

Westinghouse Electric Corporation Water Reactor Divisions Nuclear Technology Division

Box 355 Pittsburgh Pennsylvania 15230

May 2, 1988 CAW-88-034

Dr. Thomas Murley, Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

APPLICATION FOR WITHHOLDING PROPRIETARY INFORMATION FROM PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

Subject: South Texas Units 1 and 2 Reactor Vessel Tilt and Waviness Clarification Study

Dear Dr. Murley:

The proprietary information for which withholding is being requested in the enclosed letter by Houston Lighting and Power Company is further identified in an affidavit signed by the owner of the proprietary information, Westinghouse Electric Corporation. The affidavit, which accompanies this letter, sets forth the basis on which the information may be withheld from public disclosure by the Commission and addresses with specificity the considerations listed in paragraph (b)(4) of 10CFR Section 2.790 of the Commission's regulations.

The proprietary material for which withholding is being required is of the same technical type as that proprietary material previously submitted as Affidavit AW-79-012.

Accordingly, this letter authorizes the utilization of the accompanying affidavit by Houston Lighting and Power Company.

Correspondence with respect to the proprietary aspects of the application for withholding or the Westinghouse affidavit should reference this letter, CAW-88-034, and should be addressed to the undersigned.

Very truly yours

Robert A. Wiesemann, Manager Regulatory & Legislative Affairs

Enclosures

cc: E. C. Shomaker, Esq.
Office of the General Counsel, NRC

8805270189 880523 PDR ADOCK 05000498 P DCD

INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED IN THE HOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER COMPANY

Letter for Transmittal to the NRC

Enclosed are:

- 1. (10) copies of WCAP-11761, Rev. 1, "South Texas Units 1 & 2 Reactor Vessel Tilt and Waviness Clarification Study"........ (Proprietary).
- 2. (10) copies of WCAP-11762, "South Texas Units 1 & 2 Reactor Vessel Tilt and Waviness Clarification Study" (Non-Proprietary).

Also enclosed is a Westinghouse authorization letter, CAW-88-034 , Proprietary Information Notice, and accompanying Affidavit.

THE FOLLOWING PARAGRAPHS SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN YOUR LETTER TO THE NRC:

As item 1 contains information proprietary to Westinghouse Electric Corporation, it is supported by an affidavit signed by Westinghouse, the owner of the information. The affidavit sets forth the basis on which the information may be withheld from public disclosure by the Commission and addresses with specificity the considerations listed in paragraph (b)(4) of Section 2.790 of the Commission's regulations.

Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the information which is proprietary to Westinghouse be withheld from public disclosure in accordance with 10CFR Section 2.790 of the Commission's regulations.

Correspondence with respect to the proprietary aspects of the Application for Withholding or the supporting Westinghouse Affidavit should reference CAW-88-034 and should be addressed to R. A. Wiesemann, Manager of Regulatory & Legislative Affairs, Westinghouse Electric Corporation, P.O. Box 355, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230-0355.

PROPRIETARY INFORMATION NOTICE

TRANSMITTED HEREWITH ARE PROPRIETARY AND/OR NON-PROPRIETARY VERSIONS OF DOCUMENTS FURNISHED TO THE NRC IN CONNECTION WITH REQUESTS FOR GENERIC AND/OR PLANT SPECIFIC REVIEW AND APPROVAL.

IN ORDER TO CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10CFR2.790 OF THE COMMISSION'S PEGULATIONS CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF PROPRIETARY INFORMATION SO SUBMITTED TO THE NRC, THE INFORMATION WHICH IS PROPRIETARY IN THE PROPRIETARY VERSIONS IS CONTAINED WITHIN BRACKETS AND WHERE THE PROPRIETARY INFORMATION HAS BEEN DELETED IN THE NON-PROPRIETARY VERSIONS ONLY THE BRACKETS REMAIN, THE INFORMATION THAT WAS CONTAINED WITHIN THE BRACKETS IN THE PROPRIETARY VERSIONS HAVING BEEN DELETED. THE JUSTIFICATION FOR CLAIMING THE INFORMATION SO DESIGNATED AS PROPRIETARY IS INDICATED IN BOTH VERSIONS BY MEANS OF LOWER CASE LETTERS (a) THROUGH (g) CONTAINED WITHIN PARENTHESES LOCATED AS A SUPERSCRIPT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING THE BRACKETS ENCLOSING EACH ITEM OF INFORMATION BEING IDENTIFIED AS PROPRIETARY OR IN THE MARGIN OPPOSITE SUCH INFORMATION. THESE LOWER CASE LETTERS REFER TO THE TYPES OF INFORMATION WESTINGHOUSE CUSTOMARILY HOLDS IN CONFIDENCE IDENTIFIED IN SECTIONS (4)(11)(a) THROUGH (4)(11)(g) OF THE AFFIDAVIT ACCOMPANYING THIS TRANSMITTAL PURSUANT TO 10CFR2.790(b)(1).

AFFIDAVIT

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA:

SS

COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY:

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Robert A. Wiesemann, who, being by me duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is authorized to execute this Affidavit on behalf of Westinghouse Electric Corporation ("Westinghouse") and that the averments of fact set forth in this Affidavit are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information, and belief:

Robert A. Wiesemann, Manager Regulatory & Legislative Affairs

Sworn to and subscribed before me this <u>20</u> day

of leptel 1979.

Notary Public

Maria Maria

Mammo

- (1) I am Manager, Regulatory and Legislative Affairs, in the Nuclear Technology Division, of Westinghouse Electric Corporation and as such, I have been specifically delegated the function of reviewing the proprietary information sought to be withheld from public disclosure in connection with nuclear power plant licensing or rule-making proceedings, and am authorized to apply for its withholding on behalf of the Westinghouse Water Reactor Divisions.
- (2) I am making this Affidavit in conformance with the provisions of 10CFR Section 2.790 of the Commission's regulations and in conjunction with the Westinghouse application for withholding accompanying this Affidavit.
- (3) I have personal knowledge of the criteria and procedures utilized by Westinghouse Nuclear Energy Systems in designating information as a trade secret, privileged or as confidential commercial or financial information.
- (4) Pursuant to the provisions of paragraph (b)(4) of Section 2.790 of the Commission's regulations, the following is furnished for consideration by the Commission in determining whether the information sought to be withheld from public disclosure should be withheld.
 - (i) The information sought to be withheld from public disclosure is owned and has been held in confidence by Westinghouse.

(ii) The information is of a type customarily held in confidence by Westinghouse and not customarily disclosed to the public. Westinghouse has a rational basis for determining the types of information customarily held in confidence by it and, in that connection, utilizes a system to determine when and whether to hold certain types of information in confidence. The application of that system and the substance of that system constitutes Westinghouse policy and provides the rational basis required.

In determining whether information in a document or report is proprietary, the following criteria and standards are utilized in Westinghouse. Information is proprietary if any one of the following are met:

- (a) The information reveals the distinguishing aspects of a process (or component, structure, tool, method, etc.) where prevention of its use by any of Westinghouse's competitors without license from Westinghouse constitutes a competitive economic advantage over other companies.
- (b) It consists of supporting data, including test data, relative to a process (or component, structure, tool, method, etc.), the application of which data secures a competitive economic advantage, e.g., by optimization or improved marketability.

- (c) Its use by a competitor would reduce his expenditure of resources or improve his competitive position in the design, manufacture, shipment, installation, assurance of quality, or licensing of a similar product.
- (d) It reveals cost or price information, production capacities, budget levels, or commercial strategies of Westinghouse, its customers or suppliers.
- (e) It reveals aspects of past, present, or future Westinghouse or customer funded development plans and programs of potential commercial value to Westinghouse.
- (f) It contains patentable ideas, for which patent protection may be desirable.
- (g) It is not the property of Westinghouse, but must be treated as proprietary by Westinghouse according to agreements with the owner.
- (iii) The information is being transmitted to the Commission in confidence and, under the provisions of 10CFR Section 2.790, it is to be received in confidence by the Commission.
- (iv) The information is not available in public sources to the best of our knowledge and belief.

(v) The proprietary information sought to be withheld in this submittal is test data regarding the pressure distribution in the Reactor Internals, Upper Plenum and Guide Tubes. This information is identified in WCAP-9404, "Study of Reactor Vessel Upper Head Region Fluid Temperature," which has been transmitted to the NRC by Westinghouse letter NS-TMA-2070, Anderson to Stolz, dated April 19, 1979. The transmittal of this information is in response to NRC requests for test results showing Upper Head Region fluid temperatures.

Public disclosure of this information is likely to cause substantial harm to the competitive position of Westinghouse as it would reveal the nature of the tests conducted, the test conditions, the test results and the conclusions of the Upper Head Injection (UHI) development program, which is recognized by the Staff to be of competitive value and because of the large amount of effort and money expended by Westinghouse in carrying out this development program.

Information regarding its development programs is valuable to Westinghouse because:

- (a) Information resulting from its development programs gives Westinghouse a competitive advantage over its competitors. It is, therefore, withheld from disclosure to protect the Westinghouse competitive position.
- (b) It is information which is marketable in many ways. The extent to which such information is available to competitors diminishes the Westinghouse ability to sell products and services involving the use of the information.

- (c) Use by our competitors would put Westinghouse at a competitive disadvantage by reducing their expenditure of resources at our expense.
- (d) Each component of proprietary information pertinent to a particular competitive advantage is potentially as valuable as the total competitive advantage. If competitors acquire components of proprietary information, any one component may be the key to the entire puzzle, thereby depriving Westinghouse of a competitive advantage.
- (e) The Westinghouse capacity to invest corporate assets in research and development depends upon the success in obtaining and maintaining a competitive advantage.

Competitors could obtain the equivalent information, with difficulty, by investing similar sums of money and provided they had the appropriate resources available and the requisite experience.

Further the deponent sayeth not.