

GPU Nuclear, Inc. Route 441 South Post Office Box 480 Middletown, PA 17057-0480 Tel 717-944-7621

1920-98-20210

October 19, 1998

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Gentlemen:

Subject:

Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1 (TMI-1)

Operating License No. DPR-50

Docket No. 50-289

Technical Specification Change Request No. 272- Reactor Coolant System Activity

In accordance with 10CFR50.4(b)(1), enclosed is Technical Specification Change Request (TSCR) No. 272.

The purpose of this TSCR is to revise the TMI-1 Technical Specification limit on reactor coolant system specific activity. The proposed revision provides an allowable reactor coolant system specific activity limit based on once-through steam generator inspection results performed each refueling outage. The proposed maximum limit allowable is 1.0 microcurie /gram dose equivalent I-131 which was the technical specification required limit in effect prior to TMI-1 Cycle 12 startup.

Using the standards in 10CFR50.92, GPU Nuclear has concluded that these proposed changes do not constitute a significant hazards consideration, as described in the enclosed analysis performed in accordance with 10CFR50.91(a)(1). Also enclosed is the Certification of Service for this request certifying service to the chief executives of the township and county in which the facility is located, as well as the designated official of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Bureau of Radiation Protection.

The enclosed Polestar Calculation No. PSAT 05653A.04, Rev. 1, "Formulation of a Once-Through Steam Generator DF for Radioiodine (as I2) to be credited for TMI-1 MSLB w/AIS/PAS, May 1998," contains proprietary information as defined in 10CFR2.790(a)(4). Accordingly, it is requested that this document be withheld from public disclosure. An affidavit certifying the basis for this application for withholding as required by 10CFR2.790(b)(1) is also attached to this letter (Enclosure 4).

9810230090 981019 PDR ADOCK 05000289

Sincerely,

Vice President and Director, TMI

st located in File Center

- Encl. (1) TMI-1 TSCR No. 272 Safety Evaluation, No Significant Hazards Consideration, Technical Specification Revised Pages
 - (2) Certificate of Service for TMI-1 TSCR No. 272
 - (3) Proprietary Polestar Calculation No. PSAT 05653A.04, Rev. 1 "Formulation of a Once-Through Steam Generator DF for Radioiodine (as I₂) to be credited for TMI-1 MSLB W/AIS/PAS, May 1998".
 - (4) Non-Proprietary Polestar Calculation No. PSAT 05653A.04, Rev. 1 "Formulation of a Once-Through Steam Generator DF for Radioiodine (as I₂) to be credited for TMI-1 MSLB W/AIS/PAS, May 1998".
 - (5) Polestar Applied Technology, Inc. Affidavit Certifying Request for Withholding from Public Disclosure

cc: Administrator, Region I TMI Senior Resident Inspector TMI-1 Senior Project Manager

METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY JERSEY CENTRAL POWER & LIGHT COMPANY AND PENNSYLVANIA ELECTRIC COMPANY THREE MILE ISLAND NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 1

Operating License No. DPR-50
Docket No. 50-289
Technical Specification Change Request No. 272

| COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA |) | |
|------------------------------|---|----|
| |) | SS |
| COUNTY OF DAUPHIN |) | |

This Technical Specification Change Request is submitted in support of Licensee's request to change Appendix A to Operating License No. DPR-50 for Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1. As a part of this request, proposed replacement pages for Appendix A are also included.

GPU NUCLEAR INC.

Vice President and Director TMI

Sworn and Subscribed to before me this / Haday of States 19

Notary Vublic

Notarial Seal Suzanne C. Miklosik, Notary Public Londonderry Twp., Dauphin County My Commission Expires Nov. 22, 1999

Member, Pennsylvania Association of Notaries

ENCLOSURE 5

Polestar Applied Technology, Inc. Affidavit Certifying Request for Withholding From Public Disclosure

Polestar Applied Technology, Inc.

AFFIDAVIT

- I, David E.W. Leaver, being duly sworn, depose and state as follows:
- (1) I am a Principal and an Officer of Polestar Applied Technology, Inc. ("Polestar") and am responsible for the function of reviewing the information described in paragraph (2) which is sought to be withheld, and have been authorized to apply for its withholding
- (2) The information sought to be withheld is contained in portions of the following Polestar reports and calculations prepared for GPUN in support of an application of iodine behavior insights on iodine retention in the secondary side of once through steam generators (OTSG) for the design basis iodine spiking accident for the Three Mile Island Unit 1 Nuclear Plant:

PSAT 05653A.04, Rev. 1 Formulation of a Once-Through Steam Generator DF for Radioiodine (as I₂) to be Credited for TMI-1 MSLB w/AIS/PAS

- (3) In making this application for withholding of proprietary information of which it is the owner, Polestar relies upon the exemption from disclosure set forth in the NRC regulations 10 CFR 9.17(a)(4), 2.790(a)(4), and 2.790(d)(1) for "trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential" (Exemption 2.790(a)(4)). The material for which exemption from disclosure is here sought is all "confidential commercial information".
- (4) Some examples of categories of information which fit into the definition of proprietary information are:
 - a. Information that discloses a process or method, including supporting data and analyses, where prevention of its use by Polestar's competitors without license from Polestar constitutes a competitive economic advantage over other companies.
 - Information which, if used by a competitor, would significantly reduce his expenditure of resources or improve his competitive position in the analysis, design, assurance of quality, or licensing of a similar product;

- Information which reveals cost or price information, production capacities, budget levels, or commercial strategies of Polestar, its customers, or its suppliers;
- d. Information which reveals aspects of past, present, or future Polestar customer-funded development plans and programs, of potential commercial value to Polestar;
- e. Information which discloses patentable subject matter for which it may be desirable to obtain patent protection.

The information sought to be withheld is considered to be proprietary for the reasons set forth in both paragraphs (4)a and (4)b, above.

- (5) The information sought to be withheld is being submitted to GPUN (and, we trust, to NRC) in confidence. The information is of a sort customarily held in confidence by Polestar, and is in fact so held. The information sought to be withheld has, to the best of my knowledge and belief, consistently been held in confidence by Polestar, no public disclosure has been made, and it is not available in public sources. All disclosures to third parties including any required transmittals to NRC, have been made, or must be made, pursuant to regulatory provisions or proprietary agreements which provide for maintenance of the information in confidence. Its initial designation as proprietary information, and the subsequent steps taken to prevent its unauthorized disclosure, are as set forth in paragraphs (6) and (7) following.
- (6) Initial approval of proprietary treatment of a document is made by the manager of the originating component, the person most likely to be acquainted with the value and sensitivity of the information in relation to industry knowledge. Distribution of such documents within Polestar is limited to those with a need to know.
- (7) The approval of external release of such a document typically requires review by the project manager, and the Polestar Principal closest to the work, for technical content, competitive effect, and determination of the accuracy of the proprietary designation. Disclosures outside Polestar are limited to regulatory bodies, customers, and potential customers, and their agents, suppliers, and licensees, and others with a legitimate need for the information, and then only in accordance with appropriate regulatory provisions or proprietary agreements.
- (8) The information identified in paragraph (2), above, is classified as proprietary because it contains detailed information on and results from a methodology developed by Polestar and applied under the Polestar 10 CFR 50, Appendix B

Quality Assurance Program. The methodology addresses iodine (as I₂) retention in the secondary side of the OTSG during the design basis iodine spiking accident for the Three Mile Island Unit 1 Nuclear Plant. Such retention has not traditionally been considered in USNRC licensing design basis calculations, and thus new methods development was required.

The methodology used in the Three Mile Island calculations is one of a number of Polestar developed methods, models, and codes. Development of these methods, models, and codes was achieved at a significant cost to Polestar, on the order of \$75,000, which is a significant fraction of internal research and development resources available to a company the size of Polestar.

The development of the methods, models and codes, along with the interpretation and application of the results, is derived from the extensive experience database that constitutes a major Polestar asset.

(9) Public disclosure of the information sought to be withheld is likely to cause substantial harm to Polestar's competitive position and foreclose or reduce the availability of profit-making opportunities. The information is part of Polestar's comprehensive technology base on application of the revised source term to operating plants and advanced light water reactors, and its commercial value extends beyond the original development cost. The value of the technology base goes beyond the extensive physical database and analytical methodology and includes development of the expertise to determine and apply the appropriate evaluation process. In addition, the technology base includes the value derived from providing analyses done with methods which have been developed and are being maintained in accordance with 10 CFR 50, Appendix B requirements.

The research, development, engineering, analytical and review costs comprise a substantial investment of time and money by Polestar.

The precise value of the expertise to devise an evaluation process and apply the correct analytical methodology is difficult to quantify, but it clearly is substantial.

Polestar's competitive advantage will be lost if its competitors are able to use the results of the Polestar experience to normalize or verify their own process or if they are able to claim an equivalent understanding by demonstrating that they can arrive at the same or similar conclusions.

The value of this information to Pole star would be lost if the information were disclosed to the public. Making such information available to competitors without their having been required to undertake a similar expenditure of resource. would unfairly provide competitors with a windfall, and deprive

Polestar of the opportunity to exercise its competitive advantage to seek an adequate return on its relatively large investment in developing these very valuable analytical tools.

| STATE OF CALIFORNIA | |
|-----------------------|----|
| | SS |
| COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA | |

David E.W. Leaver, is being duly sworn, deposes and says:

That he has read the foregoing affidavit and the matters stated therein are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information, and belief.

Executed at Los Altos, California, this 10th day of Jarly

1998.

1998.

David E.W. Leaver Polestar Applied Technology, Inc.

Subscribed and sworn before me this 18th day of July

RUTH TUBBS
Commission # 1072470
Notary Public - California
Santa Clara County
My Comm. Expires Oct 8, 1999

Notary Public, State of California