

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

September 15, 1988

Docket Nos.: 50-454 and 50-455

Mr. Henry E. Bliss Nuclear Licensing Manager Commonwealth Edison Company P.O. Box 767 Chicago, Illinois 60609

Dear Mr. Bliss:

SUBJECT: SAFETY EVALUATION REPORT OF THE INSERVICE TESTING (IST)

PROGRAM FOR PUMPS AND VALVES, BYRON STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

(TAC NOS. 56201/63241)

The enclosed Safety Evaluation Report was prepared by the Mechanical Engineering Branch to provide the results of the staff review of the Byron 1 & 2 Inservice Testing Program for pumps and valves.

The Code of Federal Regulations, paragraph 10 CFR 50.55a(g) requires certain Class 1, 2, and 3 pumps and valves in water-cooled nuclear reactor facilities to meet the inservice testing requirements stated in the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI; specifically, Subsection IWP, Inservice Testing of Pumps in Nuclear Power Plants, and Subsection IWV, Inservice Testing of Valves in Nuclear Power Plants. Each facility is required to establish a program for the inservice testing of pumps and valves which is updated every 10 years to meet the requirements in the latest approved edition and addenda to Section XI of the ASME Code. The program is submitted to the NRC for review and approval. The review entails verifying that the program is based on the applicable Code edition and addenda, verifying that the program covers testing of all appropriate pumps and valves, and verifying the acceptability of the requests for relief from the requirements of Subsections IWP and IWV.

The IST program addressed in this report covers the first 10-year intervals from September 16, 1985 to September 16, 1995 for Byron 1 and from Aug st 21, 1987 to August 21, 1997 for Byron 2. The licensee's program was submitted in a letter dated November 4, 1982. This report incorporates the review of the licensee's IST program through Revision 6 for pumps and Revision 7 for valves submitted in a letter dated August 21, 1987 and revised by letters dated May 16, 1988 and July 29, 1988.

The Mechanical Engineering Branch, with technical assistance from EG&G Idaho Incorporated, has reviewed and evaluated the IST program and requests for relief submitted by Byron I & 2. The staff adopts the evaluations and conclusions contained in the Technical Evaluation Report (TER) prepared by EG&G. The enclosed SER incorporates the TER and its findings. We are recommending that relief be granted from the testing requirements which we

DF01

have determined would be impractical to perform, would result in hardship or unusual difficulties without a compensating increase in the level of quality and safety and where the proposed alternative testing would not compromise the safety of the facility. This relief, granted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(i), (a)(3)(ii) and (g)(6)(i), is authorized by law and will not endanger life or property or the common defense and security and is otherwise in the public interest given due consideration to the burden upon the licensee that could result if the requirements were imposed on the facility. Certain relief requests have been denied where proposed alternative testing is unacceptable or where an adequate basis for the relief request has not been provided. We have determined that the IST program is acceptable for implementation provided the omissions and inconsistencies identified in Appendix C of the TER are addressed within 3 months of the receipt of this SE.

You are required to comply with the IST program defined in the above referenced letters in accordance with the relief granted in the enclosed SER. Program changes such as revisions or additional relief request or deletion of any components from the IST program should be submitted for staff review but may not be implemented prior to review and approval by the NRC.

Sincerely,

Teax Olshan

Leonard Olshan, Project Manager Project Directorate III-2 Division of Reactor Project III. IV. V and Special Projects

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/enclosure: See neit page - 2-

have determined would be impractical to perform, would result in hardship or unusual difficulties without a compensating increase in the level of quality and safety and where the proposed alternative testing would not compromise the safety of the facility. This relief, granted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(i), (a)(3)(ii) and (g)(6)(i), is authorized by law and will not endanger life or property or the common defense and security and is otherwise in the public interest given due consideration to the burden upon the licensee that could result if the requirements were imposed on the facility. Certain relief requests have been denied where proposed alternative testing is unacceptable or where an adequate basis for the relief request has not been provided. We have determined that the IST program is acceptable for implementation provided the omissions and inconsistencies identified in Appendix C of the TER are addressed within 3 months of the receipt of this SE.

You are required to comply with the IST program defined in the above referenced letters in accordance with the relief granted in the enclosed SER. Program changes such as revisions or additional relief request or deletion of any components from the IST program should be submitted for staff review but may not be implemented prior to review and approval by the NRC.

Sincerely,

15/

Leonard Olshan, Project Manager Project Directorate III-2 Division of Reactor Project III, IV. V and Special Projects

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/enclosure: See next page

Docket file

NRC & Local PDRs PDIII-2 r/f GHolahan

MVirgilio LLuther LOIshan OGC EJordan BGrimes ACRS (10)

PDIII-2 Plant File

*See previous concurrence

PDIII-2 *LOIshan:km 8/11/88 PDIII-2 *LLuther 8/11/88

916/88

PDIII-2 *WForney 8/11/88 have determined would be impractical to perform, would result in hardship or unusual difficulties without a compensating increase in the level of quality and safety and where the proposed alternative testing would not compromise the safety of the facility. This relief, granted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(i), is authorized by law and will not endanger life or property or the common defense and security and is otherwise in the public interest given due consideration to the burden upon the licensee that could result if the requirements were imposed on the facility. Certain relief requests have been denied where proposed alternative testing is unacceptable or where an adequate basis for the relief request has not been provided. We have determined that the IST program is acceptable for implementation provided the omissions and inconsistencies identified in Appendix C of the TER are addressed within 3 months of the receipt of this SE.

You are required to comply with the IST program defined in the above referenced letters in accordance with the relief granted in the enclosed SER. Program changes such as revisions or additional relief request or deletion of any components from the IST program should be submitted for staff review but may not be implemented prior to review and approval by the NRC.

Sincerely,

Leonard Olshan, Project Manager Project Directorate III-2 Division of Reactor Project III, IV, V and Special Projects

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/enclosure: See next page

PDIII-2 LOIshan:km 8/n /88 PDIII-2 LLuther 2 8/11/88 916 188

PDIII-2 WForney WIZ have determined would be impractical to perform, would result in hardship or unusual difficulties without a compensating increase in the level of quality and safety and where the proposed alternative testing would not compromise the safety of the facility. This relief, granted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(i)-(a)(3)(ii) and (g)(6)(i), is authorized by law and will not endanger life or property or the common defense and security and is otherwise in the public interest given due consideration to the burden upon the licensee that could result if the requirements were imposed on the facility. Certain relief requests have been denied where proposed alternative testing is unacceptable or where an adequate basis for the relief request has not been provided. We have determined that the IST program is acceptable for implementation provided the omissions and inconsistencies identified in Appendix C of the TER are addressed within 3 months of the receipt of this SE.

You are required to comply with the IST program defined in the above referenced letters in accordance with the relief granted in the enclosed SER. Program changes such as revisions or additional relief request or deletion of any components from the IST program should be submitted for staff review but may not be implemented prior to review and approval by the NRC.

Sincerely,

Leonard Olshan, Project Manager Project Directorate III-2 Division of Reactor Project III, IV, V and Special Projects

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/enclosure: See next page

PDJ11-2 *LOlshan:km 8/11/88 PDIII-2 *Lluther 8/11/88 OGC

/88

PDIII-2 *WForney 8/11/88 Mr. Henry E. Bliss Commonwealth Edison Company

cc:

.

Mr. William Kortier Atomic Power Distribution Westinghouse Electric Corporation Post Office Box 355 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230

Michael Miller, Esq. Sidley and Austin One First National Plaza Chicago, Illinois 60603

Mrs. Phillip B. Johnson 1907 Stratford Lane Rockford, Illinois 61107

Ms. Lorraine Creek Rt. 1, Box 182 Mantenc, Illinois 60950

Dr. Bruce von Zeilen Department of Biological Sciences Northern Illinois University DeKalb, Illinois 61107

Mr. Edward R. Crass Nuclear Safeguards & Licensing Sargent & Lundy Engineers 55 East Monroe Street Chicago, Illinois 60603

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Byron/Resident Inspectors Offices 4448 North German Church Road Byron, Illinois 61010

ESI Review Coordinator Environmental Protection Agency Region V 230 S. Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60604

Commonwealth Edison Company Byron Station Manager 4450 North German Church Road Byron, Illinois 61010 Byron Station Units 1 and 2

Regional Administrator, Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 799 Roosevelt Road, Bldg. #4 Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Mr. Michael C. Parker, Chief Division of Engineering Illino's Department of Nuclear Safety 1035 Outer Park Drive Springfield, Illinois, 62704

Joseph Gallo, Esq. Hopkins and Sutter Suite 1250 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20036

Douglass Cassel, Esq. 109 N. Dearborn Street Suite 1300 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Ms. Pat Morrison 5568 Thunderidge Drive Rockford, Illinois 61107

Attorney General 500 South 2nd Street Springfield, Illinois 62701

Chairman, Ogle County Board Post Office Box 357 Oregon, Illinois 61061