NRC FORM (6-1998)	M 366			U.S. NUCLI	EAR REC	GULATORY (	COMMI		Estima	ated burden per	OMB NO. 3150- response to comply	with this ma	mdatoev info	RES 06/36	action
		LICE	NSEE EVI	ENT RE	PORT	(LER)			request and fe	st: 50 hrs. Repo	orted lessons learned ustry. Forward con	d are incorpor	rated into the	licensing p	rocess
		2102	TOLLE LIT	ATT ICE	OKI	(LLK)			Washin	ngton, DC 2055	55-0001, and to the	Panerwork R	lear Regula	tory Commi	ission,
		(	See reverse for			of		- 1	Office	of Manageme	nt Budget, Wasper, a currently val	ashington. D	C 20503	If an inform	mation
			digits/charac	ters for each	h block)				conduc	ct or sponsor, ar	id a person is not rec	quired to resp	ond to, the i	nformation	my not
FACILITY N	AME (I	1)	WORKEN CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE					DOCK	CET NUMBER	(2)	T	P	AGE (3)	
South Te	exas,	Unit 1								05000 49	98		1	of	4
TTILE (4)	ASSESSED ON THE PROPERTY OF TH		******	COSTO MARIE CONTRACTO			CHARLES		WALLEY CO.				1		-4
Failure to	o Per	rform Qu	arterly Sur	veillance	on Tur	bine-Drive	en Aw	xiliary I	Feed	lwater Pu	imp				
EVEN'		ΓΕ (5)	LER	NUMBER (	(6)	REP	ORTD	ATE (7)	T		OTHER FACI	LITIES IN	VOLVET	(3)	THE OWNER
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISIO	N MONTH	DAY	YEAR	R	FACILITY N			OCKET N		-
										South Te	exas Unit 2		05000	100	
			-							FACILITY N			OCKET N	to a sum and the other section we	
09	15	98	98	007	00	10	14	98					05000		
OPERATI	ING		TH	IS REPORT	IS SUBM	HITTED PUR	SUANT	TO THE	REQ	UIREMEN	TS OF 10 CFR	: (Check	one or more	(11)	EVANIOLE
MODE (	(9)	1	20.2201(	)		20.2203(a)				X 50.73(a)	(2)(i)		-	ı)(2)(viii)	
POWER			20.2203(	a)(1)		20.2203(a)				50.73(a)	(2)(ii)		50.73(a)(2)(x)		
LEVEL (	10)	100	20.2203(	a)(2)(i)		20.2203(a)	(ii)(3)			50.73(a)	(2)(iii)		73.71		
			20.2203(	metric makes and the collection of the collectio		20.2203(a)	tol mineronamen			50.73(a)	(2)(iv)		OTHE	R	
			20.2203(	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY CO.		50.36(c)(1	****			50.73(a)	(2)(v)	S	pecify in Ab	stract below	or in
02/000000 KNOWN (MINUTED)	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	TO STATE OF THE ST	20.2203(	1)(2)(iv)		50.36(c)(2	)			50.73(a)	(2)(vii)	N	IRC Form 36	66A	
			-		LICI	ENSEE CONT	ACT F	OR THIS	-	encage in management of contrastive and in					
NAME									1	TELEPHON	E NUMBER (Inc	lude Area (	Code)		
Scott H	lead	- Licens	ing Superv	risor							(512	972-7	136		
MATHEMATICAL REPORTS	NOTE THE REAL PROPERTY.	WORKSHIP OF	ancietica en anticomente a	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	FOREA	ACH COMPO	NENT I	FAILURE	DES	SCRIRED IN	THIS REPOR	CONTRACTOR	150		And and and and
CAUSE	T	SYSTEM	COMPONENT			REPORTABL	-	CAUS	-	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	American services	ACTURER	REPORT	CABLE
						TO EPIX								TO E	
									T						
	+			-			-		-						
		SU	PPLEMENTA	L REPORT	EXPECT	TED (14)				EXP	ECTED	MONTH	DAY	YEA	\R
YES (If yes		plete EXPEC	TED SUBMISS	SION DATE)			X NO	,		SUBN	MISSION TE (15)				
CONTRACTOR DE CONTRACTOR DE	CELEBOOK PROPERTY	NOMES OF THE PARTY	paces, i.e., appro	COLUMN ACTION AC	HOUSE BOOK TO	and to manistrate	Lines) (4	LC C		Mannet Langu		ALCOHOLD THE REAL PROPERTY.	-		
										_					
On Septi	drivo	er 15, 15	98, Units	1 and 2 v	vere of	perating at	1009	% pow	er.	During a	a review of	surveill	ances o	of the U	nit
heen ner	rform	ned on !	aly recuv	vater Pur	np, the	e system	engine	eer dis	COV	ered that	t the quarte	erly inse	ervice	test had	d ne
was nerf	form	ed on A	nril 14 10	OR ASN	AE IW	D-3400 et	ates t	but the	fra	ure. The	previous i	nservice	e test o	of this p	oum
months o	durir	on on A	operation	n The al	llowed	grace ner	iod e	vnired	me	August 0	, 1998. Te	chrical	for pu	mps is	thre
requires	inse	rvice tes	ting of thi	s numn i	n acco	rdance wi	th Sec	ction X		f the AS	ME Boiler	and Dr	Specin	Vaccal	4.U.
and app	licab	ole adder	nda as reo	nired by	10CF	R50 55a(	o) P	oursuar	at to	Techni	cal Specific	cation	103 f	vessel	COU
perform	as	urveillar	ce require	ement w	ithin th	he allowe	d sur	veillan	ce	interval	the pump	did no	t meet	opera	hilis
requirem	nents	from A	August 9.	1998. un	til the	quarterly	SHITVE	eillance	W	as comp	leted satisfa	actorily	on Se	ntembe	r 14
1998. TI	he ca	ause was	s inadequa	te on-ship	ft surve	eillance te	st pre	paratic	n.	A check	list will be	develor	ed for	surveil	lanc
preparat	ion,	perform	ance, and	review re	equiren	nents of th	ne sur	veillan	ce t	testing pr	rocess. The	e Shift	Turnov	er Che	cklic
will be re	evise	ed. Add	itional train	ning has l	been pr	rovided.				- P					

9810200097 981014 PDR ADOCK 05000498 S PDR NRC FORM 366A

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET		LER NUMBER	PAGE (3)	
South Texas, Unit 1	05000 498	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2 of 4
		98	007	00	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

### DESCRIPTION OF EVENT:

On September 15, 1998, Units 1 and 2 were operating at 100% power. During a review of surveillance trend data for the Unit 1 turbine-driven Auxiliary Feedwater Pump, the system engineer discovered that the quarterly inservice test had not been performed on July 9, 1998, as scheduled and required by procedure. The previous inservice test of this pump was performed on April 14, 1998.

The procedure for testing the turbine-driven Auxiliary Feedwater Pump includes requirements for monthly and quarterly tests. The monthly test is an operability test; the quarterly inservice test provides pump performance data for pressure, temperature, and vibration for a more detailed determination of pump operational status.

The assigned Test Coordinator was provided with the surveillance package for the Unit 1 turbine-driven Auxiliary Feedwater Pump surveillances to be performed. There were four pairs of Surveillance Test Scheduling Sheets and Test Completion Notification sheets in the package. Three were for the monthly surveillance and one was for the quarterly surveillance. In preparing the sheets, the Test Coordinator did not identify that one pair of the assigned task numbers was associated with a quarterly surveillance; as a result, the sections of the surveillance procedure addressing the quarterly surveillance were incorrectly marked as being not applicable. The test results were reviewed and approved with the understanding that the completed surveillance was a monthly surveillance. However, because the Test Coordinator signed all of the Test Completion Notification sheets as being completed, including the one for the quarterly surveillance, the quarterly surveillance was subsequently tracked as having been completed. The error was not caught despite review by the Unit Supervisor, the Operations Divisional Surveillance Coordinator, the Section XI engineer, and the system engineer.

The missed surveillance was identified by the system engineer during trending of information resulting from later pump surveillance tests. The database used for recording the trending data did not specify the reason for performing the test. The system engineer determined that the quarterly surveillance test had not been performed when he identified that no pump vibration data had been recorded since July 9, 1998. Vibration readings are required for the quarterly surveillance test.

ASME IWP-3400 states that the frequency for inservice testing for pumps is normally three months during normal operation. Although a 25% extension of the period between tests is available, the allowed grace period expired on August 9, 1998. Technical Specification 4.0.5 requires inservice testing of this pump in accordance with Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and applicable addenda as required by 10CFR50.55a(g). Pursuant to Technical Specification 4.0.3 for failure to perform a surveillance requirement within the allowed surveillance interval, the pump did not meet operability requirements from August 9, 1998, until the quarterly surveillance was completed satisfactorily on September 15, 1998.

NRC FORM 366A

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET		LER NUMBER	PAGE (3)	
South Texas, Unit 1	05000 498	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	3 of 4
		98	007	00	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

#### CAUSE OF EVENT:

The cause of this event was inadequate on-shift surveillance test preparation. During surveillance test procedure preparation, the Test Coordinator did not identify the requirement for performing the quarterly surveillance and subsequently entered "N/A" in the corresponding sections of the procedure used to perform the quarterly surveillance. This led to only covering the monthly surveillance test in the pre-job briefing. Supervisory involvement throughout the preparation phase was not in-line with management expectations.

The Test Coordinator did not correctly follow the surveillance documentation provided in the surveillance test package which indicated that the quarterly surveillance was required to be performed on the turbine-driven Auxiliary Feedwater Pump. This resulted in: test procedure sections for the quarterly surveillance being marked as not applicable; pre-job brief for only the monthly surveillances; performance of only the monthly surveillances; and the test completion sheet for the quarterly surveillance being incorrectly signed off as complete.

#### ANALYSIS OF EVENT:

Failure to perform a surveillance requirement as required by Technical Specifications is reportable pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B). Technical Specification 4.0.5 requires inservice testing of this pump in accordance with Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and applicable addenda as required by 10CFR50.55a(g). The quarterly surveillance requirements of ASME IWP-3400 were not met for this pump. Pursuant to Technical Specification 4.0.3 for failure to perform a surveillance requirement within the allowed surveillance interval, the pump did not meet operability requirements from August 9, 1998, until the quarterly surveillance was completed satisfactorily on September 15, 1998. However, there were no adverse safety or radiological consequences as a result of this event.

### **CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:**

The following corrective actions have been or will be taken as a result of this condition:

- 1. Quarterly surveillance of the Unit 1 turbine-driven Auxiliary Feedwater Pump was successfully performed on September 15, 1998, and the pump was declared operable.
- 2. The event was discussed with engineering personnel involved in the event.
- 3. The Operations Divisional Surveillance Coordinator, and the Test Coordinator and Unit Supervisor involved in the event have been counseled.

NRC FORM 366A (6-1998)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET		LER NUMBER (6)			
South Texas, Unit 1	05000 498	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	4 of 4	
		98	007	00		

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

- 4. A checklist will be developed for surveillance test preparation, performance, and review requirements of the surveillance testing process. Implementation is expected by November 5, 1998.
- 5. A shift training brief of the incident has been presented to Operations personnel covering the following:
  - · The results of the investigation of this incident
  - Surveillance test procedure preparation and performance process
  - Surveillance review requirements
- 6. The Shift Turnover Checklist will be revised to require the Unit Supervisor and the Reactor Operator to review the surveillance schedule prior to assuming the shift. This is expected to be completed by November 26, 1998.

### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

The South Texas Project has submitted two other Licensee Event Reports in the past three years regarding occurrences where Section XI ASME Code testing requirements pursuant to Technical Specification 4.0.5 were not met.

- Unit 1 Licensee Event Report 96-002 addressed an occurrence in which the testing frequency for Essential Chilled Water Pump 11A was not increased when the alert range for a measured performance parameter was entered.
- Unit 1 Licensee Event Report 98-006 addressed an occurrence in which tests of containment isolation check valves did not include the required safety function position within the required testing cycle.