Control Contro Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Co		Contraction of the second s	-	-		-	-						-	
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)  Total and the set of the s														
Autor Name     Construction     Add the construction       Sequences     0     [5]0     0     [5]0     0     [5]0     0     0     [5]0     0     0     [5]0     0<			LICEN	VSEE	EVENT		PORT	(LER)					0.0196.01	~
Sequences     0     810     0     1312     13     0     15     0     15     0     15     0     15     0     15     0														
Sequencesh. Unit 2       0.5.0.0.0.0.1.3.2.1.8.1.0.7.0.1.8         "Wortinitary Report Concerning Check Valves Used As Containment Isolation Valves In A Raw Marker Security Concerning Check Valves Used As Containment Isolation Valves Usage         User Carlo value Did Not Pass Leak Rate Test Due To Improper Application Of Valves Usage         User Carlo value Did Not Pass Leak Rate Test Due To Improper Application Of Valves Usage         User Carlo value Did Not Pass Leak Rate Test Due To Improper Application Of Valves Usage         User Carlo value Did Not Pass Leak Rate Test Due To Improper Application Of Valves Usage         User Carlo value Did Not Pass Leak Rate Test Due To Improper Application Of Valves Usage         User Carlo value Did Not Pass Leak Rate Test Due To Improper Application Of Valves Usage         User Carlo value Did Not Pass Leak Rate Test Due To Improper Application Of Valves Usage         User Carlo value Did Not Pass Leak Rate Test Due To Improper Application Of Valves Usage         User Carlo value Did Not Pass Leak Rate Test Due To Improper Application Of Valves Usage         "User Carlo value Did Not Pass Leak Rate Test Due To Improper Did Not Pass Leak Rate Test Due To Improper Did Not Pass Leak Rate Test Due To Improper Did Not Pass Leak Rate Test Due To Improper Did Not Pass Leak Rate Test Due To Improper Did Not Pass Leak Rate Test Due To Improper Did Not Pass Leak Rate Test Due To Improper Did Not Pass Leak Rate Test Due To Improper Did Not Pass Leak Rate Test Due To Improper Did Not Pass Leak Rate Test Due To Improper Did Not Pass Leak Rate Test Due To Improper Did Not Pass Leak Rate Test Due To Improper Did Not Pass Leak Rate Test Due To Improper Did Not P	FACILITY NAME (1)								DOCKET N	UMBER (1	2)		PAG	E (3)
Interview       Contained to the factor of the												218	1 OF	014
Latter System Did Not Pass Leak Rate Test Due To Improper Application Of Valve Usage         Event Site       List Australia       Resonantin       Provide Site       Description Site         Monte       Dav       Yake       Yake       Provide Site       Description Site         Monte       Dav       Yake       Provide Site       Dist Site <td></td> <td>Concerning Ch</td> <td>eck Va</td> <td>alves</td> <td>lised</td> <td>As</td> <td>Conta</td> <td>inment I</td> <td>-</td> <td>- Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Ann</td> <td></td> <td>Contraction in the second second second</td> <td></td> <td>014</td>		Concerning Ch	eck Va	alves	lised	As	Conta	inment I	-	- Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Ann		Contraction in the second second second		014
Event basis       Event basis <td></td>														
Control of the factor as a set of the factor of the fac	Second in contrast on the second distance of the second second second second second second second second second	And protocols and particulation to excellence of which the close section of the s	T		and the second second second second second	instances propriet		the second s	and the second state of th	the second particular spectrum.	And the last owner the last in the second			
d       a)       a)       a)       b)	MONTH DAY YEAR YEAR			ONTH	DAY Y	EAR		FACILITYN	AMES	0	DOCKET	UMBERI	\$)	
Image: The second se						_				1	0 151	0 0 1	0	1
Image: The second se			4.1	1	1									
Week in       Bit Sector	have a set of the set	3 0 2 0	- 1 - I	- L	2 4 8	8						0 0 1	01	LL.
10       10 <td< td=""><td>OPERATING</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>UIREMENT</td><td>\$ OF 10</td><td>CFR S: /C</td><td></td><td></td><td>wing) (11)</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	OPERATING				UIREMENT	\$ OF 10	CFR S: /C			wing) (11)	-			
Image:			herend							-				
20 00000000000000000000000000000000000	LEVEL						-						ity in Abs	tract
Image: Section (Interpretations)       Image: Section (Interpretations)       73.71         NAME       Image: Section (Interpretations)       Image: Section (Interpretations)       73.71         NAME       Image: Section (Interpretations)       Image: Section (Interpretations)       Image: Section (Interpretations)       Image: Section (Interpretations)         Caluate System       Comparts one Line for Kalo Component (Interpretations)       Image: Section (Interpretations)       Image: Section (Interpretations)       Image: Section (Interpretations)         Caluate System       Comparts one Line for Kalo Component (Interpretations)       Image: Section (Interpretations)       Image: Section (Interpretations)       Image: Section (Interpretations)         Caluate System       Comparts one Line for Kalo Component (Interpretations)       Image: Section (Interpretations)       Image: Section (Interpretations)       Image: Section (Interpretations)         Caluate System       Comparts one line compares one provide a provide pretation (Interpretations)       Image: Section (Interpretations)       Image: Section (Interpretations)       Image: Section (Interpretations)       Image: Section (Interpretations)         Attract Line (Interpretations)       Caluate System       Image: Section (Interpretations)       Image: Section (Interpretations)         Attract Line (Interpretations)       Caluate System       Counce (Interpretations)       Image: Section (Interpretations)			-				-			É	D#10	in and in		
Licenset covract for this (LB 12)       TLEMONS NUMBER         K. B. Meade_Plant Operations Review Staff       (a) 15 (a) 15 (a) 15 (a) 16 (a) 15 (a) 15 (a) 15 (a) 16 (a) 15 (a) 16 (a) 15 (a) 16 (a) 15 (a) 16 (a) 16 (a) 15 (a) 16 (a) 1	2	0.405(s)(1)(lv)	6	50.73(a)(2)	)(#)				i)(B)	6 (				
NAME       TELEPHONE NUMBER         K. E. Meade_Plant Operations Review Staff       61115       8171 0       -1612510         CAUSE       SetTed       Component Number       Status       Component Number       61115       8171 0       -1612510         CAUSE       SetTed       Component       Manual Component       Status	2	0.405(s)(1)(v)	5	50.73(4)(2)	)(#i)	1.6		51.73(a)(2)(x)				73.71		
K. E. Meade       Plant Operations Review Staff       6115       817.1 016.2510         CAUSE       BISTEW       COMPONENT       MEMORY ALL REPORT LAW COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIED IN THE REPORT ID         CAUSE       BISTEW       COMPONENT       MEMORY ALL REPORT LAW COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIED IN THE REPORT ID         CAUSE       BISTEW       COMPONENT       MEMORY ALL REPORT LAW COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIED IN THE REPORT ID         CAUSE       BISTEW       COMPONENT       MEMORY ALL REPORT LAW COMPONENT MEMORY ALL REPORT ID         WESSION PROVIDED       BUSTEW       CAUSE BISTEW       COMPONENT         WESSION PROVIDED       BUSTEW       CAUSE BISTEW       COMPONENT         WESSION PROVIDED       BUSTEW       CAUSE BISTEW       COMPONENT       MEMORY ALL REPORT ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL AL			LICE	NSEE CO	NTACT FO	A THIS	LEH (12)							
K. E. Maade, Plant Operations Review Staff       61115       817.016.2510         Cause Service       Consolite on Link for Each Convolution Failure Described in the REPORT 130         Cause Service       Cause Service Convolution Convolutin Convolutin Convolutin Convolutin Convolution Convolution Convol	NAME								AREA		ELEPHON	NE NUMB	ER	
CAUSE 513TEM       COMPONENT       FIGURATION       CAUSE STATEM       COMPONENT       FIGURATION         CAUSE       STATEM       COMPONENT       FIGURATION       CAUSE       STATEM       COMPONENT       FIGURATION         CAUSE       STATEM       COMPONENT       FIGURATION       CAUSE       STATEM       COMPONENT       FIGURATION         CAUSE       STATEM       COMPONENT       FIGURATION       CAUSE       STATEM       FIGURATION         VESUTION       CAUSE       STATEM       COMPONENT       FIGURATION       FIGURATION         VESUTION       CAUSE       STATEM       COMPONENT       FIGURATION       FIGURATION         VESUTION       CAUSE       STATEM       COMPONENT       FIGURATION       FIGURATION         VESUTION       CAUSE       STATEM       FIGURATION       FIGURATION       FIGURATION         VESUTION       FIGURATION       FIGURATION       FIGURATION	a la latera larra	a second and a second	فالتعم		5 a 1 - 1					1.04				
CAUSE       SYSTEM       COMPONENT       MANUFAC TO MHOS       REPORTABLE TO MHOS         Image: Component       MANUFAC TO MHOS       REPORTABLE TO MHOS       REPORTABLE TO MHOS         Image: Component EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE       MANUFAC TO MHOS       REPORTABLE TO MHOS       REPORTABLE To MHOS         Image: Component       MANUFAC TO MHOS       REPORTABLE TO MHOS       REPORTABLE TO MHOS       REPORTABLE TO MHOS         Image: Component       MANUFAC TO MHOS       REPORTABLE TO MHOS       REPORTABLE TO MHOS       REPORTABLE TO MHOS         Image: Component       MANUFAC TO MHOS       REPORTABLE TO MHOS       REPORTABLE To MHOS       REPORTABLE TO MHOS       REPORTABLE TO MHOS         Image: Component       Manufactoric Compone	K. E. Meade, Plan					A11 (10 E	OFFCBIBE		- Miter	1151	8171	0 -	6 2	1510
AND	h	T	1	ACH COMP		AILUAL	DESCRIBE	o in this her			T			
VES (II vs. compare IXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)       NO         AMSTRACT Limit to 1400 make. Ls. Assensembly: Time univ used to the rest of the industry concerning check valves being used as containment isolation valves in raw water systems.       In April 22, 1988, at approximately 0700 EDT with units 1 and 2 in mode 5         On April 22, 1988, at approximately 0700 EDT with units 1 and 2 in mode 5       O percent power, 4 psig, 127 degrees F and 0 percent power, atmospheric pressure, 119 degrees F, respectively), the essential raw cooling water (ERCW) containment isolation valves (CIVs) 2-67-562C and 2-67-562C did not pass the Surveillance Instruction (SI)-158.1, "Containment Isolation Valve Leak Rate Test," test. These CIVs are check valves on the inboard side of the ERCW piping to the lower compartment coolers groups. The leakage measured from each of the valves was 507.9 standard cubic feet per hour (SCFH). The allowable leakage for all the testable penetrations in accordance with Technical Specification (S) 3.6.1.2 is 135.1 SCFH.         The subject components were cleaned, and SI-158.1 was reperformed with acceptable results. This event was caused by sediment collecting on the seating surface of the subject valves. This prevented the valves from backseating and forming a tight seat. An additional root cause of the event is that swing check valves are not eff. tive in raw water systems when low leakage rates are required. These type of check valves have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. In order to prevent recurrence of this event, two actions will be initiated. The short-term action involves ensuring the valves to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of t	CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT				-	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT						
VES (II vs. compare IXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)       NO         AMSTRACT Limit to 1400 make. Ls. Assensembly: Time univ used to the rest of the industry concerning check valves being used as containment isolation valves in raw water systems.       In April 22, 1988, at approximately 0700 EDT with units 1 and 2 in mode 5         On April 22, 1988, at approximately 0700 EDT with units 1 and 2 in mode 5       O percent power, 4 psig, 127 degrees F and 0 percent power, atmospheric pressure, 119 degrees F, respectively), the essential raw cooling water (ERCW) containment isolation valves (CIVs) 2-67-562C and 2-67-562C did not pass the Surveillance Instruction (SI)-158.1, "Containment Isolation Valve Leak Rate Test," test. These CIVs are check valves on the inboard side of the ERCW piping to the lower compartment coolers groups. The leakage measured from each of the valves was 507.9 standard cubic feet per hour (SCFH). The allowable leakage for all the testable penetrations in accordance with Technical Specification (S) 3.6.1.2 is 135.1 SCFH.         The subject components were cleaned, and SI-158.1 was reperformed with acceptable results. This event was caused by sediment collecting on the seating surface of the subject valves. This prevented the valves from backseating and forming a tight seat. An additional root cause of the event is that swing check valves are not eff. tive in raw water systems when low leakage rates are required. These type of check valves have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. In order to prevent recurrence of this event, two actions will be initiated. The short-term action involves ensuring the valves to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of t									-				********* ****	*********
VES (II vs. compare IXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)       NO         AMSTRACT Limit to 1400 make. Ls. Assensembly: Time univ used to the rest of the industry concerning check valves being used as containment isolation valves in raw water systems.       In April 22, 1988, at approximately 0700 EDT with units 1 and 2 in mode 5         On April 22, 1988, at approximately 0700 EDT with units 1 and 2 in mode 5       O percent power, 4 psig, 127 degrees F and 0 percent power, atmospheric pressure, 119 degrees F, respectively), the essential raw cooling water (ERCW) containment isolation valves (CIVs) 2-67-562C and 2-67-562C did not pass the Surveillance Instruction (SI)-158.1, "Containment Isolation Valve Leak Rate Test," test. These CIVs are check valves on the inboard side of the ERCW piping to the lower compartment coolers groups. The leakage measured from each of the valves was 507.9 standard cubic feet per hour (SCFH). The allowable leakage for all the testable penetrations in accordance with Technical Specification (S) 3.6.1.2 is 135.1 SCFH.         The subject components were cleaned, and SI-158.1 was reperformed with acceptable results. This event was caused by sediment collecting on the seating surface of the subject valves. This prevented the valves from backseating and forming a tight seat. An additional root cause of the event is that swing check valves are not eff. tive in raw water systems when low leakage rates are required. These type of check valves have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. In order to prevent recurrence of this event, two actions will be initiated. The short-term action involves ensuring the valves to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of t							. (	CHILL!	1.1.5	1.1	1.5.5			
VES UT vs. compare IXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE:       VNO         AMSTRACT Limit to 1400 make. La Assensembly Time environment (160)       Exercised of the industry concerning check valves being used as containment isolation valves in raw water systems.         On April 22, 1988, at approximately 0700 EDT with units 1 and 2 in mode 5       (0 percent power, 4 psig, 127 degrees F and 0 percent power, atmospheric pressure, 119 degrees F, respectively), the essential raw cooling water (ERCW) containment isolation valves (CIVs) 2-67-562C did not pass the Surveillance Instruction (SI)-158.1, "Containment Isolation Valve Leak Rate Test," test. These CIVs are check valves on the inboard side of the ERCW piping to the lower compartment coolers groups. The leakage measured from each of the valves was 507.9 standard cubic feet per hour (SCFH). The allowable leakage for all the testable penetrations in accordance with Technical Specification (F) 3.6.1.2 is 135.1 SCFH.         The subject components were cleaned, and SI-158.1 was reperformed with acceptable results. This event was caused by sediment collecting on the seating surface of the subject valves. This prevented the valves from backseating and forming a tight seat. A madditional root cause of the event is that swing check valves are not eff. tive in raw water systems when low leakage rate are required. These type of check valves have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. In order to prevent comment environment to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check valves with motor-operated butterfly valves         VEC rem 240       EXECON 227 880524 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					1									
VES UT vs. compare IXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE:       VNO         AMSTRACT Limit to 1400 make. La Assensembly Time environment (160)       Exercised of the industry concerning check valves being used as containment isolation valves in raw water systems.         On April 22, 1988, at approximately 0700 EDT with units 1 and 2 in mode 5       (0 percent power, 4 psig, 127 degrees F and 0 percent power, atmospheric pressure, 119 degrees F, respectively), the essential raw cooling water (ERCW) containment isolation valves (CIVs) 2-67-562C did not pass the Surveillance Instruction (SI)-158.1, "Containment Isolation Valve Leak Rate Test," test. These CIVs are check valves on the inboard side of the ERCW piping to the lower compartment coolers groups. The leakage measured from each of the valves was 507.9 standard cubic feet per hour (SCFH). The allowable leakage for all the testable penetrations in accordance with Technical Specification (F) 3.6.1.2 is 135.1 SCFH.         The subject components were cleaned, and SI-158.1 was reperformed with acceptable results. This event was caused by sediment collecting on the seating surface of the subject valves. This prevented the valves from backseating and forming a tight seat. A madditional root cause of the event is that swing check valves are not eff. tive in raw water systems when low leakage rate are required. These type of check valves have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. In order to prevent comment environment to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check valves with motor-operated butterfly valves         VEC rem 240       EXECON 227 880524 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>1.</td><td></td><td></td><td>· · · · · ·</td></t<>										1	1.			· · · · · ·
VES.UT WE COMPARE FORECTED SUBMISSION DATE:       YN       OATE (15)         AMSTRACT LUMIT To 1400 GREE, IX, RECORDERANCE, UTHER TO PROVE TO INFORM NRC OF a problem which has generic ramifications to the rest of the industry concerning check valves being used as containment isolation valves in raw water systems.       On April 22, 1988, at approximately 0700 EDT with units 1 and 2 in mode 5 (0 percent power, 4 psig, 127 degrees F and 0 percent power, atmospheric pressure, 119 degrees F, respectively), the essential raw cooling water (ERCW) containment isolation valves (CIVs) 2-67-562A and 2-67-562C did not pass the Surveillance Instruction (SI)-158.1, "Containment Isolation Valve Leak Rate Test," test. These CIVs are check valves on the inboard side of the ERCW piping to the lower compartment coolers groups. The leakage measured from each of the valves was 507.9 standard cubic feet per hour (SCFH). The allowable leakage for all the testable penetrations in accordance with Technical Specification (IS) 3.6.1.2 is 135.1 SCFH.         The subject components were cleaned, and SI-158.1 was reperformed with acceptable results. This event was caused by sediment collecting on the seating surface of the subject valves. This prevented the valves from backseating and forming a tight seat. An additional root cause of the event is that swing check valves are not eff. ctive in raw water systems when low leakage rates are required. These type of check valves have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. In order to prevent "ecurrence of this event, two actions will be initiated. The short-term action involves ensuring the valves to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check valves with motor-operated butterfly valves.         VBC		SUPPLEMENTAL	REPORT E	KPECTED	114		in der					MONTH	DAY	YEAR
ANSTRACT (Limit to 1400 users, is associately, times upgarates togeneither (18) This LER is being reported as a voluntary report to inform NRC of a problem which has generic ramifications to the rest of the industry concerning check valves being used as containment isolation valves in raw water systems. On April 22, 1988, at approximately 0700 EDT with units 1 and 2 in mode 5 (0 percent power, 4 psig, 127 degrees F and 0 percent power, atmospheric pressure, 119 degrees F, respectively), the essential raw cooling water (ERCW) containment isolation valves (CIVs) 2-67-562A and 2-67-562C did not pass the Surveillance Instruction (SI)-158.1, "Containment Isolation Valve Leak Rate Test," test. These CIVs are check valves on the inboard side of the ERCW piping to the lower compartment coolers groups. The leakage measured from each of the valves was 507.9 standard cubic feet per hour (SCFH). The allowable leakage for all the testable penetrations in accordance with Technical Specification (fS) 3.6.1.2 is 135.1 SCFH. The subject components were cleaned, and SI-158.1 was reperformed with acceptable results. This event was caused by sediment collecting on the seating surface of the subject valves. This prevented the valves from backseating and forming a tight seal. An additional root cause of the event is that swing check valves are not eff. tive in raw water systems when low leakage rates are required. These type of check valves have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. In order to prevent "ecurrence of this event, two actions will be initiated. The short-term action involves ensuring the valves to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check valves with motor-operated butterfly valves. BOC010227 880524 DR ADOCK 05000328	YES III ver complete EXPECTE	D SURMISSION DATE		-	NO								1.1	1.7
This LER is being reported as a voluntary report to inform NRC of a problem which has generic ramifications to the rest of the industry concerning check values being used as containment isolation values in raw water systems. On April 22, 1988, at approximately 0700 EDT with units 1 and 2 in mode 5 (0 percent power, 4 psig, 127 degrees F and 0 percent power, atmospheric pressure, 119 degrees F, respectively), the essential raw cooling water (ERCW) containment isolation values (CIVs) 2-67-562A and 2-67-562C did not pass the Surveillance Instruction (SI)-158.1, "Containment Isolation Value Leak Rate Test," test. These CIVs are check values on the inboard side of the ERCW piping to the lower compartment coolers groups. The leakage measured from each of the values was 507.9 standard cubic feet per hour (SCFH). The allowable leakage for all the testable penetrations in accordance with Technical Specification (fS) 3.6.1.2 is 135.1 SCFH. The subject components were cleaned, and SI-158.1 was reperformed with acceptable results. This event was caused by sediment collecting on the seating surface of the subject values. This prevented the values from backseating and forming a tight seal. An additional root cause of the event is that swing check values are not effective in raw water systems when low leakage rates are required. These type of check values have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. In order to prevent recurrence of this event, two actions will be initiated. The short-term action involves ensuring the values to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check values to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the values. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check values with motor-operated butterfly values. BEOGO10227 BE0524 DEC ADOCK C5000328			Daca typewr	tten (ines)									_	
On April 22, 1988, at approximately 0700 EDT with units 1 and 2 in mode 5 (0 percent power, 4 psig, 127 degrees F and 0 percent power, atmospheric pressure, 119 degrees F, respectively), the essential raw cooling water (ERCW) containment isolation valves (CIVs) 2-67-562A and 2-67-562C did not pass the Surveillance Instruction (SI)-158.1, "Containment Isolation Valve Leak Rate Test," test. These CIVs are check valves on the inboard side of the ERCW piping to the lower compartment coolers groups. The leakage measured from each of the valves was 507.9 stendard cubic feet per hour (SCFH). The allowable leakage for all the testable penetrations in accordance with Technical Specification (IS) 3.6.1.2 is 135.1 SCFH. The subject components were cleaned, and SI-158.1 was reperformed with acceptable results. This event was caused by sediment collecting on the seating surface of the subject valves. This prevented the valves from backseating and forming a tight seal. An additional root cause of the event is that swing check valves are not eff. tive in raw water systems when low leakage rates are required. These type of check valves have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. In order to prevent recurrence of this event, two actions will be initiated. The short-term action involves ensuring the valves to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW compares. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW compares. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW compares. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW compares. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW compares. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW compares.	has generic ran	nifications to	the r	rest	of th	e in	dustr	y concer						
<ul> <li>(0 percent power, 4 psig, 127 degrees F and 0 percent power, atmospheric pressure, 119 degrees F, respectively), the essential raw cooling water (ERCW) containment isolation valves (CIVs) 2-67-562A and 2-67-562C did not pass the Surveillance Instruction (SI)-158.1, "Containment Isolation Valve Leak Rate Test," test. "hese CIVs are check valves on the inboard side of the ERCW piping to the lower compartment coolers groups. The leakage measured from each of the valves was 507.9 standard cubic feet per hour (SCFH). The allowable leakage for all the testable penetrations in accordance with Technical Specification (IS) 3.6.1.2 is 135.1 SCFH.</li> <li>The subject components were cleaned, and SI-158.1 was reperformed with acceptable results. This event was caused by sediment collecting on the seating surface of the subject valves. This prevented the valves from backseating and forming a tight seal. An additional root cause of the event is that swing check valves are not effective in raw water systems when low leakage rates are required. These type of check valves have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. In order to prevent recurrence of this event, two actions will be initiated. The short-term action involves ensuring the valves to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check valves with motor-operated butterfly valves.</li> </ul>	used as contain	nment isolatio	n valv	ves i	n raw	wat	er sy	stems.						
<ul> <li>(0 percent power, 4 psig, 127 degrees F and 0 percent power, atmospheric pressure, 119 degrees F, respectively), the essential raw cooling water (ERCW) containment isolation valves (CIVs) 2-67-562A and 2-67-562C did not pass the Surveillance Instruction (SI)-158.1, "Containment Isolation Valve Leak Rate Test," test. These CIVs are check valves on the inboard side of the ERCW piping to the lower compartment coolers groups. The leakage measured from each of the valves was 507.9 standard cubic feet per hour (SCFH). The allowable leakage for all the testable penetrations in accordance with Technical Specification (IS) 3.6.1.2 is 135.1 SCFH.</li> <li>The subject components were cleaned, and SI-158.1 was reperformed with acceptable results. This event was caused by sediment collecting on the seating surface of the subject valves. This prevented the valves from backseating and forming a tight seal. An additional root cause of the event is that swing check valves are not effective in raw water systems when low leakage rates are required. These type of check valves have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. In order to prevent recurrence of this event, two actions will be initiated. The short-term action involves ensuring the valves to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check valves with motor-operated butterfly valves.</li> </ul>	Carl and a start of													
119 degrees F, respectively), the essential raw cooling water (ERCW) containment isolation valves (CIVs) 2-67-562A and 2-67-562C did not pass the Surveillance Instruction (SI)-158.1, "Containment Isolation Valve Leak Rate Test," test. These CIVs are check valves on the inboard side of the ERCW piping to the lower compartment coolers groups. The leakage measured from each of the valves was 507.9 standard cubic feet per hour (SCFH). The allowable leakage for all the testable penetrations in accordance with Technical Specification (IS) 3.6.1.2 is 135.1 SCFH. The subject components were cleaned, and SI-158.1 was reperformed with acceptable results. This event was caused by sediment collecting on the seating surface of the subject valves. This prevented the valves from backseating and forming a tight seal. An additional root cause of the event is that swing check valves are not effective in raw water systems when low leakage rates are required. These type of check valves have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. In order to prevent recurrence of this event, two actions will be initiated. The short-term action involves ensuring the valves to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check valves with motor-operated butterfly valves. BECCM ADOCK C5000328														
<pre>isolation valves (CIVs) 2-67-562A and 2-67-562C did not pass the Surveillance Instruction (SI)-158.1, "Containment Isolation Valve Leak Rate Test," test. These CIVs are check valves on the inboard side of the ERCW piping to the lower compartment coolers groups. The leakage measured from each of the valves was 507.9 standard cubic feet per hour (SCFH). The allowable leakage for all the testable penetrations in accordance with Technical Specification (FS) 3.6.1.2 is 135.1 SCFH. The subject components were cleaned, and SI-158.1 was reperformed with acceptable results. This event was caused by sediment collecting on the seating surface of the subject valves. This prevented the valves from backseating and forming a tight seal. An additional root cause of the event is that swing check valves are not effective in raw water systems when low leakage rates are required. These type of check valves have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. In order to prevent recurrence of this event, two actions will be initiated. The short-term action involves ensuring the valves to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check valves with motor-operated butterfly valves. HECFORM 386</pre>	(U percent powe	er, 4 psig, 12	/ degi	rees	Fand	() p	ercen	t power,	atmos	pheri	ic pr	essui	re,	
Instruction (SI)-158.1, "Containment Isolation Valve Leak Rate Test," test. These CIVs are check valves on the inboard side of the ERCW piping to the lower compartment coders groups. The leakage measured from each of the valves was 507.9 standard cubic feet per hour (SCFH). The allowable leakage for all the testable penetrations in accordance with Technical Specification (IS) 3.6.1.2 is 135.1 SCFH. The subject components were cleaned, and SI-158.1 was reperformed with acceptable results. This event was caused by sediment collecting on the seating surface of the subject valves. This prevented the valves from backseating and forming a tight seal. An additional root cause of the event is that swing check valves are not effective in raw water systems when low leakage rates are required. These type of check valves have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. In order to prevent recurrence of this event, two actions will be initiated. The short-term action involves ensuring the valves to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check valves with motor-operated butterfly valves. BEOGO10227 B80524 PDR ADDCK 05000328	isolation valve	cespeccivery)	, the	esse	2-67-	E aw	did	ing wate	the S	W) CO	ontai	nmen	C	
CIVs are check values on the inboard side of the ERCW piping to the lower compartment coolers groups. The leakage measured from each of the values was 507.9 standard cubic feet per hour (SCFH). The allowable leakage for all the testable penetrations in accordance with Technical Specification (IS) 3.6.1.2 is 135.1 SCFH. The subject components were cleaned, and SI-158.1 was reperformed with acceptable results. This event was caused by sediment collecting on the seating surface of the subject values. This prevented the values from backseating and forming a tight seal. An additional root cause of the event is that swing check values are not effective in raw water systems when low leakage rates are required. These type of check values have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. In order to prevent recurrence of this event, two actions will be initiated. The short-term action involves ensuring the values to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check values to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the values. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check values with motor-operated butterfly values. BESO6010227 BE0524 PDR ADOCK C5000328	Instruction (S)	[)-158.1. "Cor	tainme	ent T	solat	ion	Valve	Leak Ra	to Tos	t " i	toet	The	0.50	
compartment coolers groups. The leakage measured from each of the valves was 507.9 standard cubic feet per hour (SCFH). The allowable leakage for all the testable penetrations in accordance with Technical Specification (IS) 3.6.1.2 is 135.1 SCFH. The subject components were cleaned, and SI-158.1 was reperformed with acceptable results. This event was caused by sediment collecting on the seating surface of the subject valves. This prevented the valves from backseating and forming a tight seal. An additional root cause of the event is that swing check valves are not effective in raw water systems when low leakage rates are required. These type of check valves have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. In order to prevent recurrence of this event, two actions will be initiated. The short-term action involves ensuring the valves to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check valves with motor-operated butterfly valves. B806010227 B80524 PDR ADOCK C5000328	CIVs are check	valves on the	inboa	ard s	ide o	fth	e ERC	W piping	to th	e los	Ver	- 114	000	
standard cubic feet per hour (SCFH). The allowable leakage for all the testable penetrations in accordance with Technical Specification (IS) 3.6.1.2 is 135.1 SCFH. The subject components were cleaned, and SI-158.1 was reperformed with acceptable results. This event was caused by sediment collecting on the seating surface of the subject valves. This prevented the valves from backseating and forming a tight seal. An additional root cause of the event is that swing check valves are not effective in raw water systems when low leakage rates are required. These type of check valves have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. In order to prevent recurrence of this event, two actions will be initiated. The short-term action involves ensuring the valves to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check valves with motor-operated butterfly valves. B806010227 B80524 PDR ADDCK 05000328	compartment coo	piers groups.	The 1	leaka	ge me	asur	ed fr	om each	of the	valv	ves w	as 50	07.9	
penetrations in accordance with Technical Specification (FS) 3.6.1.2 is 135.1 SCFH. The subject components were cleaned, and SI-158.1 was reperformed with acceptable results. This event was caused by sediment collecting on the seating surface of the subject valves. This prevented the valves from backseating and forming a tight seal. An additional root cause of the event is that swing check valves are not effective in raw water systems when low leakage rates are required. These type of check valves have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. In order to prevent recurrence of this event, two actions will be initiated. The short-term action involves ensuring the valves to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check valves with motor-operated butterfly valves. BECEFORM 260	standard cubic	feet per hour	(SCFI	H).	The a	110W	able	leakage	for al	1 the	e tes	table	е	
results. This event was caused by sediment collecting on the seating surface of the subject valves. This prevented the valves from backseating and forming a tight seal. An additional root cause of the event is that swing check valves are not effective in raw water systems when low leakage rates are required. These type of check valves have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. In order to prevent recurrence of this event, two actions will be initiated. The short-term action involves ensuring the valves to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check valves with motor-operated butterfly valves. HEC Form 386	penetrations in	n accordance w	ith Te	echni	cal S	peci	ficat	ion (fS)	3.6.1	.2 is	s 135	.1 S	CFH.	
results. This event was caused by sediment collecting on the seating surface of the subject valves. This prevented the valves from backseating and forming a tight seal. An additional root cause of the event is that swing check valves are not effective in raw water systems when low leakage rates are required. These type of check valves have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. In order to prevent recurrence of this event, two actions will be initiated. The short-term action involves ensuring the valves to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check valves with motor-operated butterfly valves. HEC Form 386														
the subject valves. This prevented the valves from backseating and forming a tight seal. An additional root cause of the event is that swing check valves are not effective in raw water systems when low leakage rates are required. These type of check valves have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. In order to prevent recurrence of this event, two actions will be initiated. The short-term action involves ensuring the valves to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check valves with motor-operated butterfly valves. B806010227 B80524 PDR ADDCK 05000328	The subject cor	nponents were	clean	ed, a	ind SI	-158	.1 wa	s reperi	ormed	with	acce	ptab.	le	
seal. An additional root cause of the event is that swing check valves are not effective in raw water systems when low leakage rates are required. These type of check valves have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. In order to prevent recurrence of this event, two actions will be initiated. The short-term action involves ensuring the valves to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check valves with motor-operated butterfly valves. B806010227 B80524 PDR ADDCK 05000328	results. This	event was cau	ised by	y sed	liment	col	lecti	ng on th	ne seat	ing s	surfa	ce of	f	
effective in raw water systems when low leakage rates are required. These type of check values have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. In order to prevent recurrence of this event, two actions will be initiated. The short-term action involves ensuring the values to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check values to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the values. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check values with motor-operated butterfly values. B806010227 B80524 PDR ADDCK 05000328	the subject va.	ives. Inis pr	evente	ea th	le val	ves	Iron.	backseat	ing an	d for	rming	a ti	ight	
check vilves have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. In order to prevent recurrence of this event, two actions will be initiated. The short-term action involves ensuring the valves to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check valves with motor-operated butterfly valves. B806010227 B80524 PDR ADDCK 05000328	of ctive in r	aw water evet	me ub	t the	even	L IS	that	swing c	check v	alves	s are	not		
to prevent recurrence of this event, two actions will be initiated. The short-term action involves ensuring the valves to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check valves with motor-operated butterfly valves. B806010227 B80524 PDR ADDCK 05000328	check vilves h	aw waller syste	v hiel	tory	of po	t no	rate	s are re	guired	toot.	nese	cype	IU	
action involves ensuring the valves to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check valves with motor-operated butterfly valves. B806010227 B80524 PDR ADDCK 05000328	to prevent reci	urrence of thi	S AVAI	nt. t	WO AC	tion	s wil	1 be ini	tistod	TI	ho ch	n ort	torm	
open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check valves with motor-operated butterfly valves. B806010227 B80524 PDR ADDCK 05000328	action involves	s ensuring the	valve	es to	the	lowe	r com	partment	coole	rs re	emain	ful	1	
buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the valves. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check valves with motor-operated butterfly valves. HRC form 366	open in order	to maintain su	ficio	ent f	low t	hrou	gh th	e check	valves	tol	help	prev	ent	
action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check valves with motor-operated butterfly valves. HRC Form 386	buildup of for	eign material	on the	e sea	ting	surf	ace o	f the va	alves.	The	long	-tern	m	
motor-operated butterfly valves. 8806010227 880524 PDR ADDCK 05000328	action will be	the replaceme	ent of	the	ERCW	cont	ainme	nt isola	ation c	heck	valv	es w	ith	
PDR ADOCK 05000328	motor-operated	butterfly val	ves		88060	0102	227 8	80524				5P	2,	
				-	PDR	ADO	DCK C	500032	8			1	-41	

LICENSEE EVEN	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 8/31/88							
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	L	ER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)				
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER				
Sequoyah, Unit 2	0 5 0 0 3 2 8	8 8 -	0 2 0	- 010	0 2 OF 0 4			
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 365A's) (17)	and the second							

This LER is being reported as a voluntary report to inform NRC of a problem which has generic ramifications to the rest of the industry concerning check valves being used as containment isolation valves in raw water systems.

# DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

On April 22, 1988, at approximately 0700 EDT with units 1 and 2 in mode 5 (0 percent power, 4 psig, 127 degrees F and 0 percent power, atmospheric pressure, 119 degrees F, respectively), the essential raw cooling water (ERCW) (EIIS Code KI) containment isolation valves (CIVs) 2-67-562A and 2-67-562C did not pass the Surveillance Instruction (SI)-158.1, "Containment Isolation Valve Leak Rate Test," test. These CIVs are check valves on the inboard side of the ERCW piping to the lower compartment coolers groups (EIIS Code VA). The leakage measured from each of the valves was 507.9 standard cubic feet per hour (SCFH). The allowable leakage for all the testable penetrations per Technical Specification (TS) 3.6.1.2 is 135.1 SCFH.

SI-166.23, "Essential Raw Cooling Water Check Valve Test During Cold Shutdown," is required to be performed by TS 4.0.5, the ASME Section XI TS, if the unit enters mode 5 for longer than 48 hours and the valves had not been tested in the last 92 days. This test ensures that normally open check valves will fulfill their safety-related function by performing this backseat test. If the SI-166.23 does not pass, a SI-158.1 leak rate test is performed. The subject valves did not pass either SI. Work Requests B200046 and B200047 were initiated to repair the valves. The valves were discovered to have a buildup of a mud-like substance on the seating surface of the valves. This prevented the valves from fully seating. The subject components were cleaned, and SI-158.1 was reperformed with acceptable results.

No TSs were entered as containment integrity (TS 3.6.1.1), and containment leakage rates (TS 3.6.1.2) are only applicable in modes 1, 2, 3, and 4. This condition also affects unit 1 as the same type of check valves are used in the same application in that unit.

### CAUSE OF EVENT

This event was caused by sediment collecting on the seating surface of the subject valves. This prevented the valves from backseating and forming a tight seal.

The root cause of this event was allowing stagnant or low ERCW flow conditions to exist at the valves. This allowed suspended solids to settle out on the valve intervals and prevent the valve from performing its design intended function.

An additional root cause of the event is that swing check valves are not effective in raw water systems when low leakage rates are required. These type of check valves have an industry history of not passing leakage rate tests. LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104

EICPIRES: 8/31/88

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3)
		YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER
Sequoyah, Unit 2	0 5 0 0 3 2	8 8 18 - 0 1 2 0 - 0 1 0 0 13 OF 0 1

#### ANALYSIS OF EVENT

AC Form 366.4

This LER is being reported as a voluntary report to inform NRC of a problem which has generic ramifications to the rest of the industry.

The check valves (2-67-562A and 2-62-562C) are inboard CIVs in the lower compartment cooler group ERCW line. These valves are part of the double isolation design required by General Design Criteria 56. A motor-operated flow control valve serves as the outboard CIV. The check valves were tested while the plant was in mode 5. Since there is no evidence indicating the valves did not function properly in modes 3 and 4, it was determined that the condition occurred in mode 5. This is supported by the fact that this ERCW line also supplies cooling to the control rod drive mechanism coolers and reactor coolant pump (RCP) motor coolers as well as the lower compartment coolers. The coolant capacity for the RCP motors and containment is greatly reduced in mode 5, thus, less flow is necessary and a greater possibility of sediment building up on the seating surface of the valves. TSs 3.6.1.1 and 3.6.1.2 involving containment integrity and containment leak rates, respectively, are applicable in modes 1, 2, 3, and 4 only. Hence, no TSs were entered for this event.

Had the plant been in a higher mode of operation and this condition was discovered, the subject outboard CIVs would have been deactivated and secured in the isolated position in order to reestablish containment integrity. This would have placed the plant in TS Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) 3.6.2.2.b on lower containment coolers. This action requires the plant to reestablish the train of coolers within 72 hours or be in hot standby within the next 6 hours. However, the deactivated secured valve would have performed the containment isolation function.

## CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

Once it was determined the subject check valves did not pass the SI-158.1 leak rate test, Work Requests B200046 and B200047 were initiated to repair the subject valves. The components were cleaned, and a subsequent SI-158.1 leak test was performed with acceptable results.

In order to prevent recurrence of this event, two actions will be initiated. The short-term action involves ensuring the valves to the lower compartment coolers remain full open in order to maintain sufficient flow through the check valves to help prevent buildup of foreign material on the seating surface of the valves. System Operating Instruction (SOI)-30.3, "Containment Upper and Lower Cooling, Heating and Ventilation System," and Operations Section Letter Administrative (OSLA)-99, "Assistant Unit Operator (AUO) Duty Locations and Pesponsibilities," have been revised to ensure the required flow is maintained through the remainder of this fuel cycle. The long-term action will be the replacement of the ERCW containment isolation check valves with motor-operated butterfly valves. This action will be completed before unit 2 restarts from the next refueling outage. The unit 1 valves will be replaced before the subject unit enters mode 4.

19-631 LICENSEE EVENT REPOR	T (LER) TEXT CONTINU		APPROVED ( EXPIRES 8/3	MB NO 3	
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER	PAGE (3)		
		YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		
Sequoyah, Unit 2	0 5 0 0 0 3 2 8	8 8 - 0 2 0	-010	0 14	OF 0 4
TEXT (# more spece is required use additional NRC Form 3864 \$2(1)7) ADDITIONAL INFORMATION The subject check valves are swing Co. COMMITMENTS 1. Replace the unit 2 ERCW CIV ch	eck valves in the l	ower compartm			L
line before unit 2 restarts fr 2. Replace the unit 1 ERCW CIV ch line before unit 1 enters mode	eck walwes in the 1		ent coo	ler	

.

### TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY Sequoyah Nuclear Plant Post Office Box 2000 Soddy-Daisy, Tennessee 37379

May 24, 1988

5

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Gentlemen:

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY - SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT UNIT 2 - DOCKET NO. 50-328 - FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE DPR-79 - REPORTABLE OCCURRENCE REPORT SORO-50-328/88020

The enclosed licensee event report is a voluntary report which provides details concerning check valves used as containment isolation valves in a raw water system.

Very truly yours,

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

J. Smith S

Plant Manager

Enclosure cc (Enclosure):

> J. Nelson Grace, Regional Administrator U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Suite 2900 101 Marietta Street, NW Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Records Center Institute of Nuclear Power Operations Suite 1500 1100 Circle 75 Parkway Atlanta, Georgia 30339

NRC Inspector, Sequoyah Nuclear Plant

1822

An Equal Opportunity Employer