

MAR 3 1986

Docket No. 50-410

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation
ATTN: Mr. B. G. Hooten
Executive Director
Nuclear Operations
c/o Miss Catherine R. Seibert
300 Erie Boulevard, West
Syracuse, New York 13202

Gentlemen:

Subject: Inspection No. 83-06

This refers to your letter dated February 7, 1986, in response to our letter dated August 7, 1985.

Thank you for informing us of the corrective and preventive actions documented in your letter. These actions will be examined during a future inspection of your licensed program.

Your cooperation with us is appreciated.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By:

Stewart D. Ebnetter, Director
Division of Reactor Safety

cc:
Connor & Wetterhahn
John W. Keib, Esquire
D. Quamme, NMP-2 Project Director
C. Beckham, NMPC QA Manager
T. J. Perkins, General Superintendent
R. B. Abbott, Station Superintendent
Department of Public Service, State of New York
Public Document Room (PDR)
Local Public Document Room (LPDR)
Nuclear Safety Information Center (NSIC)
NRC Resident Inspector
State of New York

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

RL NMP2 83-06 - 0001.0.0
02/27/86


8603100360 860303
PDR ADOCK 05000410
Q PDR

11
1E'01

bcc:
Region I Docket Room (with concurrences)
Management Assistant, DRMA (w/o encl)
DRP Section Chief
J. Grant, DRP
Region I SLO

RI:DRS
Anderson
02/27/86

49


RI:DRS
Durr
02/28/86



NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION / 300 ERIE BOULEVARD WEST, SYRACUSE, N.Y. 13202 / TELEPHONE (315) 474-1511

February 7, 1986
(NMP2L 0605)

Mr. R. W. Starostecki, Director
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region I
Division of Reactor Projects
631 Park Avenue
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Re: Nine Mile Point Unit 2
Docket No. 50-410

Dear Mr. Starostecki:

The following is submitted in response to your letter dated August 7, 1985 concerning the apparent violation identified in Inspection Report No. 50-410/83-06.

Subsequent to our response to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission on September 26, 1983, the Management Analysis Company independent assessment team, which was reviewing violations and discrepancies, issued a Corrective Action Request with respect to the apparent violation identified in Inspection Report 83-06. Niagara Mohawk responded to the Corrective Action Request by indicating that an independent Final Safety Analysis Report verification program had been instituted to help ensure the accuracy of the Final Safety Analysis Report at the time of licensing. The Management Analysis Company assessment team accepted the Niagara Mohawk resolution of this Corrective Action Request.

Since the initial discrepancy has been corrected, and Niagara Mohawk has instituted a specific program to help ensure the accuracy of the Final Safety Analysis Report, Niagara Mohawk does not believe that any further discussion regarding the interpretation of the apparent violation would enhance the resolution of this issue. As we have discussed at several meetings with your

↘ FAX TO: CARRY DOERFLEIN


Please see that either Cliff Anderson or Carl Woodard receive a copy of this. Closure of violation is awaiting their acceptance letter to NMP. Thanks D1

2 pp.
860200130

315 342 5633
Mr. R. W. Starostecki, Director
Page 2

staff, the Final Safety Analysis Report verification program has identified a number of changes which have been incorporated into the Final Safety Analysis Report to reflect the design of Unit 2. For this reason, Niagara Mohawk believes that this issue can be resolved.

Very truly yours,



C. V. Mangan
Senior Vice President

CVM/GG/c1a

xc: Director of Inspection and Enforcement
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

R. A. Gramm, NRC Senior Resident Inspector
Project File (2)