



**Commonwealth Edison**

One First National Plaza, Chicago, Illinois  
Address Reply to: Post Office Box 767  
Chicago, Illinois 60690 - 0767

May 3, 1988

Mr. A. Bert Davis  
Regional Administrator  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Region III  
799 Roosevelt Road  
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137

Subject: LaSalle County Station Units 1 and 2  
Response to Inspection Report Nos.  
50-373/88006 and 50-374/88006  
NRC Docket Nos. 50-373 and 50-374

Reference (a): Letter dated April 8, 1988 from J.J. Harrison  
to Cordell Reed transmitting Inspection  
Report Nos. 50-373/88006 and 50-374/88006

Dear Mr. Davis:

This letter is in response to the inspection conducted by Mr. N.C. Choules and Ms. S.D. Eick, of your staff on March 14-18, 1988, of activities at LaSalle County Station. Reference (a) indicated that certain activities appeared to be in noncompliance with NRC requirements. The Commonwealth Edison Company's response to the Level IV Notice of Violation is provided in the Attachment.

If you have any further questions on this matter, please direct them to this office.

Very truly yours,

H. E. Bliss  
Nuclear Licensing Manager

lm

Attachment

cc: Region III Inspector - LSCS

4519K

8805240004 880503  
PDR AD0CK 05000373  
R DCD

MAY 5 1988

1/1  
IE01

## ATTACHMENT

### NONCOMPLIANCE:

10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, as implemented by the Commonwealth Edison Quality Assurance Program as described in Section 16 of Topical Report CE-1, requires that conditions adverse to quality be promptly identified and corrected.

Contrary to the above, as of March 18, 1988, there were 64 discrepancy records open greater than 90 days, 27 of which were identified prior to 1987, including problems with instruments out of calibration and installation of non-safety-related parts in a safety-related system.

### CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN AND RESULTS ACHIEVED:

1. All of the 64 discrepancy records that remained open at the time of the NRC Inspection have been assembled by Quality Control and repackaged to highlight them as needing immediate attention.
2. The discrepancy records were assigned to a specific department and individual for completion. Responsibility is tracked by logging and unique routing slips.
3. These discrepancy records will be delivered by hand to each person on the routing slip rather than through Company inter-office mail.
4. As of April 29, 1988, 49 of the 64 have been closed out. The 15 remaining open are being actively pursued. Seven of the fifteen have a final disposition identified and are in the final review and approval routing. The remaining eight are having final disposition developed and have been evaluated as not affecting plant equipment.

### CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN TO AVOID FUTURE NONCOMPLIANCE

1. The Quality Control Supervisor reports the status of all open discrepancy records to station management at the weekly Department Head's Meeting.
2. The discrepancy record procedure will be revised to add a new attachment providing additional guidance to enable people to fill out discrepancy records.
3. Quality Control will provide to upper station management a monthly written report discussing the status of discrepancy records. This report will include the number initiated, the number closed out, the number remaining open for greater than 30 days, the total number remaining open and a specific status of each of those open greater than 90 days.

4. Improved performance in timely close-out of discrepancy records has been emphasized to all departments at the Department Head's Meeting.

DATE OF FULL COMPLIANCE

The review of the status of discrepancy records and progress is a continuing item on the weekly agenda for the Department Head's Meeting. Procedure review will be completed by June 15, 1988.