measured location is 0.4 inches water gauge (WG)." The licensee goes on to state, "It is recognized that there will be an occasional meteorological condition under which slightly positive pressure may exist at some localized portions of the boundary (e.g., the upper elevations on the down wind side of a building). For example, a very low outside temperature combined with a moderate wind speed could cause a slightly positive pressure at the upper elevations of the containment enclosure building on the leeward face. The probability of occurrence of meteorological conditions which could result in such a positive differential pressure condition in the upper levels of the enclosure building has been estimated to be less than 2% of the time.

The staff further stated on page 7:

The licensee has conducted four tests with the new equipment setup to simulate winter (2 tests) and summer (2 tests). The staff reviewed these tests and determined that the subatmospheric pressure in all areas controlled by SLCRS [supplementary leak collection and release system] was reduced to a value below that desired (-0.4-inch WG) within the 120-second period. These tests also indicated that the value attained at the 24-feet, 6-inch level in the auxiliary building was higher (less negative) than or approximately equivalent to that in the other buildings.

In the Sargent & Lundy (S&L) letter dated June 16, 1998, DR-MP3-0514 raises the concern that the single point differential measurement in the auxiliary building is not representative of the containment enclosure differential pressure in all cases. The S&L letter specifically discusses the main steam valve building. Please address S&L's comment (No. 2) under the "Comments on Second and Supplemental Responses" section. Is the single testing location (24-feet, 6-inch elevation in the auxiliary building) still considered adequate and representative of the entire secondary containment as discussed in Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) Section 6.2.3.3?

Enclosure

- 2. FSAR Section 6.2.3.3 states that the surveillance verifies that one train of SLCRS in conjunction with the auxiliary building filtration system will produce a negative pressure of -0.4-inch WG at the auxiliary building's 24-feet, 6-inch elevation relative to the outside atmosphere in the secondary containment. In S&L's letter dated June 16, 1998, DR-MP3-0580 raises issues regarding the ventilation fans running during the surveillance and the effect on the results of the surveillance.
 - a. Please describe the Millstone Unit 3 surveillance testing procedure as it relates to fan operation during the test.
 - b. Are the initial operating conditions for the surveillance test specified or consistently established?
 - c. If the initial operating conditions are not consistent, how is degradation of secondary containment integrity trended?
 - d. If the initial operating conditions are consistently established, describe how degradation of secondary containment integrity is trended.

Millstone Nuclear Power Station Unit 3

CC:

Lillian M. Cuoco, Esquire Senior Nuclear Counsel Northeast Utilities Service Company P. O. Box 270 Hartford, CT 06141-0270

Edward L. Wilds, Jr., Ph.D. Director, Division of Radiation Department of Environmental Protection 79 Elm Street Hartford, CT 06106-5127

Regional Administrator, Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

First Selectmen Town of Waterford 15 Rope Ferry Road Waterford, CT 06385

Mr. Wayne D. Lanning, Director Millstone Inspections Office of the Regional Administrator 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406-1415

Mr. M. H. Brothers Vice President - Millstone Unit 3 Northeast Nuclear Energy Company P.O. Box 128 Waterford, CT 06385

Mr. M. R. Scully, Executive Director Connecticut Municipal Electric Energy Cooperative 30 Stott Avenue Norwich, CT 06360

Mr. John Carlin Vice President - Human Services Northeast Utilities Service Company P. O. Box 128 Waterford, CT 06385 Joseph R. Egan, Esquire Egan & Associates, P.C. 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 20037

Mr. F. C. Rothen Vice President - Work Services Northeast Utilities Service Company P. O. Box 128 Waterford, CT 06385

Ernest C. Hadley, Esquire 1040 B Main Street P.O. Box 549 West Wareham, MA 02576

Mr. John Buckingham Department of Public Utility Control Electric Unit 10 Liberty Square New Britain, CT 06051

Mr. James S. Robinson, Manager Nuclear Investments and Administration New England Power Company 25 Research Drive Westborough, MA 01582

Mr. John Streeter Recovery Officer - Nuclear Oversight Northeast Utilities Service Company P. O. Box 128 Waterford, CT 06385

Deborah Katz, President Citizens Awareness Network P.O. Box 83 Shelburne Falls, MA 03170

Mr. Allan Johanson, Assistant Director
Office of Policy and Management
Policy Development and Planning
Division
450 Capitol Avenue - MS# 52ERN
P. O. Box 341441
Hartford, CT 06134-1441

Millstone Nuclear Power Station Unit 3

CC:

The Honorable Terry Concannon Nuclear Energy Advisory Council Room 4035 Legislative Office Building Capitol Avenue Hartford, CT 06106

Mr. Evan W. Woollacott Co-Chair Nuclear Energy Advisory Council 128 Terry's Plain Road Simsbury, CT 06070

Mr. John W. Beck, President Little Harbor Consultants, Inc. Millstone - ITPOP Project Office P.O. Box 0630 Niantic, CT 06357-0630

Mr. Leon J. Olivier Chief Nuclear Officer - Millstone Northeast Nuclear Energy Company P.O. Box 128 Waterford, CT 06385

Mr. Daniel L. Curry Project Director Parsons Power Group Inc. 2675 Morgantown Road Reading, PA 19607

Mr. P. D. Hinnenkamp Director - Unit 3 Northeast Nuclear Energy Company P.O. Box 128 Waterford, CT 06385

Senior Resident Inspector Millstone Nuclear Power Station c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 513 Niantic, CT 06357

Nicholas J. Scobbo, Jr., Esquire Ferriter, Scobbo, Caruso, & Rodophele, P.C. 75 State Street, 7th Floor Boston, MA 0210 Citizens Regulatory Commission ATTN: Ms. Susan Perry Luxton 180 Great Neck Road Waterford, CT 06385

Mr. William D. Meinert Nuclear Engineer Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company P.O. Box 426 Ludlow, MA 01056