

UNITED STATES

September 16, 1988

Docket No. 50-285

Mr. Fred M. Petersen, President and Chief Executive Officer Omaha Public Power District 1623 Harney Street Omaha, Nebraska 58102

Dear Mr. Petersen:

SUBJECT: REINSPECTION OF SAFETY SYSTEMS OUTAGE MODIFICATION INSPECTION DESIGN FINDINGS, 50-285/83-200

This letter conveys the results and conclusions of the reinspection of the findings originally documented in Safety Systems Outage Modification Inspection (SSOMI) Report 50-285/85-22 dated January 25, 1986. The inspection team consisted of personnel from NRC's Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR), Region IV, and consultants. The inspection took place at your offices in Omaha, Nebraska, on February 18 and the weeks of March 21 and April 4, 1988. The exit meeting was conducted on April 8, 1988.

The primary purpose of the inspection was to review the corrective actions and implementation of corrective actions for all the findings documented in the original SSOMI design inspection report. The secondary purpose of the inspection was to perform a programmatic review of Fort Calhoun's design basis reconstitution program which was developed as a result of the original SSOMI design inspection.

With regard to the corrective action review of the original SSOMI findings, 39 of the original 47 findings identified in Inspection Report 50-285/85-22 were closed. One new issue was identified in the mechanical components discipline and was closed for the purpose of the inspection report. Therefore, 40 of the 48 findings identified by NRC are closed. However, four of the closed findings are licensing issues that Omaha Public Power District (OPPD) needs to resolve. The licensing issues include adequacy of the piping analyses performed in response to NRC Bulletin 79-14 and compliance with Updated Safety Analysis Report (USAR) seismic design requirements for valves, electric motor operators, junction boxes, and pressure switches. See Findings 03.1-4, D3.2-4, 03.2-5, and D3.2-8 in the mechanical components area for a more comprehensive discussion of these licensing issues.

In reviewing the corrective actions associated with the SSOMI findings, the inspection team identified the following significant items for which increased OPPD management involvement appears to be warranted to bring the issues identified to effective resolution. First, the functional testing associated with the accumulators for air-operated valves was inadequate. Previously tested air-operated valves need to be retested or the test data

8809230224 8809 PDR ADOCK 05000

Mr. Fred M. Petersen

¥

should be reevaluated to ensure that adequate functional tests were performed. All air-operated valves with accumulators need to be functionally tested before the end of the next refueling outage using techniques that include consideration of worst-case operating conditions. Also, development of criteria for functional testing and surveillance testing of air-operated valves is recommended to ensure consistent postmodification testing and assurance of design adequacy over the life of the plant. Second, many of the corrective actions for the previously identified findings were not complete at the beginning of the inspection. Since the findings were identified by the NRC more than two years ago, the fact that many corrective actions were incomplete is indicative of a lack of attention to NRC identified issues. Further, closure of the findings required direct MRC involvement to define actions necessary for resolution. Once the inspection team identified the required actio., OPPD was able to close many of the findings. However, OPPD must be more self-sufficient and should have closed many of these findings without relying on interaction with the inspection team. It was the feeling of the inspection team that, were it not for the NRC presence, many of these corrective actions would have languished for a considerable time before being completed Third, the inspection team identified areas where the USAR is not being kept current. An updated and accurate USAR is essential for Fort Calhoun because it remains the major source of design-basis information until the design-basis reconstitution program is completed. Finally, the team identified areas where USAR commitments were not being implemented. For example, containment isolation valve position indication ... as not maintained for all operating modes (Deficiency D4.3-1) and valve actuator seismic acceleration design requirements were not consistently utilized (Deficiency D3.2-7).

As a result of this recent inspection, the team became aware of the many enhancements made by OPPD to its design process. OPPD's commitment to the design reconstitution program is a necessary and major undertaking. The programmatic changes were apparent to the inspection team and if properly implemented should result in an acceptable documented design tasis when the work is completed in 1991, as scheduled. The team was generally impressed by the overall structure and content of the auxiliary feedwater system design basis document as well as the number of design attributes addressed in-depth in that document.

You are requested to respond in writing to the eight open items listed on pages A-1 through A-3 of the enclosed report within 60 days after receipt of this letter. You should address the specific action required for each item and the schedule for completion of that action.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790(a), a copy of this letter and enclosures will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room.

1

-

.

100

.

.

Should you have any questions concerning this inspection, please contact Mr. Ronald Parkwill (301-492-0963) of this office.

- 3 -

Sincerely,

Xan my

Gary M. Holahen, Acting Director Division of Reactor Projects, III, IV, V and Special Projects Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: Inspection Report 50-285/88-200 Mr. Fred M. Petersen

1

- 4 -

cc w/enclosure: Mr. Harry H. Voigt, Esq. LeBoeuf, Lamb, Leiby & MacRae 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20036

Mr. Jack Jensen, Chairman Washington County Board of Supervisor Blair, Nebraska 68008

Mr. Phillip Harrell, Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P.O. Box 309 Fort Calhoun, Nebraska 68023

Mr. Charles B. Brinkman, Manager Washington Nuclear Operations C-E Power Systems 7910 Woodmont Avenue Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Executive Director for Operations 611 Ryan Jaza Drive, Suite 10 Arlington, Texas 76011

Mr. Harold Borchert, Dire tor Division of Radiological Health Department of Health 301 Centennial Mall, South P.O. Box 95007 Lincoln, Nebraska 68509

Mr. W. G. Gates, Manager Fort Calhoun Station P.O. Box 399 Fort Calhoun, Nebraska 68023

INPO 1100 Circle 75 Parkway Atlanta, Georgia 30339 - 5 -

Distribution: Docket File 50-285 RSIB R/F DRIS R/F RWParkhill, NRR EVImbro, NRR CHaughney, NRR JEKonklin, NRR BKGrimes, NRR LBMarsh, NRR PTKuo, NRR ALee, NRR ABeach, RIV JMilhoan, PIV TWesterman, RIV JJaudon, RIV ABournia, NRR JCalvo, NRR VNoonan, NRR PHHarrell, SRI MMurphy, RIV Regional Administrators Regional Division Directors PDR LPUR ACRS (3) OGC (3) 1° Sistribution

						OF01
OFC	RMPaddal RSIB: DRIS:NRR	:RSIB:DRIS:NRR	:RSIB:DRL61ARR	:DU:DRIS:NOR	BR 9/13/88 :DRP:NRR	W
NAME	:RWParkhill:jj	EVImbro	CJHaughney	BKGTIMES	DErutohfield	(; A
DATE	:08/ il /88	:08/19/88	:08/22/88	:08/24/88 8/24 Ma	:0\$/15/88	