| NRC For | m 366 | | | | | | | L | ICE | NSE | E E | VE | NT RE | PORT | (LER) | | | U.S. N | APP | AR REG ROVED RES 8 | OMB | | MMISSION 1-0104 |
|-------------|-----------|--------|------------------|-------------------------------|--------|------------------|--------|------------------|-------------|---------|----------------|------|------------------|-----------|------------------|--------|----------------------------|--------------|-------|--------------------------|------|-------------|--------------------|
| FACILIT | Y NAME (1 |) | - | and the latest designation of | - | - | - | | - | - | - | | | | - | 000 | CKET | NUMBER | R (2) | - | - | P | AGE (3) |
| Jose | eph M | . F | ar | ley | - 1 | Jnit 2 | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 15 | 0 10 | 10 | 1316 | 14 | 1 0 | F 0 3 |
| Per | sonne | 1 E | err | or | Resu | ults I | n Te | ermin | nat | ion | Of | Th | e Wro | ng Fi | re Watch | Pa | atro | 1 | | | | | |
| EV | ENT DATE | (5) | | | | LER NUMBI | R (6) | _ | T | RE | PORT | DAT | E (7) | | OTHE | RFA | CILITIE | ES INVO | DLVED | (8) | - | | **** |
| MONTH | DAY | YE | AR | YEA | R | SEQUENT | | REVISI | | момтн | DA | v. | YEAR | | FACILITY N | AMES | 5 | | 000 | KET N | MBER | (5) | |
| | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 15 0 | 10 | 101 | 1.15 |
| 0 5 | 0 1 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 - | 00 | 5 - | 00 | 0 | 0 5 | 2 | 6 | 8 8 | | | | | | 0 | 510 | 10 | 101 | 1 1 |
| OPI | RATING | | 1 | THIS | REPOR | T IS SUBMI | TTED P | URSUAN | YT TO | THER | EQUIF | REME | NTS OF 1 | O CFR & / | Check one or mor | w of t | the folio | owing/ (| 11) | | - | de constant | |
| | ODE (9) | | * | | 20.402 | (b) | | | T | 20.405 | (e) | | | | 50.73(a)(2)(iv) | | | | | 23.71 | (b) | | |
| POWER | | | 20.406(a)(1)(i) | | | | | 50,38(e)(1) | | | 50,73(a)(2)(v) | | | | 73.71(c) | | | | | | | | |
| (10) 1 0 10 | | 0 | 20.405(a)(1)(ii) | | | | 2 | K | 50.36(c)(2) | | | | 50.73(a)(2)(vii) | | | | OTHER ISpecify in Abstract | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 20.406 | *)(1)(iii) | | 3 | K | 50,73(s | (2)(i) | | | | 50.73(a)(2)(viii | (A) | | | | 365A | | 7.887, 79 | MG Parm |
| | | | | | 20.406 | #1(1)(lv) | | | | 50.73(4 | (2)(8) | | | | 50.73(a)(2)(viii | 1(8) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 20.406 | (a)(1)(v) | | | | 50.73(a |)(2)(iii | | | | 50.73(a)(2)(x) | | | | | | | | |
| | | ****** | | | | | | | L10 | CENSEE | CONT | ACT | FOR THIS | LER (12) | | | | | | | | | |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | EPHONE | NUME | ER | |
| 1.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | A CODE | | | | | |
| J. D | . Woo | da | rd, | Ge | ner | al Man | agei | -Nuc | cle | ear l | Plar | nt | | | | | 2 | 0,5 | 8 | 9 9 | 1- | 2 | 1 5 6 |
| | | | | | | COMPLE | TE ON | LINE | OR E | EACH C | OMPON | VENT | FAILURE | DESCRIBE | D IN THIS REP | TRC | (13) | | | | | | |
| CAUSE | SYSTEM | 0 | ОМРО | NENT | | MANUFAC TURER | RET | PORTAB O NPRO | LE | | | | CAUSE | SYSTEM | COMPONENT | | | UFAC- RER | | EPORTA TO NPR | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 111 | | 1 | 1 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | | | |
| | | - | | | - | SUPPL | EMENTA | AL REPO | AT E | EXPECT | ED 14 | li. | | | | - | | EXPECT | | 1/ | ONTH | DAY | YEAR |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | mmy | | | | | | | DATE | | | | | |

At 0800 on 5-1-88, it was discovered that an hourly fire watch patrol required by Technical Specification 3.7.12 had not been performed during the period from 1315 on 4-30-88 until 0800 on 5-1-88. The Shift Foreman coordinating fire watches had discontinued five fire watch patrols at 1315 on 4-30-88 because the situation requiring the fire watch patrols had been corrected. Four of these fire watch patrols were discontinued correctly. However, in the fifth case, the fire watch patrol in the wrong location was discontinued.

This event was caused by personnel error. The Shift Foreman involved in this event has been counseled.

8806010239 880526 PDR ADOCK 05000364 S PDR

YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)

| NRC Form 366A (9-83) | LICENSEE EVENT REP | ULATORY COMMISSION MB NO. 3150-0104 RB | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|--|-------|---------------------|--------------------|----------|----|---|
| FACILITY NAME (1) | | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | LER | NUMBER (6) | | PAGE (3) | | |
| | | | YEAR | EQUENTIAL NUMBER | REVISION NUMBER | | T | |
| Farley Nu | clear Plant - Unit 2 | 0 5 0 0 0 3 6 4 | 8 8 - | 0 0 5 - | 90 | q 2 0 | Fq | 3 |

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) [17]

Plant and System Identification:

Westinghouse - Pressurized Water Reactor Energy Industry Identification System codes are identified in the text as [XX].

Summary of Event

At 0800 on 5-1-88, it was discovered that an hourly fire watch patrol required by Technical Specification 3.7.12 had not been performed during the period from 1315 on 4-30-88 until 0800 on 5-1-88. The Shift Foreman coordinating fire watches had discontinued five fire watch patrols at 1315 on 4-30-88 because the situation requiring the fire watch patrols had been colrected. Four of these fire watch patrols were discontinued correctly. However, in the fifth case, the fire watch patrol in the wrong location was discontinued.

Description of Event

At 0730 on 1-11-88, fire barrier penetration 121-116-05 (in room 2235 of the Auxiliary Building [NF] was declared inoperable when the fire damper [VF] in this penetration failed to close with air flow in the system. A Special Report concerning this event was submitted as LER-88-001-00. Technical Specification 3.7.12 requires: within one hour, either establish a continuous fire watch on at least one side of the affected penetration, or verify the operability of fire detectors on at least one side of the non-functional fire barrier and establish an hourly fire watch patrol. A continuous fire watch or hourly fire watch patrol (as appropriate) was established and had been maintained.

On 4-30-88, with the unit operating at approximately 100 percent power, the Shift Foreman responsible for establishing and maintaining fire watches and hourly fire watch patrols was given five Maintenance Work Requests (MWRs) for which the work had been completed. These MWRs had required fire watch patrols during the time that the work was in progress. The Shift Foreman proceeded to discontinue the affected fire watch patrols. Included in these five fire watch patrols was a fire watch patrol which had been performed in room 2335.

The Shift Foreman requested the associated fire watch sheets from the individual performing the fire watch patrols. In four cases, he was given the correct fire watch sheet. However, in the fifth case, the individual performing the fire watch patrols gave the Shift Foreman the fire watch sheet for room 2235 instead of room 2335. Therefore, the fire watch patrol for fire barrier penetration 121-116-05 was mistakenly discontinued at 1315 on 4-30-88.

At 0800 on 5-1-88, when the same Shift Foreman was reviewing the surveillance requirements for outstanding fire watch patrols, he realized that he had discontinued the wrong fire watch patrol. The fire watch patrol was reinstated immediately.

| 84 | 200 | - | | - | 366 | ы |
|----|------|---|----|---|------|---|
| | MIG: | | or | m | 3804 | ы |

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 8/31/88

| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | LER NUMBER (6) | PAGE (3) | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|--|--|
| | | YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER | | | |
| Farley Nuclear Plant - Unit 2 | 0 15 10 10 10 1 31 6 14 | 8 8 8 - 0 10 15 - 0 0 | 0 B OF 0 B | | |

TEXT (# more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

Cause of Event

This event was caused by a cognitive personnel error. The Shift Foreman mistakenly discontinued the wrong fire watch patrol.

Reportability Analysis and Safety Assessment

This event is reportable because the hourly fire watch patrol required by Technical Specification 3.7.12 was not performed during the period from 1315 on 4-30-88 until 0800 on 5-1-88. There was no effect on plant operation. Also, no fire occurred during the time that the penetration was non-functional. The health and safety of the public were not affected by this event.

Corrective Action

The Shift Foreman involved in this event has been counseled.

Additional Information

The following LERs involved personnel errors by the Shift Foreman in the establishing and maintaining of fire watches:

Unit 1 (Docket Number 05000348): LERs 84-013-00, 84-015-00, 84-022-00, 86-013-00, 87-006-00, 88-004-00

Unit 2: LERs 84-007-00, 85-007-00, 85-013-00, 88-004-00

No components failed during this event.

This event would not have been more severe if it had occurred under a different operating condition.

Alabama Power Company 600 North 18th Street Post Office Box 2641 Birmingham, Alabama 35291-0400 Telephone 205 250-1835

R. P. McDonald Senior Vice President



May 26, 1988

Docket No. 50-364

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sir:

Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant - Unit 2 Licensee Event Report No. LER 88-005-00

Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant, Unit 2, Licensee Event Report No. LER 88-005-00 is being submitted in accordance with 10CFR50.73.

If you have any questions, please advise.

Respectfully submitted,

W. S. Hurst po R. P. McDonald

RPM/JAR:dst-V8.49

Enclosure

cc: IE, Region II

JE22