

APPENDIX A

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Louisiana Power & Light Company
Waterford Steam Electric Station,
Unit 3

Docket: 50-382
Operating License: NPF-38

During an NRC inspection conducted on April 18-22, 1988, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. The violation involved failure to follow procedures with respect to evaluation of Quality Notices for 10 CFR Part 21 reportability. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1988), the violation is listed below:

Criterion V of Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50 requires that activities affecting quality shall be prescribed by and accomplished in accordance with documented instructions, procedures, or drawings of a type appropriate to the circumstances.

Paragraph 5.1.5 of Nuclear Operations Procedure NOP-005, Revision 1, states, "A copy of each QN shall be sent to Nuclear Services Licensing for 10 CFR Part 21 review."

Paragraph 5.1.3 of Nuclear Services Procedure NSP-105, Revision 1, requires the Operational Licensing Unit Supervisor, or his designee, to review Quality Notices (QNs) for reportability and document such reviews on review sheets.

Contrary to the above, the following was noted with respect to QNs issued during 1986 and 1987:

1. There was no evidence to indicate that seven valid QNs had been received by Nuclear Services Licensing for 10 CFR Part 21 review; i.e., QA 86-137, QA 86-090, QA 87-123, QA 87-113, QA 87-069, QA 87-028, and QA 87-001.
2. Review for reportability was not documented on review sheets by the Operational Licensing Unit Supervisor, or his designee, for ten received valid QNs; i.e., QA 86-124, QA 86-134, QA 86-054, QA 86-027, QA 86-005, QA 86-009, QA 87-116, QA 87-077, QA 87-066, and QA 87-007.

This is a Severity Level IV violation. (Supplement I)(382/8811-01)

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Louisiana Power & Light Company is hereby required to submit to this office, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice, a written statement or explanation in reply, including for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation if admitted,

- (2) the corrective steps which have been taken and the results achieved,
- (3) the corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further violations, and
- (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Arlington, Texas,
this *23rd* day of *May* 1988.