



SEABROOK STATION
Engineering Office

Public Service of New Hampshire

March 19, 1986

New Hampshire Yankee Division

SBN- 972
T.F. B7.1.2

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Attention: Mr. Vincent S. Noonan, Project Director
PWR Project Directorate No. 5

References: (a) Construction Permits CPPR-135 and CPPR-136, Docket
Nos. 50-443 and 50-444

(b) PSNH Letter (SBN-903), dated November 27, 1985, "Resolution
of Power System Branch Confirmatory Items", J. DeVincentis
to G.W. Knighton

Subject: Resolution of Power System Branch Confirmatory Items

Dear Sir:

Enclosed please find Attachment 1 which incorporates additional information
to our response to Confirmatory Item C-29, Compliance with Regulatory Guide
1.63, already transmitted to the staff via Reference (b). This additional
information was requested by the Staff (PSB Reviewers).

Since the enclosed completes our response to Confirmatory Item C-29,
we request its resolution be reflected in the next supplement to Seabrook
Station's SER.

Very truly yours,

John DeVincentis, Director
Engineering and Licensing

Enclosures

cc: Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Service List

8603210185 860319
PDR ADOCK 05000443
E PDR

13001
11

Diane Curran
Harmon & Weiss
20001 S. Street, N.W.
Suite 430
Washington, D.C. 20009

Sherwin E. Turk, Esq.
Office of the Executive Legal Director
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Robert A. Backus, Esquire
116 Lowell Street
P.O. Box 516
Manchester, NH 03105

Philip Ahrens, Esquire
Assistant Attorney General
Department of The Attorney General
Statehouse Station #6
Augusta, ME 04333

Mrs. Sandra Gavutis
Designated Representative of
the Town of Kensington
RFD 1
East Kingston, NH 03827

Jo Ann Shotwell, Esquire
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection Bureau
Department of the Attorney General
One Ashburton Place, 19th Floor
Boston, MA 02108

Senator Gordon J. Humphrey
U.S. Senate
Washington, DC 20510
(ATTN: Tom Burack)

Diana P. Randall
70 Collins Street
Seabrook, NH 03874

Richard A. Hampe, Esq.
Hampe and McNicholas
35 Pleasant Street
Concord, NH 03301

Donald E. Chick
Town Manager
Town of Exeter
10 Front Street
Exeter, NH 03833

Brentwood Board of Selectmen
RFD Dalton Road
Brentwood, NH 03833

Peter J. Mathews, Mayor
City Hall
Newburyport, MA 01950

Calvin A. Canney
City Manager
City Hall
126 Daniel Street
Portsmouth, NH 03801

Stephen E. Merrill
Attorney General
Dana Bisbee, Esquire
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
25 Capitol Street
Concord, NH 03301-6397

Mr. J. P. Nadeau
Selectmen's Office
10 Central Road
Rye, NH 03870

Mr. Angie Machiros
Chairman of the Board of Selectmen
Town of Newbury
Newbury, MA 01950

Mr. William S. Lord
Board of Selectmen
Town Hall - Friend Street
Amesbury, MA 01913

Senator Gordon J. Humphrey
1 Pillsbury Street
Concord, NH 03301
(ATTN: Herb Boynton)

H. Joseph Flynn
Office of General Counsel
Federal Emergency Management Agency
500 C Street, SW
Washington, DC 20472

Matthew T. Brock, Esq.
Shaines, Madrigan & McEachern
25 Maplewood Avenue
P.O. Box 360
Portsmouth, NH 03801

Gary W. Holmes, Esq.
Holmes & Ells
47 Winnacunnet Road
Hampton, NH 03841

Ed Thomas
FEMA Region I
John W. McCormack PO & Courthouse
Boston, MA 02109

ATTACHMENT 1

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR CONFIRMATORY

ITEM C-29, COMPLIANCE WITH

REGULATORY GUIDE 1.63

(Reference PSNH Letter SBN-903, dated November 27, 1985)

This confirmatory item pertains to the capability of the electrical penetrations to withstand short circuit current from power sources of limited capacity such as control power transformers. We are providing herewith the pertinent part of UE&C Calculation No. 9763-3-ED-00-37-F documenting the capability of the electrical penetrations to withstand such short circuit currents.

In the event of a short across a circuit inside containment fed from a control power transformer, the maximum short circuit current of 28.4 amperes will flow in the circuit without causing any damage to the penetration.

Based on discussions with the manufacturer of the Control Power Transformers (CPT) used in the Seabrook design, the following is an analysis of the modes of failures of a CPT with a shorted secondary (in this analysis, we consider that the fuse provided on all secondaries does not operate): Based on their experience and technical knowledge, the most probable mode of failure is the opening of the primary winding. The primary winding has the smaller size wire and it will fail turn-to-turn and eventually open.

Theoretically, another mode of failure can be postulated; i.e., primary and secondary winding weld together so that the secondary can see the 480 Volt system voltage and short circuit currents. For the reasons outlined below, we consider this kind of failure to have such low probability that it can be disregarded:

- The winding on the Seabrook CPT are potted.
- In addition to the potting, there is insulating material separating the primary from the secondary.
- There has to be some movement of the windings during the short so they can end up welded together. It is pointed out that in a CPT of the sizes used at the Seabrook design, there is not enough magnetic forces during a shorting of the secondary to cause such movement of the windings.

As discussed above, we do not believe that the primary and the secondary windings of the control power transformers (CPT's) can be welded together such that 480V is directly applied across the secondary winding. However, as requested by the NRC reviewer, we have reviewed this failure mode also.

Any fault on the CPT powered circuits will appear as a ground fault on the associated 480V system. Seabrook station 480V system is a high resistance grounded system with a maximum ground fault current of 2.92 amperes. Hence, under the above postulated condition the maximum possible current seen by the affected penetration conductors will be less than 2.92 amperes. Seabrook penetrations can easily withstand this current without any degradation.

Therefore, the circuits inside containment which are powered through the control power transformers, do not require dual protective devices for the penetration conductors.