#### NRC STAFF REVIEW

OF

## NUCLEAR STEAM SUPPLY SYSTEM VENDOR OWNERS GROUPS'

## APPLICATION OF

# THE COMMISSION'S INTERIM POLICY STATEMENT CRITERIA

TO

STANDARD TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

8805240044 880509 PDR TOPRP EMVBW C PDR

\*\* \* \*\* \*\*\*

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

r · · · · ·

On February 6, 1987, the Commission issued its Interim Policy Statement on Technical Specification Improvements (52 FR 3788). The Policy Statement encourages the industry to develop new Standard Technical Specifications (STS) to be used as guides for licensees in preparing improved Technical Specifications (TS) for their facilities. The Interim Policy Statement contains criteria (including a discussion of each) for determining which regulatory requirements and operating restrictions should be retained in the new STS and ultimately in plant TS. It also identifies four additional systems that are to be retained on the basis of operating experience and probabilistic risk assessments (PRA). Finally, the Policy Statement indicates that risk evaluations are an appropriate tool for defining requirements<sup>1</sup> that should be retained in the STS/TS where including such requirements is consistent with the purpose of TS (as stated in the Policy Statement). Requirements that are not retained in the new STS would generally not be retained in individual plant TS. Current TS requirements not retained in the STS will be relocated to other licensee-controlled documents.

One of the first steps in the program to implement the Commission's Interim Policy Statement is to determine which Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCOs) contained in the existing STS should be retained in the new STS. An early decision on this issue will facilitate efforts to make the other improvements (described in the Policy Statement) to the text and Bases of those requirements that must be retained in the new STS.

Each Nuclear Steam Supply System (NSSS) vendor Owners Group has submitted a report to the NRC for review that identifies which STS LCOs the group believes should be retained in the new STS and which can be relocated to other licensee-controlled documents. These four NSSS vendor submittals are as follows:

(1) Letter dated October 15, 1987, R. L. Gill, B&W Owners Group, to Dr. T. E. Murley, NRC, Subject: "B&W Owners Group Technical Specification Committee Application of Selection Criteria to the B&W Standard Technical Specifications."

- (2) Letter dated November 12, 1987, R. A. Newton, Westinghouse Owners Group, to NRC Document Control Desk, Subject: "Westinghouse Owners Group MERITS Program Phase II, Task 5, Criteria Application Topical Report."
- (3) Letter dated December 11, 1987, J. K. Gasper, Combustion Engineering Owners Group, to Dr. T. E. Murley, NRC Subject: "CEN-355, CE Owners Group Restructured Standard Technical Specifications - Volume 1 (Criteria Application)."
- (4) Letter dated November 12, 1987, R. F. Janecek, BWR Owners Group, to R. E. Starostecki, NRC, Subject: "BWR Owners Group Technical Specification screening Criteria Application and Risk Assessment."

These submittals provide the rationale for why each STS requirement (e.g. Limiting Condition for Operation) should be retained in the new STS or why it can be relocated to a licensee-controlled document. They also describe how each Owners Group used risk insights in determining the appropriate content of the new STS.

#### 2. STAFF REVIEW

1 1 11 1

The NRC staff focused its review on those requirements identified by the Owners Groups as candidates for relocation. The staff evaluated each of these requirements to determine whether it agreed with the Owners Groups' conclusions.

During the NRC Staff's review, several issues were raised concerning the proper interpretation or application of the criteria in the Commission's Interim Policy Statement. The NRC Staff has considered these issues and concluded the following:

 Criterion 1 should be interpreted to include <u>only</u> instrumentation used to detect actual leaks and <u>not</u> more broadly to include instrumentation used to detect precursors to an actual breech of the reactor coolant pressure boundary or instrumentation to identify the source of actual leakage (e.g., loose parts monitor, seismic instrumentation, valve position indicators).

- (2) The "initial conditions" captured under Criterion 2 should not be limited to only "process variables" assumed in safety analyses. They should also include certain <u>active</u> design features (e.g., high pressure/low pressure system valves and interlocks) and operating restrictions (e.g., pressuretemperature operating limit curves), needed to <u>preclude unanalyzed accidents</u>. In this context, "active design features" include only design features under the control of operations personnel (i.e., licensed operators and personnel who perform control\_functions at the direction of licensed operators). This position is consistent with the conclusions reached by the Staff during the trial application of the criteria to the Wolf Creek and Limerick Technical Specifications.
- (3) The "initial conditions" of design-basis accidents (DBA) and transients, as used in Criterion 2, should not be limited to only those directly "monitored and controlled" from the control room. Initial conditions should also include other features/characteristics that are specifically assumed in DBA and transient analyses even if they can not be directly observed in the control room. For example, initial conditions (e.g., moderator temperature coefficient and hot channel factors) that are periodically monitored by other than licensed operators (e.g., core engineers, instrumentation and control technicians) to provide licensed operators with the information required to take those actions necessary to assure that the plant is being operated within the bounds of design and analysis assumptions, meet Criterion 2 and should be retained in Technical Specifications. Initial conditions do not, however, include things that are purely design requirements.
- (4) The phrase "primary success path," used in Criterion 3, should be interpreted to include only the primary equipment (including redundant trains/components) to mitigate accidents and transients. Primary success path does not include backup and diverse equipment or instrumentation used to prevent analyzed

-3-

1

et it

accidents or transients or to improve reliability of the mitigation function (e.g., rod withdrawal block which is backup to the average power range monitor high flux trip in the startup mode, safety valves which are backup to low temperature over pressure relief valves during cold shutdown).

- (5) Post-Accident Monitoring Instrumentation that satisfies the definition of Type A variables in Regulatory Guide 1.97, "Instrumentation for Water-Cooled Nuclear Power Plants to Assess Plant and Environs Conditions During and Following an Accident," meets Criterion 3 and should be retained in Technical Specifications. Type A variables provide primary information (i.e., information that is essential for the direct accomplishment of the specified manual actions (including long-term recovery actions) for which no automatic control is provided and that are required for safety systems to accomplish their safety functions for DBAs or transients). Type A variables do not include those variables associated with contingency actions that may also be identified in written procedures to compensate for failures of primary equipment. Because only Type A variables meet Criterion 3, the STS should contain a narrative statement that indicates that individual plant Technica? Specifications should contain a list of Post-Accident Instrumentation that includes Type A variables. Other Post-Accident Instrumentation (i.e., non-Type A Category I) is discussed on page 6.
- (6) The NRC's design basis for licensing a plant is the plant's Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) as qualified by the analysis performed by the staff and documented in the staff's safety evaluation report (SER). Because the staff's review and resulting SER are based on the acceptance criteria in the NRC's Standard Review Plan (NUREG-0800, SRP), the dose limits used in licensing a particular plant may be "some small fraction" of those specified in the Commission's regulations in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations Part 100 (10 CFR 100). Accordingly, the SRP limits should be used to define the equipment in the primary success path for mitigating accidents and transients when developing the new STS. These types of conservatisms are required to compensate for uncertainties in analysis techniques and

1. 1. 1.

provide reasonable assurance that the absolute numerical limits of the regulations will be satisfied.

On a plant-specific basis, systems and equipment that are identified in the NRC staff SER and assumed by the staff to function are considered part of the licensing basis for the plant and are captured by Criterion 3 (e.g., radiation monitoring instrumentation that initiates an isolation function, penetration room exhaust air cleanup system).

(7) DBA and transients, as used in Criteria 2 and 3, should be interpreted to include any design-basis event described in the FSAR (i.e., not just those events described in Chapters 6 and 15 of the FSAR). For example, there may be requirements for some plants which should be retained in Technical Specifications because of the risks associated with some site-specific characteristic (e.g., although not normally required, a Technical Specification on the chlorine detection system might be appropriate where a significant chlorine hazard exists in the site vicinity; similarly, a Technical Specification on flood protection might be appropriate where a plant is particularly vulnerable to flooding and is designed with special flood protection features). Criteria 2 and 3 should not be interpreted to include purely generic design requirements applicable to all plants (e.g., the requirements of General Design Criterion 19 in Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 50 for control room design).

The NRC staff has used the Commission's Interim Policy Statement and the conclusions described above to define the appropriate content of the new STS. The staff plans to factor these conclusions into the Final Policy Statement on Technical Specification Improvements that will be proposed to the Commission.

The staff reviewed the methodology and results provided by each Owners Group to verify that none of the requirements proposed for relocation contains constraints of prime importance in limiting the likelihood or severity of accident sequences that are commonly found to dominate risk. For the purpose

e ' ,' '

of this application of the guidance in the Commission Policy Statement, the staff agrees with the Owners Groups' conclusions except in two areas. First, the staff finds that the Remote Shutdown Instrumentation meets the Policy Statement criteria for inclusion in Technical Specifications based on risk; and second, the staff is unable to confirm the Owners Groups' conclusion that Category 1 Post-Accident Monitoring Instrumentation is not of prime importance in limiting risk. Recent PRAs have shown the risk significance of operator recovery actions which would require a knowledge of Category 1 variables. Furthermore, recent severe accident studies have shown significant potential for risk reduction from accident management. The Owners Groups' should develop further risk-based justification in support of relocating any or all Category 1 variables from the Standard Technical Specifications.

As stated in the Commission's Interim Policy Statement, licensees should also use plant-specific PRAs or risk surveys as they prepare license amendments to adopt the revised STS to their plant. Where PRAs or surveys are available, licensees should use them to strengthen the Bases as well as to screen those Technical Specifications to be relocated. Where such plant-specific risk surveys are not available, licensees should use the literature available on risk insights and PRAS. Licensees need not complete a plant-specific PRA before they can adopt the new STS. The NRC staff will also use risk insights and PRAs in evaluating the plant-specific submittals.

#### 3. RESULTS OF THE STAFF'S REVIEW

1 × 3 \*

Appendices A through D present the detailed results of the staff's review of the Babcock and Wilcox, Westinghouse, Combustion Engineering, and General Electric application of the selection criteria to the existing STS. Each Appendix consists of two tables. Table 1 identifies those LCOs that must be retained in the new STS. Table 2 lists those LCOs that may be wholly or partially relocated to licensee-controlled documents (or be reformatted as a surveillance requirement for another LCO). Where the staff placed specific conditions on relocation of particular LCOs the staff has so noted in the Tables. As a part of the

-6-

plant specific implementation of the new STS, the staff plans to review the location of, and controls over, relocated requirements. In as much as practicable, the Owners Groups should propose standard locations for, and controls over, relocated requirements.

For each LCO listed in Table 1, the criterion (criteria) that required that the LCO be retained in Technical Specifications is identified. If an LCO was retained in Technical Specifications solely on the basis of risk, "Risk" appears in the criteria column. Where an Owners Group determined that an LCO had to stay in Technical Specifications (because of either a particular criterion or risk) and the Staff agreed that the LCO should be retained in Technical Specifications, the staff did not, in general, verify the Owners Group's basis for retention. However, in several instances the Owners Groups cited risk considerations alone as the basis for retaining Technical Specifications and the staff disagreed with the Owners Groups. In these instances, the staff's basis for retention appears in the criteria column of Table 1.

Any LCO not specifically identified in Table 1 or Table 2 (e.g., an LCO unique to an STS not addressed in the Owners Groups submittals such as the BWR5 STS) should be retained in the STS until the Owners Group proposes and the staff makes a specific determination that it can be relocated to a licensee-controlled document.

Notwithstanding the results of this review, the staff will give further consideration for relocation of additional LCOs as the staff and industry proceed with the development of the new STS.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

· · · ·

The results of the effort of the Owners Groups and of the NRC staff to apply the Policy Statement selection criteria to the existing STS are an important step toward ensuring that the new STS contain only those requirements that are consistent with 10 CFR 50.36 and have a sound safety basis. As shown in the

-7-

tollowing tables, application of the criteria contained in the Commission's Interim Policy Statement resulted in a significant reduction in the number of LCOs to be included in the new STS. The development of the new STS based on the staff's conclusions will result in more efficient use of NRC and industry resources. Safety improvements are expected through more operator-oriented Technical Specifications, improved Technical Specification Bases, a reduction in action statement-induced plant transients, and a reduction in testing at power.

	BABCOCK &		COMBUSTION	GENERAL
LCOS	WILCOX	WESTINGHOUSE	ENGINEERING	BWR4/BWR6
Total				
Number	137	165	159	124/144
Retained	75	92	87	81/86
Relocated	62	73	72	43/58
Percent				
Relocated	45%	44%	45%	35%/40%

We are confident that the staff's conclusions will provide an adequate basis for the Owners Groups to proceed with the development of complete new STS in accordance with the Commission's Interim Policy Statement.

-8-

1 · 1 ·

#### APPENDIX A

· · · ·

RESULTS OF THE NRC STAFF REVIEW BABCOCK & WILCOX OWNERS GROUP'S SUBMITTAL RETENTION AND RELOCATION OF SPECIFIC TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

#### APPENDIX A

· ' .' '

## TABLE 1

## LCOS TO BE RETAINED IN BABCOCK & WILCOX STANDARD TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

CRITERIA

100	방법에서 전화가 다 전 지금 집에서 방법이 운영하지 않는 것이다.	
3.1	REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEM	
3.1.1.1 3.1.1.2 3.1.3.1 3.1.3.1 3.1.3.2 3.1.3.6 3.1.3.7 3.1.3.9	Shutdown Margin (Note 1) Moderator Temperature Coefficient Minimum Temperature for Criticality Group Height - Safety and Regulating Rod Groups Group Height - Axial Power Shaping Rod Group Safety Rod Insertion Limit Regulating Rod Insertion Limits Xenon Reactivity	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
3.2	POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS	
3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5	Axial Power Imbalance Nuclear Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor Nuclear Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel Factor Quadrant Power Tilt DNB Parameters	2 2 2 2 2 2 2
3.3	INSTRUMENTATION	
3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3.1 3.3.3.5 3.3.3.6	Reactor Protection System Instrumentation (Note 2) Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System Instrumentation (Note 2) Radiation Monitoring Instrumentation (Notes 2 & 3) Remote Shutdown Instrumentation (Notes 2 & 4) Accident Monitoring Instrumentation	3 3 Risk 3
3.4	REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM	
3.4.1.1 3.4.1.2 3.4.1.3 3.4.1.4 3.4.3 3.4.4 3.4.5 .4.5 .4.6 .4.7.1	Startup and Power Operation Hot Standby Hot Shutdown Cold Shutdown Safety Valve - Operating Pressurizer Relief Valve Steam Generators - Water Level Leakage Detection System	3 3 3 tatement (DHR 3 2 & 3 3 2 1

1 1 A

LCO		CRITERIA
3.4.7.2 3.4.9 3.4.10.1 3.4.10.3	Operational Leakage Specific Activity Reactor Coolant System Pressure/Temperature Limits Overpressure Protection System	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
3.5	EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEM (ECCS)	
3.5.1 3.5.2	Core Flooding Tanks ECCS Subsystems - Tavg ≥ (305)°F	2 8 3 3
3.5.3	ECCS Subsystems - Tavg ≤(305)°F	3
3.5.4	Borated Water Storage Tank	2 & 3
3.6	CONTAL IMENT SYSTEMS	
3.6.1.1 3.6.1.3 3.6.1.5 3.6.1.6 3.6.1.8 3.6.2.1 3.6.2.2 3.6.2.3 3.6.2.3 3.6.2.3 3.6.4 3.6.5.1 3.6.5.2 3.6.5.5.2 3.6.5.5.5.5 3.5.5.5.5.5 3.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5	Containment Integrity Containment Air Locks Internal Pressure Air Temperature Containment Ventilation System Containment Spray System Spray Additive System Containment Cooling System Iodine Cleanup System Containment Isolation Valves Hydrogen Analyzers Electric Hydrogen Recombiners (Note 5) Penetration Room Exhaust Air Cleanup System	3 7 2 2 3 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
3.7	PLANT SYSTEMS	
3.7.1.1 3.7.1.2 3.7.1.3 3.7.1.4 3.7.1.5 3.7.3 3.7.4 3.7.5 3.7.6 3.7.6 3.7.7 3.7.8	Safety Valves Auxiliary Feedwater System Condensate Storage Tank Activity Main Steam Line Isolation Valves Component Cooling Water System Service Water System Ultimate Heat Sink Flood Protection (optional) Control Room Emergency Air Cleanup System ECCS Pump Room Exhaust Air Cleanup System (optional)	3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8

#### CRITERIA LCO ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS 3.8 3 A.C. Sources - Operating 3.8.1.1 Policy Statement (DHR) A.C. Sources - Shutdown 3.8.1.2 3 A.C. Distribution - Operating 3.8.2.1 Policy Statement (DHR) A.C. Distribution - Shutdown 3.8.2.2 D.C. Distribution - Operating 3 3.8.2.3 Policy Statement (DHR) D.C. Distribution - Shutdown 3.8.2.4 REFUELING OPERATIONS 3.9 2 Boron Concentration 3.9.1 3 Instrumentation 3.9.2 2 Decay Time 3.9.3 3 Containment Building Penetration 3.9.4 Residual Heat Removal and Coolant Circulation -3.9.8.1 Policy Statement (DHR) All Water Levels Residual Heat Removal and Coolant Circulation -3.9.8.2 Policy Statement (DHR) Low Water Levels Containment Purge and Exhaust Isolation System 3 3.9.9 2 Water Level - Reactor Vessel 3.9.10 2 Water Level - Storage Pool 3.9.11 2 Storage Pool Air Cleanup System 3.9.12

#### Notes:

1. 2

18 ×

- 1. Required for Modes 3 through 5. May be relocated for Modes 1 and 2.
- The LCO for this system should be retained in STS. The Policy Statement criteria should not be used as the basis for relocating specific trip functions, channels, or instruments within these LCOs.
- 3. The staff is pursuing alternative approaches which would allow relocation of some of these LCOs on a schedule consistent with the schedule for development of the new STS. The staff is also initiating rulemaking to delete the requirement that RETS be included in Technical Specifications.
- 4. Because fires (either inside or outside the control room) can be a significant contributor to the core melt frequency and because the uncertainties with fire initiation frequency can be significant, the staff believes that this LCO should be retrained in the STS at this time. The staff will consider relocation of Remote Shutdown Instrumentation on a plant-specific basis.
- This LCO will be considered for relocation to a licensee-controlled document on a plant-specific basis.

#### TABLE 2 (Note 1)

· · · · ·

## BABCOCK & WILCOX STANDARD TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION

#### LCOS WHICH MAY BE RELOCATED

LCO REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS 3.1 Flow Paths - Shutdown 3.1.2.1 Flow Paths - Operating 3.1.2.2 Makeup Pump - Shutdown 3.1.2.3 Makeup Pump - Operating 3.1.2.4 Decay Heat Removal Pump - Shutdown 3.1.2.5 Boric Acid Pumps - Shutdown 3.1.2.6 Boric Acid Pumps - Operating 3.1.2.7 Borated Water Source - Shutdown 3.1.2.8 Borated Water Source - Operating 3.1.2.9 Position Indication Channels - Operating (Note 2) 3.1.3.3 Position Indication Channels - Shutdown (Note 2) 3.1.3.4 Rod Drop Time (Note 2) 3.1.3.5 Rod Program 3.1.3.8 INSTRUMENTATION 3.3 Incore Detectors 3.3.3.2 Seismic Instrumentation 3.3.3.3 Meteorological Instrumentation 3.3.3.4 Chlorine Detection System 3.3.3.7 Fire Detection 3.3.3.8 Radioactive Liquid Effluent Monitor (Note 3) 3.3.3.9 Radioactive Gaseous Effluent Monitor (Note 3) 3.3.3.10 Turbine Overspeed Protection 3.3.4 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM 3.4 Safety Valves - Shutdown 3.4.2 Steam Generators Tube Surveillance (Note 4) 3.4.6 Chemistry 3.4.8 Pressurizer Temperatures 3.4.10.2 Structural Integrity ASME Code (Note 4) 3.4.11 3.4.12 **RCS Vents** CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS 3.6 Containment Leakage (Note 5) 3.6.1.2 Containment Structural Integrity (Note 2) 3.6.1.7 PLANT SYSTEMS 3.7 Steam Generator Pressure/Temperature Limits 3.7.2 Snubbers 3.7.9 Sealed Source Contamination 3.7.10

n 1 11 1

LCO	
3.7.11.1	Fire Suppression Water System
3.7.11.2	Spray and/or Sprinkler Systems
3.7.11.3	CO <sub>2</sub> System
3.7.11.4	Hafon System
3.7.11.5	Fire Hose Stations
3.7.11.6	Yard Fire Hydrants and Hydrant Hose Houses
3.7.12	Fire Barrier Penetrations
3.7.13	Area Temperature Monitoring
3.9	REFUELING OPERATIONS
3.9.5	Communications
3.9.6	Fuel Handling Bridge
3.9.7	Crane Travel - Spent Fuel Storage Pool Building
3.10	SPECIAL TEST EXCEPTIONS
3.10.1 3.10.2	Shutdown Margin (Note 6) Group Height Insertion Limits and Power Distribution Limits (Note 6)
3.10.3	Physics Tests (Note 6)
3.10.4	Reactor Coolant Loops (Note 6)
3.11	RADICACTIVE EFFLUENTS (Note 3)
3.11.1.1	Concentration
3.11.1.2	Dose
3.11.1.3	Liquid Radwaste Treatment System
3.11.1.4	Liquid Holdup Tanks
3.11.2.1	Dose
3.11.2.1	Dose - Noble Gases
3.11.2.2	Dose - Iodine - 131, Tritium and Radionuclides in Particulate
3.11.2.3	Form
3.11.2.4	Gaseous Radwaste Treatment Systems
3.11.2.5	Explosive Gas Mixture
3.11.2.6	Gas Storage Tanks
3.11.3	Solid Radioactive Waste
3.11.4	Total Dose
3.12	RADIOACTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING (Note 3)
3.12.1	Monitoring Program
3.12.2	Land Use Census
3.12.3	Interlaboratory Comparison Program

1

#### Notes:

· · · · ·

- Specifications listed in this table may be relocated contingent upon NRC staff approval of the location of and controls over relocated requirements.
- This LCO may be removed from the STS. However, if the associated Surveillance Requirement(s) is necessary to meet the OPERABILITY requirements for a retained LCO, the Surveillance Requirement(s) should be relocated to the retained LCO.
- 3. The staff is pursuing alternative approaches which would allow relocation of some of these LCUs on a schedule consistent with the schedule for development of the new STS. The staff is also initiating rulemaking to delete the requirement that RETS be included in Technical Specifications.
- 4. This LCO may be relocated out of Technical Specifications. However, the associated Surveillance Requirement(s) must be relocated to Technical Specification Section 4.0, Surveillance Requirements.
- 5. This LCO may be relocated. However, Pa, La, Ld, and Lt must be either retained in TS or in the Bases of the appropriate Containment LCO.
- 6. Special Test Exceptions may be included with corresponding LCOs.

#### APPENDIX B

e \* 11

RESULTS OF THE NRC STAFF REVIEW WESTINGHOUSE OWNERS GROUP'S SUBMITTAL RETENTION AND RELOCATION OF SPECIFIC TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

#### APPENDIX B

· ' · · '

## TABLE 1

### LCOS TO BE RETAINED IN WESTINGHOUSE STANDARD TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

#### CRITERIA

LCC		CRITERIA
3.1	REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS	
3.1.1.1 3.1.1.2 3.1.1.3 3.1.1.4 3.1.3.1 3.1.3.5 3.1.3.6	Shutdown Margin - Tave ≥ 200 deg. F (Note 1) Shutdown Margin - Tave ≥ 200 deg. F (Note 1) Moderator Temperature Coefficient Ninimum Temperature for Criticality Moveable Control Assemblies - Group Height Shutdown Rod Insertion Limit Control Rod Insertion Limits	2 2 2 2 2 3 2 2
3.2	POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS	
3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3	Axial Flux Difference Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor RCS Flow Rate and Nuclear Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel	2 2 2 2
3.2.4 3.2.5	Factor Quadrant Power Tilt Ratio DNB Parameters	2 2
3.3.	INSTRUMENTATION	
3.3.1 3.3.2	Reactor Trip System Instrumentation (Note 2) Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System Instrumentation (Note 2)	3 3
3.3.3.1 3.3.3.5 3.3.3.6	Radiation Monitoring Instrumentation (Notes 2 & 3) Remote Shutdown Instrumentation (Notes 2 & 4) Accident Monitoring Instrumentation	1 & 3 Risk 3
3.4	REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM	
3.4.1.1 3.4.1.2 3.4.1.3 3.4.1.4.1 3.4.1.4.2 3.4.1.5 3.4.1.6 3.4.2.2 3.4.3 3.4.4 3.4.6.1 3.4.6.1 3.4.6.2 3.4.8 3.4.9.1 3.4.9.3	RCS Startup and Power Operation RCS Hot Standby RCS Hot Shutdown RCS Cold Shutdown - Loops Filled RCS Cold Shutdown - Loops Not Filled RCS Isolated Loop (Optional) RCS Isolated Loop Startup (Optional) RCS Safety valves - Operation Pressurizer Relief Valves Leakage Detection System Operational Leakage Specific Activity Pressure/Temperature Limits - RCS Overpressure Protection Systems	3353322222 * *

a \* 11 \*

LCO		CRITERIA
3.5	EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS	
3.5.1.1 3.5.1.2 3.5.2 3.5.3 3.5.4.1 3.5.5	Cold Leg Injection Accumulators Upper Head Injection Accumulators (STS REV-5) ECCS Subsystems, Tavg _ 350 deg F ECCS Subsystems, Tavg _ 350 deg F Boron Injection Tank Refueling Water Storage Tank	2 & 3 2 & 3 3 2 & 3 2 & 3 2 & 3
3.6	CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS	
3.6.1.1 3.6.1.3 3.6.1.4	Containment Integrity Containment Air Locks Containment Isolation Valve and Channel Weld Pressurization System (Optional)	3 3 3
3.6.1.5 3.6.1.6 3.6.1.8 3.6.1.9 3.6.2.1 3.6.2.1 3.6.2.2	Internal Pressure Air Temperature Containment Ventilation System Shield Building Air Cleanup System (Ice Condenser) Containment Quench Spray System (Sub-ATM Containment Containment Spray System Containment Recirculation Spray System (Sub-ATM	3
3.6.2.2 3.6.2.3 3.6.3 3.6.4 3.6.5.1 3.6.5.2 3.6.5.3	Containment) Spray Additive System (Optional) Containment Cooling System (Optional) Iodine Cleanup System (Optional) Containment Isolation Valves (minus response time) Hydrogen Monitors Electric Hydrogen Recombiners (Note 5) Hydrogen Control Distributed Ignition System (STS	23333333 33333333333333333333333333333
3.6.5.4 3.6.6 3.6.7 3.6.7.1 3.6.7.3 3.6.7.5	REV-5, Ice Condenser) Hydrogen Mixing System (Optional) Penetration Room Exhaust Air Cleanup System (Optiona Vacuum Relief Valves Ice Bed (Ice Condenser) Ice Condenser Doors (Ice Condenser) Divider Barrier Personnel Access Doors and Equipment	2 & 3 2 & 3
3.6.7.6 3.6.7.7 3.6.7.8 3.6.7.9 3.6.8.1 3.6.8.2	Hatches (Ice Condenser) Containment Air Recirculation Systems (Ice Condenser Floor Drains (Ice Condenser) Refueling Canal Drains (Ice Condenser) Divider Barrier Seal (Ice Condenser) Shield Building Air Cleanup System (Dual) Shield Building Integrity (Dual)	

CRITERIA

LCO		
3.7	PLANT SYSTEMS	
3.7.1.1 3.7.1.2 3.7.1.3 3.7.1.4 3.7.1.5 3.7.3 3.7.4 3.7.5 3.7.5 3.7.7 3.7.8	Turbine Cycle Safety Valves3 2 & 3 2 & 3Auxiliary Feedwater System2 & 3 2 & 3Condensate Storage Tank2 & 3 2 & 3Activity2 2 & 3 2 & 3Main Steam Line Isolation Valves Component Cooling Water System3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3Ultimate Heat Sink (Optional) Control Room Emergency Air Cleanup System3 3 3 3 3	
3.8	ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS	
3.8.1.1 3.8.1.2 3.8.2.1 3.8.2.2 3.8.3.1 3.8.3.2	A.C. Sources - Operating A.C. Sources - Shutdown D.C. Sources - Operating D.C. Sources - Shutdown Onsite Power Distribution - Operating Onsite Power Distribution - Shutdown 3	
3.9	REFUELING OPERATIONS	
3.9.1 3.9.2 3.9.3 3.9.4 3.9.8.1 3.9.8.2	Boron Concentration 2 Instrumentation 3 Decay Time 2 Containment Building Penetrations 3 Residual Heat Removal and Coolant Circulation - High 9 Water Level 9 Residual Heat Removal and Coolant Circulation - Low 9 Water Level 9 Water Level 9 Water Level 9 Policy Statement (RHR) 9 Water Level 9 Policy Statement (RHR) 9	
3.9.9 3.9.10 3.9.11 3.9.12	Containment Purge and Exhaust Isolation System3Water Level - Reactor Vessel2Water Level - Storage Pool2Storage Pool Air Cleanup System3	
No. and a		

#### Notes:

11 11

100

1. Required for Modes 3 through 5. May be relocated for Modes 1 and 2.

- The LCO for this system should be retained in STS. The Policy Statement criteria should not be used as the basis for relocating specific trip functions, channels, or instruments within these LCOs.
- 3. The staff is pursuing alternative approaches which would allow relocation of some of these LCOs on a schedule consistent with the schedule for development of the new STS. The staff is also initiating rulemaking to delete the requirement that RETS be included in Technical Specifications.

#### Notes:

12 S 41 S

- 4. Because fires (either inside or outside the control room) can be a significant contributor to the core melt frequency and because the uncertainties with fire initiation frequency can be significant, the staff believes that this LCO should be retained in the STS at this time. The staff will consider relocation of Remote Shutdown Instrumentation on a plant-specific basis.
- This LCO will be considered for relocation to a licensee-controlled document on a plant-specific basis.

. 1

#### TABLE z (Note 1)

· · · · · ·

## WESTINGHOUSE STANDARD TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

LCO REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS 3.1 Flow Paths - Shutdown 3.1.2.1 Flow Paths - Operating 3.1.2.2 Charging Pumps - Shutdown 3.1.2.3 Charging pumps - Operating 3.1.2.4 Borated Water Sources - Shutdown 3.1.2.5 Borated Water Sources - Operating 3.1.2.6 Position Indication System - Operating (Note 2) 3.1.3.2 Position Indication System - Shutdown (Note 2) 3.1.3.3 Rod Drop Time (Note 2) 3.1.3.4 INSTRUMENTATION 3.3 Movable Incore Detectors 3.3.3.2 Seismic Instrumentation 3.3.3.3 Meteorological Instrumentation 3.3.3.4 Chlorine Detection Systems 3.3.3.7 Fire Detection Instrumentation 3.3.3.8 Loose-Part Detection Instrumentation 3.3.3.9 Radioactive Liquid Effluent Monitoring Instrumentation (Note 3) 3.3.3.10 Radioactive Gaseous Effluent Monitoring Instrumentation 3.3.3.11 (STS REV - 5) (Note 3) Turbine Overspeed Protection 3.3.4 3.4 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM RCS Safety Valves - Shutdown 3.4.2.1 Steam Generators (Note 4) 3.4.5 3.4.7 Chemistry Pressure/Temperature Limits - Pressurizer 3.4.9.2 RCS Structural Intgerity (Note 4) 3.4.10 Reactor Coolant System Vents (STS REV-5) 3.4.11 EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS 3.5 3.5.4.2 Heat Tracing

	R-TABLE E (Contrinced)
LCO	
3.6	CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS
3.6.1.2 3.6.1.7 3.6.1.8 3.6.4 3.6.5.1 3.6.5.2 3.6.5.3 3.6.7.2 3.6.7.4 3.6.8.3	Containment Leakage (Note 5) Containment Structural Integrity (Note 2) Shield Building Structural Integrity (Ice Condenser) (Note 2) Containment Isolation Vaïves (response times) (Note 2) Steam Jet Air Ejector (Sub-ATM Containment) Mechanical Vacuum Pumps (SUB-ATM. Containment) Hydroden Purge Cleanup System Ice Bed Temperature Monitoring System (Ice Condenser) Inlet Door Position Monitoring System (Ice Condenser) Shield Building Structural Integrity (Dual)
3.7	PLANT SYSTEMS
3.7.2 3.7.6 3.7.9 3.7.10 3.7.11.1 3.7.11.2 3.7.11.3 3.7.11.3 3.7.11.4 3.7.11.5 3.7.11.6 3.7.12 3.7.13	Steam Generator Pressure/Temperature Limitation Flood Protection (Optional) Snubbers Sealed Source Contamination Fire Suppression Water System Spray and/or Sprinkler Systems CO2 Systems Halon Systems Fire Hose Stations Yard Fire Hydrants and Hydrant Hose Houses Fire Rated Assemblies Area Temperature Monitoring
3.8	ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS
3.8.4.1 3.8.4.2 3.8.4.3	A.C. Circuits Inside Primary Containment (STS REV-5) Containment Penetration Conductor Overcurrent Protective Davices Motor-Operated Valves Thermal Overload Protection and Bypass Devices
3.9	REFUELING OPERATIONS
3.9.5 3.9.6 3.9.7	Communications Manipulator Crane Crane Travel - Spent Fuel Storage Pool
3.10	SPECIAL TEST EXCEPTIONS (Note 6)

B-6

LCO	에는 지난 것이 없다. 여기 집에 많은 것이 같이 같이 많이
3.11	RADIOACTIVE EFFLUENTS (Note 3)
3.11.1.1 3.11.1.2 3.11.1.3 3.11.1.4 3.11.2.1 3.11.2.2 3.11.2.3 3.11.2.3 3.11.2.4 3.11.2.5 3.11.2.6 3.11.2.6 3.11.3 3.11.4	Liquid Effluents Concentration (STS REV-5) Dose (STS REV-5) Liquid Radwaste Treatment System (STS REV-5) Liquid Holdup Tanks (STS REV-5) Dose Rate (STS REV-5) Dose - Noble Gases (STS REV-5) Dose I-131, I-133, Tritium and Radioactive Material In Particulate Form Gaseous Radwaste Treatment (STS REV-5) Explosive Gas Mixture (STS REV-5) Gas Storage Tanks Solid Radioactive Waste (STS REV-5) Total Dose (STS, REV-5)
3.12	RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING (Note 3)
3.12.1 3.12.2 3.12.3	Monitoring Program (STS REV-5) Land Use Census (STS REV-5) Interlaboratory Comparison Program (STS REV-5)

#### Notes:

- LCOs listed in this table may be relocated contingent upon NRC staff approval of the location of and controls over relocated requirements.
- This LCO may be removed from the STS. However, if the associated Surveillance Requirement(s) is necessary to meet the OPERABILITY requirements for a retained LCO, the Surveillance Requirement(s) should be relocated to the retained LCO.
- 3. The staff is pursuing alternative approaches which would allow relocation of some of these LCOs on a schedule consistent with the schedule for development of the new STS. The staff is also initiating rulemaking to delete the requirement that RETS be included in Technical Specifications.
- 4. This LCO may be relocated out of Technical Specifications. However, the associated Surveillance Requirement(s) must be relocated to Technical Specification Section 4.0, Surveillance Requirements.
- 5. This LCO may be relocated. However, Pa, La, Ld and Lt must be either retained in TS or in the Bases of the appropriate containment LCO.
- Special Test exceptions 3.10.1 through 3.10.4 may be included with corresponding LCOs which are remaining in Technical Specifications. Special Test Exception 3.10.5 may be relocated outside of Technical Specifications along with LCO 3.1.3.3.

## APPENDIX C

RESULTS OF THE NRC STAFF REVIEW COMBUSTION ENGINEERING OWNERS GROUP'S SUBMITTAL RETENTION AND RELOCATION OF SPECIFIC TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

#### APPENDIX C

· · · ·

## TABLE 1

## LCOS TO BE RETAINED IN COMBUSTION ENGINEERING STANDARD TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

LCO		CRITERIA
3.1	REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS	
3.1.1.1 3.1.1.2 3.1.1.3 3.1.1.4 3.1.3.1 3.1.3.5 3.1.3.6 3.1.3.7	Shutdown MarginTcold. ≥ 210F (Note 1) Shutdown Margin - Tcold. ≥ 210F (Note 1) Moderator Temperature Coefficient Minimum Temperature for Criticality CEA Position : Shutdown CEA Insertion Limit Regulating CEA Insertion Limits Part Length CEA Insertion Limits	2 2 2 2 2 2 8 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
3.2	POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS	
3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.2.6 3.2.7 3.2.8	Linear Heat Rate Planar Radial Peaking FactorsFxy Azimuthal Power Tilt Tq DNBR Margin RCS Flow Rate Reactor Coolant Cold Leg Temperature Axial Shape Index Pressurizer Pressure	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
3.3	INSTRUMENTATION	
3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3.1 3.3.3.5 3.3.3.6	Reactor Protective Instrumentation (Note 2) ESFAS Instrumentation (Note 2) Radiation Monitoring Instrumentation (Notes 2 & 3) Remote Shutdown System (Notes 2 & 4) Post-Accident Monitoring Instrumentation	3 3 Risk 3
3.4	REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM	
3.4.1.1 3.4.1.2 3.4.1.3 3.4.1.4.1 3.4.1.4.2	Startup and Power Operation Hot Standby Hot Shutdown Cold Shutdown - Loops filled Cold Shutdown - Loops not filled	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

## CE-TABLE 1 (Continued)

C

ľ

4

00

11 m

0

1

LCO	<u>C</u> F	RITERIA
3.4.2.2 3.4.3.1 3.4.4 3.4.6.1 3.4.6.2 3.4.8 3.4.9.1 3.4.9.3	Safety Valves - Operating Pressurizer Relief Valve (PORV Only) Leakage Detection Systems Operational Leakage Specific Activity Reactor Coolant System Overpressure Protection Systems-LTOP	3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
3.5	EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS (ECCS)	
3.5.1 3.5.2 3.5.3 3.5.4	Safety Injection Tanks ECCS Subsystems Tcold. ➤ 350F ECCS Subsystems Tcold. 圣 350F Refueling Water Tank	5333
3.6	CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS-	
3.6.1.1 3.6.1.3 3.6.1.5 3.6.1.6 3.6.1.8 3.6.2.1 3.6.2.2 3.6.2.3 3.6.2.3 3.6.3 3.6.4 3.6.5.1 3.6.5.1 3.6.5.2 3.6.5.4 3.6.5.4 3.6.7 3.6.8.1	Containment Integrity Containment Air Locks Internal Pressure Air Temperature Containment Ventilation System (Optional) Containment Spray System Spray Additive System (Optional) Containment Cooling System (Optional) Icdine Cleanup System (Optional) Containment Isolation Valves Hydrogen Monitors (Note 5) Electric Hydrogen Combiners (Note 5) Hydrogen Mixing System Penetration Room Exhaust Air Cleanup System (Optional) Vacuum Relief Valves (Optional) Shield Building Air Cleanup System (Optional)	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
3.7	PLANT SYSTEMS	
3.7.1.1 3.7.1.2 3.7.1.3 3.7.1.4 3.7.1.5	Safety Valves Auxiliary Feedwater System Condensate Storage Tank Activity Main Steam Isolation Valves	00 00 00 00 00

C-2

CE-TABLE 1 (Continued)

LCO		CRITERIA
3.7.3 3.7.4 3.7.5 3.7.7 3.7.9	Component Cooling Water System Service Water System Ultimate Heat Sink Essential Chilled Water System ECCS Pump Room Air Exhaust Cleanup System (Optional)	33333
3.8	ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS	
3.8.1.1 3.8.1.2 3.8.2.1 3.8.2.2 3.8.3.1 3.8.3.2	A.C. Sources - Operating A.C. Sources - Shutdown D.C. Sources - Operating D.C. Sources - Shutdown Onsite Power Distribution Sources - Operating Onsite Power Distribution Sources - Shutdown	333333
3.9	REFUELING OPERATIONS	
3.9.1 3.9.2 3.9.3 3.9.4 3.9.8.1	Boron Concentration Instrumentation Decay Time Containment Building Penetrations Shutdown Cooling and Coolant Circulation - High Water Level	2323
3.9.8.2	Shutdown Cooling and Coolant Circulation -	23
3.9.9 3.9.10 3.9.11 3.9.12	Containment Purge Valve Isolation System Water Lovel-Reactor Vessel Water Level-Storage Pool Fuel Building Air Cleanup System	36464 (3)

Notes:

22 1 41

1. Required for Modes 3 through 5. May be relocated for Modes 1 and 2.

- LCOs for this system shall be retained in STS. The Policy Statement Criteria should not be used to relocate specific trip functions, channels, or instruments within these LCOs.
- 3. The staff is pursuing alternative approaches which would allow relocation of some of these LCOs on a schedule consistent with the schedule for development of the new STS. The staff is also initiating rulemaking to delete the requirement that RETS be included in Technical Specifications.
- 4. Because fires (either inside or outside the control room) can be a significant contributor to the core melt frequency and because the uncertainties with fire initiation frequency can be significant, the staff believes that this LCO should be retained in the STS at this time. The staff will consider relocation of Remote Shutdown Instrumentation on a plant-specific basis.
- This LCO will be considered for relocation to a licensee-controlled document on a plant-specific basis.

## TABLE 2 (Note 1)

\*\* \*\*\*

# COMBUSTION ENGINEERING STANDARD TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION

REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS
Flow Paths Shutdown Flow Paths-Operating Charging Pumps Shutdown Charging Pumps-Operating Boric Acid Makeup Pumps Shutdown Boric Acid Makeup Pumps-Operating Borated Water Source - Shutdown Borated Water Sources - Operating Position Indicator Channels-Operating (Note 2) Position Indicator Channels-Shutdown (Note 2) CEA Drop Time (Note 2)
INSTRUMENTATION
Incore Detectors Seismic Instrumentation Meteorological Instrumentation Fire Detection Instrumentation Chlorine Detection Systems Loose Part Detection Instrumentation Radioactive Liquid Effluent Monitor (Note 3) Radioactive Gaseous Effuent Monitor (Note 3) Turbine Overspeed Protection
REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM
Safety Valves-Shutdown Relief Valves (Non PORV) Steam Generators (Note 4) Chemistry Pressurizer Heatup/Cooldown Limits Structural Integrity (Note 4) Reactor Coolant System Vents
CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS
Containment Leakage (Note 5) Containment Isolation Valve and Channel Weld Pressure System Containment Vessel Structural Integrity (Note 2) Hydrogen Purge Cleanup System Shield Building Integrity Shield Building Structural Integrity (Note 2)

## CE-TABLE 2 (Continued)

\*\* \*\*\*

PLANT SYSTEMS
Steam Generator Pressure/Temperature Limitation Flood Protection Control Room Emergency Air Cleanup System Snubbers Sealed Source Contamination Fire Suppression Systems Fire Suppression Water System Spray and/or Sprinkler Systems CO2 Systems Halon Systems Fire Hose Stations Yard Fire Hydrants and Hose Houses Fire-Rated Assemblies
ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS
Containment Penetration Conductor Overcurrent Protection Device Motor-Operated Valves-Thermal Overload Protection
REFUELING OPERATIONS
Communication Manipulator Crane (Refueling Machine) Crane Travel - Spent Fuel Pool Building
SPECIAL TEST EXCEPTIONS
Shutdown Margin (Note 6) Group Height, Insertion, and Power Dist. (Note 6) Reactor Coolant Loops (Note 6) CEA Position, Reg CEA Ins, and Cold Leg Temp. (Note 6)
RADIOACTIVE EFFLUENTS (Note 3)
Liquid Waste Discharge to Evap. Ponds - Concentration Liquid Waste Discharge to Evap. Ponds Dose Liquid Holdup Tanks Gaseous Effluents - Dose Rate Gaseous Effluents - Dose-Noble Gases Gaseous Effluents - DoseI-131, 133, Tritium & Radionuclides Gaseous Radwaste Treatment Explosive Gas Mixture Gas Storage Tanks Solid Radioactive Waste Total Dose

#### CE-TABLE 2 (Continued)

#### LCO

3.12 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING (Note 3)

3.12.1	Monitoring	Program

- 3.12.2 Land Use Census
- 3.12.3 Interlaboratory Comparison Program

#### Notes:

- Specifications listed in this table may be relocated contingent upon NRC staff approval of the location of and controls over relocated requirements.
- This LCO may be removed from the STS. However, if the associated Surveillance Requirement(s) is necessary to meet the OPERABILITY requirements for a retained LCO, the Surveillance Requirement(s) should be relocated to the retained LCO.
- 3. The staff is pursuing alternative approaches which would allow relocation of some of these LCOs on a schedule consistent with the schedule for development of the new STS. The staff is also initiating rulemaking to delete the requirement that RETS be included in Technical Specifications.
- 4. This LCO may be relocated out of Technical Specifications. However, the associated Surveillance Requirement(s) must be relocated to Technical Specification Section 4.0, Surveillance Requirements.
- 5. This LCO may be relocated. However, Pa, La, Ld, and Lt must be either retained in TS or in the Bases of the appropriate containment LCO.
- 6. Special Test Exceptions may be included with the corresponding LCOs.

#### APPENDIX D

\*\* \* \*\*

RESULTS OF THE NRC STAFF REVIEW BWR OWNERS GROUP'S SUBMITTAL

RETENTION AND RELOCATION OF SPECIFIC TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

-

1 1

#### APPENDIX D

#### TABLE 1

#### LCOS TO BE RETAINED IN GENERAL ELECTRIC STANDARD TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

LCO	REPORT		PLANT* CR	ITERIA
3.1		REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS		
3.1.1	1	Shutdown Margin	H,GG	2
3.1.3	3 5 6 7	Control Rods Control Rods Operability Maximum Scram Times (BWR/6) Average Scram Times Fastest 3-out-of-4 Scram Times	H,GG GG H H	3 3 3 3
	8 9 10	Scram Accumulators Control Rod Drive Coupling Control Rod Position	H,GG H,GG H,GG	3 3 3
	11	Indication Control Rod Drive Housing Support	H,GG	3
3.1.4	12 13 14	Control Rod Program Controls Rod Worth Minimizer (BWR/2-5) Control Rod Withdrawal (BWR/6) Rod Pattern Control System	H GG GG	3 2 3
	15 16	(BWR/6) Rod Sequence Control Systems Rod Block Monitor	H H	3
3.1.5 3.1.6	17 18	Standby Liquid Control System Scram Discharge Volume Vent and Drain Valves	H,GG Policy H	Statement(SBLC) 3
3.2		POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS		
3.2.1	19	Average Planar Linear Heat	H,GG	2
3.2.3	21	Generation (APLHGR) Minimum Critical Power Ratio	H,GG	2
3.2.4	22	(MCPR) Linear Heat Generation Rate (LHGR)	H,GG	2

\*H-Hatch Unit 2 GG-Grand Gult

. . . .

as to get

LCO	REPORT		PLANT	CRITERIA
3.3		INSTRUMENTATION		
3.3.1		Reactor Protection System Instru	mentation	(Note 1)
	23	Average Power Range Monitors (APRM)	H,GG	3
	24	Intermediate Range Monitors (IRM)	H,GG	3
	25	Vessel Pressure - High	H,GG	3
	26	Reactor Vessel Water Level - Low (Level 3)	H,GG	
	27	Reactor Vessel Water Level, - High (Level 8)	GG	3
	28	MSIV Elosure	H,GG	3
	29	MSL Radiation - High (RPS Inst:)	H,GG	
	30	Drywell Pressure - High	H,GG	3 3 3 3 3 3 3
	31	SDV Water Level - High	H,GG	3
	32	TSV Closure	H,GG	3
	33	TCV Closure	H,GG	3
	34	Mode Switch	H,GG	3
	35	Manual Scram	H,GG	3
3.3.2		Isolation Actuation Instrumentation (Note 1)		
		Primary Containment Isolation		
	36	Reactor Vessel Water Level - Low (Level 3)	н	3
	37	Reactor Vessel Water	H.GG	3
	57	Level - Low (Level 2)		
	38	Reactor Vessel Water Level - Low (Level 1)	H,GG	3
	39	Drywell Pressure - High	H,GG	33
	40	Containment and Drywell Ventilation Exhaust Radiation - High High	GG	3
		Main Steam Line Isolation		
	41	Manual Initiation (Primary Containment)	GG	3
	42	Reactor Vessel Water Level - Low (Level 1)	GG	3
	43	Main Steam Line Radiation - High (MSLI)	H,GG	3
	44	Main Steam Line Pressure -	H,GG	3
	45	Main Steam Line Flow - High	H,GG	1 & 3

\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*

LCO

REPORT		PLANT	CRITERIA
46	Condenser Vacuum - Low	H,GG	3 1 & 3
47	Main Steam Line Tunnel	H,GG	1 & 3
48	Temperature - High Main Steam Line Tunnel Differential Temperature - High	GG	1 & 3
49 50	Manual Initiation (MSLI) Turbine Building Area Temperature - High	GG H	3 1 & 3
	Secondary Containment Isolation		
51	Reactor Building Exhaust Radiation - High	н	3
52	Reactor Vessel Water Level - Low (Level 2)	H,GG	3
53	Drywell Pressure - High	H,GG	3
54	Refueling Floor Exhaust Radiation - High	н	
55	Fuel Handling Area Ventilation Exhaust Radiation ~ High High	GG	3
56	Fuel Handling Area Pool Sweep Exhaust Radiation - High High	GG	3
	Reactor Water Cleanup System Isolation		
57	Manual Initiation (Secondary Containment)	GG	3
58	Differential Flow - High	H.GG	183
59	Differential Flow Timer	GG	183
60	Equipment Area	H,GG	1 & 3
00	Temperature - High		
61	Equipment Area Differential Temperature - High	H,GG	183
62	Reactor Vessel Water Level - (Level 2)	H,GG	3
63	Main Steam Line Tunnel	GG	1 & 3
64	Temperature - High Main Steam Line Tunnel Differential Temperature -	GG	183
65	High SLCS Initiation	H,GG Pol	icy Statement (SBLC)

REPORT		PLANT	CRITERIA	
	High Pressure Coolant Injection System Isolation			
66	Manual Initiation (RWCS)	GG H	1 & 3	
67 68	HPCI Steam Line Flow - High HPCI Steam Supply Pressure - Low	Ĥ	3	
69	HPCI Turbine Exhaust			
70	Diaphragm Pressure - High HPCI Pipe Penetration Room Temperature - High	H	183	
71	Suppression Pool Area Ambient Temperature - High	н	1 & 3	
72	Suppression Pool Area Differential Temperature -	н	1 & 3	
73	High Suppression Pool Area Temperature Timer Relays	н	2 & 3	
74	Emergency Area Cooler Temperature - High	н	1 & 3	
76	Logic Power Monitor	н	3	
	Reactor Core Isolation Cooling System Isolation			
77 78	RCIC Steam Line Flow - High RCIC Steam Supply	H,GG H,GG Pol	1 & 3 icy Statement (RCIC	)
79	Pressure - Low RCIC Turbine Exhaust Diaphragm Pressure - High	H,GG Pol	icy Statement (RCIC	)
03	RCIC Equipment Area Temperature - High	H,GG	1 & 3	
81	Suppression Pool Area Ambient Temperature - High	н	1 8 3	
82	Suppression Pool Area Differential Temperature - High	н	183	
83	Suppression Pool Area Temperature Timer Relays	н	2 & 3	
23	Logic Power Monitor	Н	3 1 & 3	
86	RCIC Equipment Room Differential Temperature - High	GG	165	
87	Main Steam Line Tunnel Temperature - High	GG	183	
88	Main Steam Line Tunnel Differential Temperature - High	99	183	

LCO

.. . .. .

REPORT		PLANT		CRI	TERIA	
89	Main Steam Line Tunnel	GG		3		
90	Temperature Timer RHR Equipment Room	GG		1 8	\$ 3	
91	Temperature - High RHR Equipment Room Differential Temperature -	GG		1 8	4 3	
92	High RHR/RCIC Steam Line Flow - High	GC		1 1	\$ 3	
	RHR System Isolation					
93 94	Manual Initiation (RCIC) RHR Equipment Area Temperature - High	GG GG			8 3	
95	RHR Equipment Room Differential Temperature - High	GG			& 3	
96	Reactor Vessel Water Level - Low (Level 3)	H,GG		3		
97	Reactor Vessel (RHR Cut-In Permissive) Pressure - High	H,GG I	Policy	St	atement	(RHR)
98 99	Drywell Pressure ~ High Manual Initiation (RHR)	GG GG	Policy	St	atement	(RHR)
	ECCS Actuation Instrumentation (N RHR (LPCI/LPCS/Core Spray)	ote 1)				
100	Reactor Vessel Water Level - Low (Level 1)	H,GG		3		
101 102 103	Drywell Pressure - High RHR Pump Time Delay Manual Initiation	H,GG H,GG GG		303		
104	RHR (LPCI/LPCS/Core Spray) Reactor Steam Dome	H,GG		3		
105	Pressure - Low Reactor Vessel Shroud	н		3		
106	Level - Low Logic Power Monitor	н		3		
106A 107	Automatic Depressurization System Control Power Monitor Reactor Vessel Water Level Low (Level 1)	H,GG		33		
108 109 110	Drywell Pressure - High ADS Initiation Timer Low Water Level Timer	H,GG H,GG S		300		

LCO

3.3.3

0

C

Γ

١

1.0

e

1

LCO	REPORT 1TEM		PLANT	CRITERIA
	111	Reactor Vessel Water Level	H,GG	3
	112	Low (Level 3) LPCI/LPCS/Core Spray	H,GG	3
	112A	Discharge Pressure - High ADS Bypass Timer	GG	3
	112B 113 114	High Pressure Core Spray Manual Inhibit (ADS) Manual Initiation (ADS) Drywell Pressure - High Reactor Vessel Water Level	GG GG GG GG	3 3 3 3
	115	Low (Level 2)		2
	116	Reactor Vessel Water Level High (Level 8)	GG	
	117 118	CST Level - Low Supp. Pool Water Level - High	GG GG	3 3
	119	HPCI Manual Initiation (HPCS)	GG	3
	120	Drywell Pressure - High	н	3 3 3
	121	Reactor Vessel Water Level - Low (Level 2)	n	그 전 가슴이 다 그 그 옷을 걸려
	122	Reactor Vessel Water Level - High (Level 8)	н	2
	123	Condensate Storage Tank	н	3
	124	Level - Low Suppression Chamber Water	н	3
	106	Level - High Logic Power Monitor	н	3
	125 126	ECCS Inst. Loss of Power Reactor Pressure - High (Low Low Set Interlock)	GG H	3 3
3.3.4		Recirculation Pump Trip Actuation Instrumentation		
	127 128	EOC-RFT ATWS-RPT	H,GG H,GG	Policy Statement (RPT)
3.3.5		RCIC Instrumentation		
	129	Reactor Vessel Water	H,GG	Policy Statement (RCIC)
	130	Level - Low (Level 2) Reactor Vessel Water Level - High (Level 8)	GG	Policy Statement (RCIC)

LCO

1

.

. (

\$

4

n's

. .

D-6

LCO	REPORT		PLANT	CRITERIA
	131 132 133	CST Level - Low Supp. Pool Water Level - High Manual Initiation (RCIC)	H,GG Polic H,GG GG	y Statement (RCIC) 3 2
3.3.6		Control Rod Withdrawal Block Instrumentation		
	134 136 141	Rod Pattern Control System RBM Reactor Mode Switch Shutdown Position	GG H GG	3 3 0
3.3.7	142-	Monitoring Instrumentation Radiation Monitoring Instrumentat	ion (Notes 1	82)
	150 153	Remote Shetdown Instrumentation (Notes 1 & 3)	H,GG	Risk
	154- 181 182	Accident Monitoring Instrumentation SRM	H,GG H,GG	1,2&3 2
3.3.8		Plant Systems Actuation Instrumer	tation	
	190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200	Drywell Press (Cont. Spray) Cont. Press (Cont. Spray) Water Level 1 (Cont. Spray) Timers (Cont. Spray) Water Level 8 (FW/TT) Drywell Pressure (Supp. Pool Makeup System-SPMS) Level 1 (SPMS) Level 2 (SPMS) Supp. Pool Level (SPMS) Supp. Pool Makeup Timer (SPMS) Manual Initiation (SPMS)	66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66	3 3 3 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
3.3.10	201A	Neutron Flux Monitoring	GG	2
3.3.11	202	Degraded Voltage	н	3
3.4		REACTUR COOLANT SYSTEM		
3.4.1	203 204 205	Recirculation Loops Jet Pumps Idle Recirculation Loop Startup	H,GG H,GG H,GG	2 3 2
	206	Recirculation Loop Flow	GG	2

1

1 ...

..

LCO	REPORT		PLANT	CRITERIA
3.4.2	207 208	Safety/Relief Valves S/RV Low-Low Set	H,GG H,GG	3 3
3.4.3 3.4.3 3.4.5 3.4.6 3.4.7 3.4.9	209 210 212 213 214 215 217 218	Leak Detection Systems Operational Leakage Limits Specific Activity Pressure/Temperature Limits Reactor Steam Dome Pressure MSIVs RHR - Hot Shutdown RHR - Cold Shutdown	H,GG H,GG H,GG H,GG GG PC GG PC	1 2 2 3 Nicy Statement (RHR) Nicy Statement (RHR)
3.5		EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS		
3.5.1 3.5.2 3.5.3	219 220 221 222	HPCI - ADS CSS LPCI	н н н	3 3 3 3
3.5.4	223 224 225	Supp. Pool ECCS - Operating ECCS - Shutdown	H,GG GG GG	3 3 3
3.6		CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS		
3.6.1		Primary Containment		
	226 228 229 231 232 233 234	Cont. Integrity Air Locks MSLIV-LCS Structural Integrity Cont. Internal Pressure Cont. Air Temp Containment Purge System	H,GG H,GG H,GG H,GG H,GG GG H,GG	3 3 3 2 2 3
3.6.2		Drywell		
	235 236 237 238 239 240 241	Drywell Integrity Drywell Air Temperature Drywell Bypass Leakage Drywell Air Locks Drywell Structural Integrity Drywell Internal Pressure Drywell Vent and Purge	H,GG H,GG GG GG GG GG GG	3 2 2 3 3 2 2 2

1

..

LCO	REPORT		PLANT	CRITERIA
3.6.3		Depressurization Systems		
3.6.4 3.6.5	242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249	Cont. Spray Suppression Chamber (Pool) Suppression Pool Makeup Suppression Pool Cooling Isolation Valves Supp. Chamber - Drywell VB RB - Supp. Chamber VB Drywell Post LOCA VB	GG H,GG GG H,GG H,GG H H GG	3 & 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
3.6.6		Secondary Containment		
	250	Secondary Containment	H,GG	3
	251	Integrity Auto Isolation Dampers	H,GG	3
3.6.7		Containment Atmosphere Control		
	252 253 254 255 256	SGTS H <sub>2</sub> Recombiner (Note 4) H <sub>2</sub> Mixing System O <sub>2</sub> Conc. H <sub>2</sub> Ignition System	H,GG H,GG H H GG	333333
3.7		PLANT SYSTEMS		
3.7.1	258 259 260 261 262	RHR Service Water Standby Service Water Plant Service Water HPCS Service Water Ultimate Heat Sink	H GG H GG GG	333333
3.7.2	263	Control Room Environmental	н	3
	264	Control Control Room Emergency Filter	GG	3
3.7.3	265	RCIC	H,GG	Policy Statement (RCIC)
3.8		ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS		그는 나라 지 않는 것
3.8.1	274	Electrical Power Systems (AC/DC Sources, On-Site Distribution) (6 Sections)	H,GG	3
3.8.4	277	Power Monitoring of RPS	H,GG	3
	278	MOV Thermal Overload Protection	GG	3

LCO	REPORT		PLANT		CRITERIA	
3.9		REFUELING OPERATIONS				
3.9.1	279 280	Mcde Switch Instrumentation	H,GG H,GG		3 2	
3.9.3	281	Control Rod Position	H,GG		2	
3.9.4	282	Decay Time	H,GG		2	
3.9.5	283	Secondary Cont Refueling	н		3	
	284	Floor Secondary Cont. Isolation	н		3	
	285	Dampers Standby Gas Treatment System	н		3	
3.9.8 3.9.9	288 289 290 292	Crane Travel Spent Fuel Pool Water Level Reactor Vessel Water Level Spent Fuel Pool Coolant Circulation - High Water Level			2 2 Statement	
	293	Low Water Level	GG	Policy	Statement	(RHR)
3.11		RADICACTIVE EFFLUENTS				
3.11.2	307	Main Condenser	H,GG		2	

#### Notes:

- LCOs for these systems should be retained in STS. The Policy Statement criteria should not be used to relocate specific trip functions, channels or instrument within these LCOs.
- The staff is pursuing alternative approaches which would allow relocation of some of these LCOs on a schedule consistent with the schedule for development of the new STS. The staff is also initiating rulemaking to delete the requirement that RETS be included in Technical Specifications.
- 3. Because fires (either inside or outside the control room) can be a significant contributor to the core melt frequency and because the uncertainties with fire initiation frequency can be significant, the staff believes that this LCO should be retained in the STS at this time. The staff will consider relocation of Remote Shutdown Instrumentaiton on a plant-specific basis.
- This LCO will be considered for relocation to a licensee-controlled document on a plant-specific basis.

## BWR-TABLE 2 (Note 1)

...

	GENERAL EI	LECTRIC STANDARD TECHNICAL SPECIFICAT	10N
LCO	REPORT		PLANT
3.1		REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS	
3.1.2 3.1.3	2 4	Reactivity Anomaly (Note 2) Maximum Scram Times (7 Sec)	H,GG H
3.3		INSTRUMENTATION	
3.3.2		Isolation Actuation Instrumentation	
	75 84	Drywell Pressure - High (HPCI) Drywell Pressure - High (RCIC)	H H,GG
3.3.6		Control Rod Withdrawal Block Instru	mentation
	135 137 138 139 140	APRM SRM IRM SDV Water Level Reactor Coolant System Recirculation Flow-Upscale	H,GG H H,GG H,GG GG
3.3.7		Monitoring Instrumentation	
	151 152 183 184	Seismic Monitors Meteorological Inst. TIP Main Control Room Environmental System (Chlorine and Ammonia) Detection System	H,GG GG H,GG H
	186 187 188	Fire Protection Loose-Parts Radioactive Liquid Effluent (Note 3	GG GG 3) H,GG
	189	Monitoring Instrumentation Radioactive Gaseous Effluent (Note Monitoring Instrumentation	3) H,GG
3.3.9	201	Turbine Overspeed Protection	H,GG
3.4		REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM	
3.4.4 3.4.8	211 216	Chemistry Structural Integrity (Note 4)	H,GG H,GG
3.6		CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS	
3.6.1	227	Containment Leakage (Note 5)	H,GG

1. ..

i.

LCO	REPORT ITEM		PLANT
3.6.2 3.6.7	230 257	Feedwater Leakage Control Combustible Gas Control Purge System	GG GG
3.7		PLANT SYSTEMS	
3.7.4 3.7.5 3.7.6	266 267 268	Snubbers Sealed Source Contamination Fire Suppression Systems (6 Sections)	H,GG H,GG GG
3.7.7 3.7.8	269 270 271	Fire Rated Assemblies Area Temp Monitoring Settlement of Class 1 Structure	GG GG H
3.7.9 3.7.10	272 273	Spent Fuel Pool Temp Flood Protection	GG H,GG
3.8		ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS	
3.8.2 3.8.3	275 276	AC Circuits Inside Containment Overcurrent Protection Devices	H H,GG
3.9		REFUELING OPERATIONS	
3.9.6 3.9.7	286 287	Communications Refueling Equipment (3 Sections)	H,GG H,GG
3.9.10 3.9.12	291 294	Control Rod Removal (2 Sections) Horizontal Fuel Transfer System	H,GG GG
3.10	295	SPECIAL TEST EXCEPTIONS (Note 6)	H,GG
3.11		RADIOACTIVE EFFLUENTS (Note 3)	
3.11.1	296 297 298 299	Liquid Effluents Liquid Effluents Dose Liquid Waste Treatment Liquid Holdup Tanks	H,GG H,GG H,GG H,GG
3.11.2	3CC 301	Gaseous Effluent Dose Rate Gaseous Effluent Dose - Noble Gases	H,GG H,GG
	302	Gaseous Effluent Dose - Other than Noble Gas	H,GG
	303 304	Gaseous Radwaste Treatment Total Dose	H,GG H,GG

LCO	REPOR ITEM	ſ	PLANT
	305	Ventilation Exhaust	GG
	306	Treatment System Explosive Gas Mixture	H,GG
3.11.3	308	Solid Radwaste System	H,GG
3.12		RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL	MONITORING (Note 3)
	309	Environmental Monitoring (3 Sections)	H,GG

#### Notes:

- LCOs listed in this table may be relocated to other licensee-controlled document contingent upon NRC staff approval of the location of and controls over relocated requirements.
- 2. This LCO may be removed from the STS. However, if the associated Surveillance Requirement(s) is necessary to meet the OPERABILITY requirements for a retained LCO, the Surveillance Requirement(s) should be relocated to the retained LCO.
- 3. The staff is pursuing alternative approaches which would allow relocation of some of these LCOs on a schedule consistent with the schedule for development of the new STS. The staff is also initiating rulemaking to delete the requirement that RETS be included in Technical Specifications.
- 4. This LCO may be relocated out of Technical Specification. However, the associated Surveillance Requirement(s) must be relocated to Technical Specification Section 4.0, Surveillance Requirements.
- 5. This LCO may be relocated, however, Pa, La, Ld and Lt must be either retained in TS or in the Bases of the appropriate containment LCO.
- 6. Special Test Exceptions may be included with the corresponding LCOs.