

Nebraska Public Power District

GENERAL OFFICE
P.O. BOX 499, COLUMBUS, NEBRASKA 68601-0499
TELEPHONE (402) 564-8561

NLS8600088

February 27, 1986

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
BWR Project Directorate No. 2
Division of BWR Licensing
Washington, DC 20555

50-298

Attention: Mr. Daniel R. Muller

Subject: NUREG-0737, Supplement 1 - Detailed Control Room Design Review (DCRDR)
Supplement to the Summary Report.

- References:
- 1) Letter from D. B. Vassallo to J. M. Pilant dated September 5, 1985, "Detailed Control Room Design Review (DCRDR) - Evaluation of Summary Report"
 - 2) Letter from J. M. Pilant to D. B. Vassallo dated April 30, 1985, "Detailed Control Room Design Review (DCRDR) - In-Progress Audit Report Response"
 - 3) Letter from D. B. Vassallo to J. M. Pilant dated March 20, 1985, "Detailed Control Room Design Review (DCRDR) - In-Progress Audit Report"
 - 4) Letter from J. M. Pilant to D. B. Vassallo dated February 4, 1985, NUREG-0737, Supplement 1 - "Detailed Control Room Design Review (DCRDR) Summary Report"

In accordance with the requirements of NUREG-0737 Supplement 1, Nebraska Public Power District submitted the Detailed Control Room Design Review (DCRDR) Summary Report for Cooper Nuclear Station (CNS) with Reference 4. As described in Reference 4, the District had not received the "In-Progress Audit Report" (Reference 3) at the time of submittal for the Summary Report and indicated that the staff concerns identified in Reference 3 would be addressed in a Supplement to the Summary Report. This was reiterated in Reference 2 which stated that said Supplement would be submitted approximately February, 1986. Reference 1 provided the District with the staff's evaluation of Reference 4 and the associated Technical Evaluation Report (TER) from Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.

Reference 1 requested that the Supplement to the Summary Report address both the concerns identified during the "In-Progress Audit" (submitted with Reference 3) and the concerns identified in the accompanying NRC status report and TER. The Supplement to the Summary Report has addressed each of the concerns described above. The District has made every effort to clearly describe the methodology being employed to resolve the staff's concerns and has provided appropriate documentation in the appendices to this Supplement.

8603110439 860227
PDR AD0CK 05000298
P PDR

Limited Distribution


*A003
1/8*

Mr. Daniel R. Muller
February 27, 1986
Page 2

Since NUREG-0737, Supplement 1 requires a Safety Evaluation Report (SER) prior to the implementation of Control Room design modifications, the District seeks expeditious staff review and closure on the DCRDR issue to enable us to proceed with completion of those modifications. Receipt of the DCRDR SER from the Staff prior to August 1, 1986 is essential if the District is to proceed with the Control Room design modifications necessary to resolve the DCRDR HED's in a timely and economical manner.

Enclosed are eight copies for the Staff's use. Should you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me.

Sincerely,



Jay M. Pilant
Manager, Technical Staff
Nuclear Power Group

JMP:LRB:rg

Enclosure

ORIGINAL COVER LETTER