

MAR 04 1986

Docket No. 50-373  
Docket No. 50-374

Commonwealth Edison Company  
ATTN: Mr. Cordell Reed  
Vice President  
Post Office Box 767  
Chicago, IL 60690

Gentlemen:

We have reviewed Commonwealth Edison Company's (CECo) February 4, 1986, response to our November 22, 1985, 10 CFR 50.54(f) letter and met with Mr. O'Connor, Mr. Thomas, you, and members of your staff on February 18, 1986 to discuss the response and our comments on it.

As discussed below, we have identified some areas of concern with your response and plans. In a February 19, 1986, telephone call from you to Mr. Davis of my staff, you stated that you intended to provide further information in writing to address our concerns. You also briefly discussed the information to be provided.

Our concerns as stated in the February 18, 1986 meeting involved:

- a. The lack of firm commitments and schedules for completing such commitments. Your response contained many "goals" which were to be completed in a "timely manner."
- b. The need for a review by an offsite review group prior to Unit 1 startup to assure that the status of your improvement programs and completed and uncompleted work are acceptable for startup.
- c. The need to complete procedures for modifications prior to placing the modified systems in operation.
- d. The number and type of outstanding work requests and modifications prior to Unit 1 startup.
- e. The need to perform safety evaluations concerning the operability of various safety related motors which have been in a dusty environment and the operability of the RHR shutdown cooling isolation valve.

8603100419 860304  
PDR ADOCK 05000373  
P PDR

110  
IE01

We stated in the February 18 meeting that we are looking for measurable improvement in LaSalle operations and that during the next six months we will meet with you monthly to discuss your progress. Furthermore, we will conduct an overall assessment of LaSalle operations at the end of that time to determine if further regulatory action is necessary.

For these reasons, and based upon a favorable response to the concerns stated above, we will not at this time modify, suspend, or revoke the LaSalle County Station operating license. However, we will review this decision following our monthly status meetings and then conduct an overall assessment after about six months.

If you have any questions, please contact me.

Original signed by  
James G. Keppler

James G. Keppler  
Regional Administrator

- cc: D. L. Farrar, Director of Nuclear Licensing
- G. J. Diederich, Plant Manager
- DCS/RSB (RIDS)
- Licensing Fee Management Branch
- Resident Inspector, RIII
- Phyllis Dunton, Attorney
- General's Office, Environmental Control Division

RIII  
Missotimos/rr

Yes  
RIII  
Not us  
2/27/86

Yes  
RIII  
3/3/86

Yes  
RIII  
NAR  
3/3

Yes  
RIII  
Davis  
3/3

Yes  
RIII  
Keppler  
3/3/86