NAC Form 366 (9-83)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATO TY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 8/31/88

											_	-	-		-	-	-
ACILITY	NAME (1	2			1	19.00	1.1			- Inder-W	DOCK	LET NU	MBER	(2)		PAC	18 (3)
Per	ry Nu	clear	Powe	r Plant,	Unit 1				<u> 18.</u>	1.000	0	5 1 0	10	0141	410	1 OF	013
TITLE (4)	P	erso	nnel B		ad to Mi	ssed	surve	eillan	ce on	Divisio	n_2	and	1 3	Diese	1		
EVE	INT DATE		111 01	LER NUMBER		REP	ORTDAT	E (7)		OTHER	R FACI	LITIES	INVOL	VED (8)			
M NTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIA					FACILITY NAMES					DOCKET NUMBER(S)			
				NUMBER	NUMBER									0 5	0 1 0	101	1.1.
0 2	20	8 8	8 8	- 0 3	00	0 9	2 2	8 8		er faile a	1.00	~		0 15	0 10	101	
OPE	RATING		THIS REP	ORT IS SUBMITT	TED PURSUANT	TO THE RE	OUIREM	ENTS OF 10	CFR \$: /0	heck one or more	of the	e toilow	ing) (11	18			
MODE (9)			20.402(b)			20.406(c)				50.73(a)(2)(iv)				73.71(b)			
POWER LEVEL (10)			20.4	06(+)(1)(0	50.36(e)(1)			50.73(a)(2)(v)				73.71(e)					
			20.406(a)(1)(ii)			50.36(c)(2)			50.73(a)(2)(vii)				OTHER (Specify in Abstract balow and in Text, NRC Form				
			20.4	20.408(4)(1)(iii)			X 50.73(+(2)())			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)				366.4/			
			20.4	05(a)(17(iv)		50.73(+)	(2)(#)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)				111			
			20.4	\$0.73(a)(2)(iii)				50.73(a)(2)(x)									
-						ICENSEE	CONTACT	FOR THIS	LER (12)								
NAME	-						1.1.1.1.1							TELEPHO	NE NUM	86R	
												AREA	0008				
Gr	egory	A	Dunn,	Complian	ice Engin	eer,	Exter	asion	6484			21	16	215	191-	1317	1 31 7
				COMPLET	E ONS LINE FOR	EACH CO	MPONEN	TFAILURE	DESCRIBE	D IN THIS REPO	DAT IS	(3)					
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMP	ONENT	MANUFAC- TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPROS			CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT		TUR			PROS		
		1	1-1-1									1					
					and the second second									11.0			
	1	1	1 1	111		and the second			- I -	111		1	1	-			
	And on the owner of		distantion and	SUPPLE	MENTAL REPORT	EXPECT	ED (14)		the second second	and the second se			XPECT	50	MONTH	DAY	YEAR
- Ve	s itt vara c	ompiere l	XPECTED	SUBMISSION DA	TE)	-	NO					SU	ATE 1	IO N	1	1	1

ABSTRACT (Linve to 1400 speces, i.e., approximately lifteen single-spece typewritten lines) (18)

On August 24, 1988 at approximately 1400 an audit team discovered that the quarterly surveillance instruction (SVI), which removes water from Division 2 and 3 Fuel Oil Storage Tanks, was missed due to personnel errors resulting in it being mis-scheduled. Consequently, the Technical Specification 4.8.1.1.2.c surveillance requirement was not met for Divisions 2 and 3, and the appropriate actions not taken. The late date for the SVI was February 20, 1988. The next satisfactory performance of this SVI was on April 15, 1988, fifty five days after the late date.

The root cause for the event was personnel error due to inattention to detail and failure to follow administrative procedure involving documentation and review of the surveillance package.

This event has been discussed with the personnel involved as part of the investigation. To prevent recurrence of this event the governing administrative procedure will be changed to enhance communications between the reviewers and SVI coordinators by assuring positive communications and facilitate followup of scheduling changes. Additionally, the appropriate Operations personnel, assigned reviewers and SVI coordinators will review the events described in this LER.

8809290206 880922 PDR ADOCK 05000440 S PNU LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: \$/31/88

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (5)	PAGE (3)		
		YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER			
Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1	0 15 10 10 10 1 4 1 4 10	8 8 - 0 3 1 - 0 0	012 OF 013		

DKT (# more space is required, use additional NRC Form 385A's) (17)

IRC Form 364A

On August 24, 1988 at approximately 1400 an audit team discovered that the quarterly surveillance instruction (SVI), which removes water from Division 2 and 3 Fuel Oil Storage Tanks [TK] [DE], was missed due to personnel errors resulting in it being mis-scheduled. Consequently, the Technical Specification 4.8.1.1.2.c surveillance requirement was not met for Divisions 2 and 3, and the appropriate actions not taken. The late date for the SVI was February 20, 1988. The next satisfactory performance of this SVI was on April 15, 1988, fifty five days after the late date. The reactor operated at power during this period of time. At the time of the scheduling error the plant was in an outage with the reactor in mode 4, cold shutdown.

SVI-R45-T1323, "Remove Water From Fuel Storage Tanks", is an SVI that has three sections for removing water from each of the three storage tanks. On October 30, 1987, SVI-R45-T1323 was completed satisfactorily on all three divisions of diesel generators. During a plant outage on January 15, 1988 a partial SVI was performed for Division 1 (only) in conjunction with filling the Day Tank following maintenance per the plant operating procedure. However, full credit for scheduling was incorrectly assigned and the next performance of the SVI was scheduled in the following quarter. It was satisfactorily performed for all three divisions on April 15, 1988.

The root cause for the event was personnel error due to inattention to detail and failure to follow administrative procedure. A test performer incorrectly marked the Data Package Cover Sheet (DPCS), which was signed by the lead test performer, a supervising operator. The Unit Supervisor (US) verified the SVI performance and signed the DPCS, yet did not notice that it was marked incorrectly. The errors involved inattention to detail in completing and reviewing the DPCS as the SVI data sheets clearly indicated that only a partial test was performed.

Several additional errors occurred during the review process, which was completed on January 21, 1988 prior to the late date. The responsible system engineer (RSE) discovered that the DPCS was mismarked as "Full Completion" and corrected it to "Partial Completion." However, the scheduling implications were not apparent to the reviewer as he was not aware of that responsibility. Consequently, he did not correct the Task Completion box on the DPCS from "Credit" (for scheduling) to "NO Credit." However, per the Administration procedure, the assigned reviewer has the responsibility to ensure that if credit for scheduling is taken, the incomplete or failed portions of the SVI have appropriate methods for ensuring completion e.g. potential LCO and Work Order programs. This was not done.

Finally the Scheduling group failed to notice or recognize the inconsistency on the DPCS. The RSE commented on the DPCS "Partial SVI is Acceptable" and the Partial Completion and Credit boxes were checked. Towever, the methods to track the completion of the SVI tasks, which is required per the governing administrative procedure, were not indicated on the DPCS. Therefore, questioning of the reviewer by the SUI scheduling group was appropriate and would have resulted in correcting the scheduling performed earlier.

NRC Form 366A (9-63)		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
(9-6)	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION	APPROVED OM6 NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 8/31/88

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)		
		YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER			
Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1	0 5 0 0 0 4 40	8 8 - 0 3 1 -0 0	0 30F 0 3		

TEXT (# more space is required, use additional NRC Form 385A's) (17)

The OPERABILITY of the power sources are consistent with the initial condition assumptions of the safety analyses and are based upon maintaining at least Division 1 or Division 2 of the onsite A.C. and D.C. power sources and associated distribution systems OPERABLE during accident conditions coincident with an assumed loss of offsite power and single failure of the other onsite A.C. or D.C. source. Division 3 supplies the high pressure core spray system [BG] only.

The Fuel Oil Storage Tanks transfer pumps [P] take suction 18 inches off the bottom of the tank. Thereby minimizing the potential for entraining any sediments or water to the Day Tank. During the period between the two full completions of the SVI, the Division 2 Diesel Generator (DG) was run weekly and the Division 3 DG was run monthly. After each of these diesel runs, which involves transfer of fuel oil to the Day Tank, a different SVI is performed to sample the fuel oil in the Day Tank for water. No significant quantities were ever found. Moreover, the April performance of SVI-R45-T1323 did not indicate any unusual amounts of water. Finally, offsite power was not lost during the period between complete surveillances. Therefore, this event is considered to have no safety significance. No previous similar events have been identified.

This event has been discussed with the personnel involved as part of the investigation. To prevent recurrence of this event the governing administrative procedure will be changed to enhance communications between the reviewers and SVI coordinators by assuring positive communications and facilitate followup of scheduling changes. Additionally, the appropriate Operations personnel, assigned reviewers and SVI coordinators will review the events described in this LER.

Energy Industry Identification System codes are identified in the text as [XX].