UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY

MONTICELLO NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT

DOCKET NO. 50-263

REQUEST FOR AMENDMENT TO OPERATING LICENSE DPR-22

SUPPLEMENT NO. 1 TO LICENSE AMENDMENT REQUEST DATED JULY 5, 1995

Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation, requests authorization for changes to Appendix A of the Monticello Operating License as shown on the attachments labeled Exhibit A, B, and C. Exhibit A describes the changes proposed in this supplement. Exhibit B contains Technical Specification pages marked up with the proposed changes. Exhibit C is a copy of the Technical Specification pages incorporating the proposed changes.

This letter contains no restricted or other defense information.

NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY

Michael F Hammer

Plant Manager

Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant

On this quay of October, 1998 before me a notary public in and for said County, personally appeared Michael F Hammer, Plant Manager, Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant, and being first duly sworn acknowledged that he is authorized to execute this document on behalf of Northern States Power Company, that he knows the contents thereof, and that to the best of his knowledge, information, and belief the statements made in it are true and that it is not interposed for delay.

Samuel I Shirey

Notary Public - Minnesota

Sherburne County

My Commission Expires January 31, 2000

SAMUEL I. SHIREY
NOTARY PUBLIC - MINNESOTA
My Comm. Exp. Jan. 31, 2000

Exhibit A -Evaluation of Proposed Changes Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant

Supplement No. 1 to License Amendment Request Dated July 5, 1995

Description of Proposed Changes and Reasons for Changes

Exhibit A of NSP's July 5, 1995 License Amendment Request titled, "Surveillance Test Interval/Allowed Outage Time Extension Program – Part 2" proposed numerous changes and stated the rationale for requesting the changes. In the intervening time additional changes have been identified. This Exhibit A augments Exhibit A of our July 5, 1995 submittal in the following ways:

- Additional spelling and punctuation errors on the affected pages have been identified as presented in the table below entitled "Supplement 1 Changes."
- The NSP word processor currently used for Monticello Technical Specifications (TS) makes pages aesthetically different with no change of content. Changes include a different font, differences in word wrapping, and added lines on tables. With conversion of word processors, the effective amendment number is also printed on each page.

The attached Exhibit B is a composite of all changes to the TS requested in our original July 5, 1995 submittal and this Supplement 1. The changes discussed in the table below are flagged as "Supplement 1." The remaining changes noted are from the original submittal. However, since Amendments 93 through 102 were issued after the original submittal, all requested changes have been transposed onto the currently effective pages. Exhibit C is a clean, typed copy of the replacement pages incorporating the requested changes.

Supplement 1 Changes

| Page No. | Tech Spec Section | Explanation |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 30 | Table 3.1.1 Condition b. | "functionin" corrected to "function in" with Amendment 102. Shown here for continuity with original submittal. |
| 34 | Table 4.1.2 | Withdraw request to extend calibration frequency of Recirculation Flow Instrumentation. |
| 50, 52, 53, 54, 59, 60d, 61, 62 | misc. | Several border lines added to the tables. |
| 59, 59a | Table 3.2.4 | Added information on the original page requires a new page 59a to be added. |
| 61 | Table 4.2.1 title block | Added "," after cooling. |
| 62 | Table 4.2.1 title block | Added "," after cooling. |

¹ "Surveillance Test Interval/Allowed Outage Time Extension Program – Part 1" was approved by the NRC as Amendment No.81 on 4/16/92.

Exhibit A -Evaluation of Proposed Changes Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant

Supplement No. 1 to License Amendment Request Dated July 5, 1995

Safety Evaluation and Determination of No Significant Hazards Consideration:

This supplement reflects editorial differences from the original July 5, 1995 submittal. In addition, NSP is withdrawing a proposed change to extend the recirculation flow meter instrumentation surveillance interval as described herein. These changes do not affect the scope or conclusions of the safety evaluation and determination of no significant hazards consideration provided in the previous submittal.

Environmental Assessment:

As this supplement only reflects editorial differences from the original July 5, 1995 submittal, the scope of the original Environmental Assessment still applies without additional discussion. Therefore, see NSP's original July 5, 1995 submittal for applicable Environmental Assessment.