	LIC	ENSEE EVENT REP	PORT (LER)		CLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION NPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 8/31/85
ACILITY NAME (1)				OCKET NUMBER	(2) FAGE (5)
Shoreham Nuclear		and the second se	the second se	0 15 10 10	the second se
Emergency Bu				ng I&C s	urveillance test
resulting in EDG	start and load an	A NUMEROUS ESF		FACILITIES INVO	DLVED (8)
MONTH DAY YEAR YEAR	SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER		FACILITY NAM		DOCKET NUMBER(S)
	NOMBER				0 15 10 10 10 1 1
					C. S. R. Chiere
04308888	006 00	0 5 2 7 8 8			0 15 0 0 0 0 1
OPERATING	PORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT		CFR §: (Check one or more )	of the following) (	73.71(b)
	402(b)	20.405(c) 50.36(c)(1)	50.73(a)(2)(v)		73.71(c)
LEVEL	406(s)(1)(8)	50.36(c)(2)	50.73(a)(2)(vii)		OTHER (Specify in Abstract
	.406(a)(1)(iii)	60.73(a)(2)(i)	50.73'a)(2)(viii)(	A.)	below end in Text, NRC Form 366A)
20	406 (a) (1) (iv)	80.73(a)(2)(H)	50.73(a)(2)(viii)(	8)	
20	.406 (a) (1) (v)	50.73(a)(2)(iii)	60.73(s)(2)(x)		
		LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS	LER (12)		TELEPHONE NUMBER
NAME	3. S. C. S.			AREA CODE	
Robert W. Gruns	eich, Operational	l Compliance Eng	gineer	5,1,6	5 9 2 9 - 8 3 0
	COMPLETE ONE LINE FOI	R EACH COMPONENT FAILURE	DESCRIBED IN THIS REPOR	IT (13)	
CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT	MANUFAC REPORTABLE	CAUSE	SYSTEM COMPONENT	MANUFAC	REPORTABLE .
	TURER TO NEADS			TURER	TONPHUS
				Lara	
			+ <b>kkkk</b>	+	
	L C L L L L			1.1.1.1	
	SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT	T EXPECTED (14)	1 _ 1 _ 1 _ 1 _ 1 _ 1 _ 1 _ 1 _ 1	EXPECT	MONTH DAY YEA
				SUBMIS	SION
YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 speces, i.e.,		X NO		1	
with a subse due to perso (Cold Shutdo inserted in was performi Program (SP the emergenc	1988, at 1245 quent start of nnel error. T wn) with the the core. An ng a surveilla 44.309.04). B y bus 101 from	EDG 101 and The plant was mode switch Instrument a ance test on By procedure,	numerous ES in Operation in Shutdown nd Controls the Emergence he lifted a	SF actional Con and all (I&C) by Bus l a lead energin	uations ndition 4 l rods technician Load to prevent

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

US MUCLEAS REGULATORY COMMUNICATION ANOTOVED Date to 3160-6104 ESPIRES 8/31-61

*A11,17* BANE IS.	DOCALL BURNELE U			PASE 13-	
		****	110/11		
Shoreham Nuclear Power Station Unit #1	0 16 10 10 10 1 3 2 1 2	818		h 12 or 15	
11" If man and a taura up approve hat have been at (1);	and the state of t				

#### PLANT AND SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION

General Electric - Boiling Water Reactor

Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes are identified in the text as [xx].

#### IDENTIFICATION OF THE EVENT

An Emergency Diesel Generator [DG] inadvertantly started, along with numerous ESF actuations, during Emergency bus load sequences program testing [EK] due to personnel error. The bus was down powered and the emergency bus program started, which caused the diesel to start.

Event Date: 4/30/88

Report Date: 5/27/88

CONDITIONS PRIOR TO THE EVENT

Operational Condition - 4 (Cold Shutdown)

Mode Switch - Shutdown

RPV Pressure = 0 psig

RPV Temperature = 107 degrees F

POWER LEVEL - 0

### DESCRIPTION OF THE EVENT

On April 30, 1988 an I&C (Instrument and Control) Technician was performing Station Procedure (SP) 44,309.04 (4160 Volt Emergency Bus Load Sequence Program Calibration and Functional Check). He had performed a step in the procedure in which he lifted a lead to disable the 27/86 relay (a lock-out relay). When energized, this relay prevents any offsite power from supplying power to Bus 101. Rather than go to lunch and leave the Emergency Bus Program disabled, the technician re-landed the lifted lead, restoring power to the 27/86 relay and making the 101 Bus Emergency Bus Program operable. This step was performed out of sequence and he did not inform anyone that he had done this.

After lunch, the technician forgot that he had re-landed the lifted lead. He then placed a jumper to energize the 27 TDL relay which then actuated the undervoltage lock-out (27/86) relay, resulting in the de-energization of the Emergency Bus 101.

111 19-1 Box	LICENSEE	EVENT REPOR	T (LER) TEXT CON	INUATION	1			
******* BANS 11.			POCKIT MUMBIN U:		LES BURNETS IS		PAST 13-	
				****	********	PI		
Shorehan Nuc	lear Power Sta	tion Unit #1				- court		

• 0 16 10 10 10 13 12 1 2 8 8 - 0 0 16 - 0 10 10 13 01 0 5

When the undervoltage lockout relay was energized, it moved to the lockout position. This caused a trip and lockout of the Normal Station Service transformer and Reserve Station Service transformer supply breakers to Bus 101. This resulted in a de-energized bus which actuated all the other associated undervoltage relays. These undervoltage relays actuated the Emergency bus program, which started EDG 101 and caused a half scram of the reactor and certain ESF actuations.

Auron 2004 4:111.

The lack of supply power to the Emergency Bus 101 caused the 'A'RPS MG set to trip. This caused a half scram and the following ESF actuations:

Half of an NS4 (Nuclear Steam Supply Shutoff System) [JM] isolation, RWCU (Reactor Water Clean Up) [CE] isolation, 'A' RBSVS (Reactor Building Standby Ventilation System) [VA] initiation, 'A' CRAC (Control Room Air Conditioning) [BH] initiation, 'A' RBCLCW (Reactor Building Closed Loop Cooling Water) [CC] split, RBSW (Reactor Building Service Water [B1]) split.

All of the ESF actuations occurred as designed and without any problems. The bus de-energization also caused EDG 101 to start and its associated output breaker to close on the bus. It then picked up minimal load (<500 KW), due to loads being tripped off and various supply breakers being open because of lack of bus voltage and ran without incident.

When the Control Room was notified by the technician what had happened, the alternate power supply to the 'A' RPS bus was energized. The 1/2 scram was researed at 1247, and the ESFs returned to normal.

At 1323, 38 minutes after the incident the NSST started supplying normal power to the emergency bus. The EDG was shutdown at 1331. Plant Management was notified at 1351 and the NRC was notified per 10CFR50.72 at 1357.

#### CAUSE OF THE EVENT

The cause of the event was personnel error. The technician deviated from the procedure and forgot work that he had done prior to going to lunch. Instead of relifting the lead to the 27/86 relay, he resumed his place where the lead should have been lifted. He re-performed a part of the test which caused, through relay actuation, the entire bus to have an unplanned de-energization.

441 farm 2044 (941	LICENSEE EVENT REPOR	T (LER) TEXT CONTIN	UATION	UATION APPROVED D		
			****    ** 2:15			
	Power Station Unit Ø1		Teres   Income	Piles		

#### 

The technician had initialed that he had lifted the lead and when he re-landed it prior to going to lunch, he was performing a step out of sequence. When he returned from lunch, he resumed the surveillance from where he had left off, not accounting for the step that was performed out of sequence. He never notified the Watch Engineer that he landed the lead (or performed a step out of sequence) or that the emergency bus program was operable. The precaution section of the procedure requires that the steps are to be performed in sequence and to notify the Watch Engineer if any problem develops during the performance of the test.

He was fully qualified to perform this surveillance and others like it.

## ANALYSIS OF THE EVENT

This event resulted in an unplanned diesel start and numerous ESF actuations and is reportable per 10CFR50.73(b)(2)(iv). There was minimal safety significance to the event. The Emergency Diesel Generator operated as designed. All other Safety systems that initiated performed as designed. Operators carried out all the required actions utilizing the appropriate procedures. Had this event occured under a more severe set of circumstances (5% power) there would still be minimal safety significance.

## CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

- 1. The Technicians' Surveillance Testing Qualification was revoked until successful completion of remedial training.
- 2. Recommendations for appropriate disciplinary action against the Technician will be made by members of Plant Management.
- 3. The discussion section of SP 44.309.04 (4160 Volt Emergency Bus Load Sequence Program Cal & Funct) will be expanded to emphasize the operational impact (and potential) of certain key procedural steps. The overall test methodology will be explained.
- 4. CAUTION statements will be placed strategically throughout the procedure, just prior to certain steps that have potential operational impact.
- 5. The procedure and basic procedural methodology will be reevaluated to consider disabling the emergency bus program at the beginning of the procedure.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION			WS MUCLEAN REGULATOR: COMMISSIO APPROVED Date NO SING-OIDE SEPIRES \$7145			
fatients many et.	DOCKET BURNETS UP			PAGE 13		
Shoreham Nuclear Power Station Unit Ø1	0  6  0  0  0   3   2   2	818 - 0		015 01 01		
U" If nen good a source up anothers AA? April 2004 (113)		Latin interestation	with the stand of a line of a	the second		
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION						
a. <u>Manufacturer a d model</u>	number of failed	d compone	ent (s)			
None						
b. LER numbers of previous	similar events					
87-026						



# LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY

SHOREHAM NUCLEAR POWER STATION + P.O. BOX 628 + WADING RIVER, NEW YORK 11792

TEL. (516) 929-8300

May 27, 1988

PM-88-155

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sir:

In accordance with 10CFR50.73, enclosed is Shoreham Nuclear Power Station's Licensee Event Report LER 88-006.

Sincerely yours,

Webeige

William E. Steiger, Jr. Plant Manager

WES/pz

Enclosure

cc: William T. Russell. Regional Administrator Frank Crescenzo, Resident Inspector Institute of Nuclear Power Operations, Records Center American Nuclear Insurers

SR. A21.0200