



PROPOSED RULE PR 50
(53 FR 16435)

'88 MAY 26 Pig 1591 Club
Cumberland Chapter

DOCKETING V SLAVICE

213 Westmoreland Court Georgetown, KY 40324 502-863-4267 21 May 1988

Samuel J. Chilk, Secretary of the Commission US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Attention: Docketing and Service Branch

RE: CFR part 50, Emergency Planning and Preparedness Requirements for Nuclear Power Plant Fuel Loading and Initial Low-Power Operation

The Cumberland Chapter of the Sierra Club is opposed to the proposed amendment to the emergency planning requirements for nuclear power plant fuel loading and low power operation. Our reasons include the following:

The current proposed change appears to be an attempt to address the situation at the Seabrook plant, where accident warning sirens are absent. The Seabrook licensing issue should be dealt with in the context of the Seabrook adjudication not as a rule change that will affect all new plants.

It is completely illogical not to have the same emergency planning requirements for fuel loading and low-power operation as for full-power operation. Running a reactor that does not meet full-power requirements at low power contaminates it with radioactivity before it is known whether it will ever be able to operate as planned. The nation will have more than enough plants to decommission without decontaminating plants that have run only at low power for a few months.

Accidents can happen at low power as well as at high power. The start-up of any operation is a vulnerable time. Moreover, sabotage is always a possibility. If the population needs to be able to be notified when a plant is operating at high power--and they certainly do--they need also to be able to be notified when it is operating at low power.

8806020268 880521 PDR PR 50 53FR16435 PDR Mary Davis
Nuclear Issues Chair