

TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR
MASSACHUSETTS ATTORNEY GENERAL'S
CONTENTIONS

DOCKETED
USNRC

'88 MAY -9 P8:27

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

GENERAL OUTLINE

Page

A. Legal and Threshold Contentions (Contentions 1-6) . . .13-43

B. Organization and Organizational Control44-54
(Contentions 7-11)

C. Communications (Contentions 12-24).55-74

- Communication Systems and Hardware55-57
(Contentions 12-16)
- EBS (Contention 17).57-61
- Notification of Response Organizations and Workers .61-64
(Contention 18)
- Sirens (Contention 19)64-66
- Public Notification and Communications66-74
(Contentions 20-24)

D. Protective Measures (Contentions 25-63)75-167

- General (Contentions 25-26).75-76
- Sheltering (Contentions 27-28)77-79
- Evacuation (Contentions 29-46)80-114
- Special Facilities (Contentions 47-55)114-159
- Decision Criteria (Contentions 56-62).160-165
- Ingestion Pathway EPZ (Contention 63).165-167

E. Resources (Contentions 64-79)168-202

- General (Contentions 64-65).168-170
- Facilities (Contentions 66-69)170-173
- State Resources (Contention 70).173-175
- Private Resources (Contentions 71-74)175-182
- Federal Response (Contention 75)183-185
- Medical Resources (Contention 76).185-187
- Personnel (Contentions 77-79).187-202

F. Training (Contention 80).203

G. Accident Detection, Assessment and Prediction204-207
(Contentions 81-82)

H. Human Behavior (Contention 83).208-210

DS03

DETAILED OUTLINE

Page

A. Legal and Threshold Issues (Contentions 1-6).13-43

 Contention 1: The SPMC will not be implemented by the governments and no other plan exists. 13

 Contention 2-4: 10 CFR 50.47(c)(1) should not be applied to the SPMC and if it is the permissive presumption will be rebutted. 16, 19, 25

 Contention 5: Even if 10 CFR 50.47(c)(1) is applied, factual and legal uncertainties pertaining to implementation of the SPMC preclude an adequacy finding . 29

 Contention 6: No delegation or authorization by the relevant governments would be lawful. 37

B. Organization and Organizational Control (Contentions 7-11).44-54

 Contention 7: Bankruptcy of Lead Owner puts in question source of funds to maintain level of emergency preparedness. 44

 Contention 8: No clear relationships established between ORO and other organizations relied upon.. . . . 45

 Contention 9: Utility personnel do not have the requisite autonomy and independence.. . . . 50

 Contention 10: No provisions in the SPMC in the event of a strike.. . . . 52

 Contention 11: Corporate policy concerning emergency planning precludes finding that this utility could develop or maintain adequate level of emergency preparedness. 52

C. Communications (Contentions 12-24).55-74

 Communications Systems and Hardware
 (Contentions 12-16)

 Contention 12: Communication systems relied on for mobilization of ORO personnel are not adequate. 55

 Contention 13: Off-site communications systems do not have a back-up power source 55

 Contention 14: SPMC relies too heavily on commercial telephone for key communication links 56

Contention 15: No effective horizontal or lateral system of communication 56

Contention 16: No effective communication with State and local governmental response organizations or private organizations. 57

EBS and Its Activation
(Contention 17)

Contention 17: SPMC's provisions concerning the EBS are totally inadequate. 57

Notification of Response
Organizations and Workers
(Contention 18)

Contention 18: Notification and mobilization of response organizations and personnel not adequate 61

Sirens
(Contention 19)

Contention 19: No adequate public alerting system exists in the Massachusetts portion of the EPZ. 64

Public Notification
and Communications
(Contentions 20-24)

Contention 20: Messages to be used are inadequate. . . . 66

Contention 21: No provision for coordinated dissemination of information. 69

Contention 22: No adequate information provided to non-participating governments 70

Contention 23: SPMC provides inadequate rumor control. . 70

Contention 24: Pre-emergency information does not meet regulatory standards 72

D. Protective Measures (Contentions 25-63) 75-167

General
(Contentions 25-26)

Contention 25: EPZ should include contiguous towns . . . 75
Contention 26: No range of protective measures is provided. 76

Sheltering
(Contentions 27-28)

Contention 27: Decision criteria for sheltering are not adequate. 77
Contention 28: Trailer parks are ignored as special populations 79

Evacuation
(Contentions 29-46)

Contention 29: Hostility toward Seabrook will prevent adequate emergency response 80
Contention 30: No snow removal provided by SPMC. 82
Contention 31: Sector evacuation inappropriate at this site 83
Contention 32: No ETE study has been done for Massachusetts that reflects actual conditions and special circumstances 84
Contention 33: Procedures for use of the ETE-study are inadequate. 86
Contention 34: No resources provided to make gasoline available to evacuating vehicles 88
Contention 35: No adequate resources for stalled and cars blocked roads. 89
Contention 36: Vehicular evacuation from the Massachusetts EPZ not possible. 90
Contention 37: Traffic management plan inadequate. 93
Contention 38: Inadequate number of traffic control personnel assigned. 95
Contention 39: ETEs for Massachusetts portion of EPZ too unrealistic to be utilized. 95

Contention 40: Maps provided in SPMC are inadequate.106
Contention 41: Time necessary to effect an evacuation is too long for evacuation alone to provide adequate protection108
Contention 42: ETEs are not provided that cover the wide range of times and conditions during the summer months109
Contention 43: State officials consider the SPMC's ETEs too low and will reject them during an emergency111
Contention 44: State officials consider the SPMC's ETEs too low and their <u>ad hoc</u> efforts to formulate ETEs will cause substantial delays in response112
Contention 45: No security is provided in evacuated areas113
Contention 46: Bus routes proposed are unrealistic and impossible.114

Special Facilities
(Contentions 47-55)

Contention 47: Provisions for school children are not adequate.114
Contention 48: No adequate provision for the evacuation of EPZ hospitals125
Contention 49: No adequate provision for those institutionalized persons who cannot be evacuated131
Contention 50: Special needs populations have not been identified and their particular evacuation needs specified134
Contention 51: Provision for the evacuation of the special needs population are deficient.136
Contention 52: Alert and notification systems for the special needs population are not adequate141
Contention 53: Pre-emergency information for the special needs population is not adequate.142
Contention 54: No effective protective measures have been developed for those in special facilities.143
Contention 55: Host special facility is inadequate153

Decision Criteria
(Contentions 56-62)

Contention 56: Decision criteria used in formulating PARs are inadequate160

Contention 57: PAR decision-making is overreliant on computer-generated dose assessment.163

Contention 58: Responsibilities ascribed to Seabrook Station Short-Term Emergency Director by SPMC are not provided for in Seabrook Station Radiological Plan. . . .164

Contention 59: No coordination between SPMC and NHRERP and conflicting PARs will result164

Contention 60: EALs have not been discussed with or agreed upon by relevant State and local authorities . . .164

Contention 61: Too few ORO personnel are trained to make PARs.165

Contention 62: No coordination between personnel at EOF and EOC with regard to formulating PARs.165

Ingestion Pathway EPZ
(Contention 63)

Contention 63: Provisions for Ingestion Pathway EPZ are inadequate.165

E. Resources (Contentions 64-79)168-202

General
(Contentions 64-65)

Contention 64: No assurance that resources relied upon by SPMC will be available.168

Contention 65: Inadequate resources are provided to handle the numbers of potential injured169

Facilities
(Contentions 66-69)

Contention 66: The EOF and the EOC are not adequate. . .170

Contention 67: Staging Area in Haverhill is not available171

Contention 68: Media Center is improperly sited.171
Contention 69: Red Cross has not agreed to establish and operate congregate care centers and the host special facility172

State Resources
(Contention 70)

Contention 70: State resources relied upon by SPMC are not sufficient.173
--	------

Private Resources
(Contentions 71-74)

Contention 71: Sufficient buses, vans and drivers are not available175
Contention 72: Sufficient ambulances, wheelchair vans and drivers are not available.177
Contention 73: Sufficient tow trucks and drivers are not available179
Contention 74: No provision made for snow removal during a radiological emergency182

Federal Response
(Contentions 75)

Contention 75: No adequate arrangements for requesting or using Federal assistance resources have been made.183
--	------

Medical Resources
(Contention 76)

Contention 76: No adequate arrangements for medical services for the contaminated injured have been made.185
---	------

Personnel
(Contention 77-79)

Contention 77: The staffing of the SPMC is not adequate.187
Contention 78: No adequate second-shift capability is provided191

Contention 79: Prerequisite experience for numerous ORO positions and SPMC training are inadequate to qualify personnel for assigned tasks.193
F. Training (Contention 80).203
Contention 80: Training for ORO personnel and others is not adequate.203
G. Accident Detection, Assessment and Prediction (Contentions 81-82)204-207
Contention 81: Provisions for radiological monitoring are not adequate204
Contention 82: Methods, systems and equipment for assessing and monitoring offsite consequences are not adequate.205
H. Human Behavior (Contention 83).208-210
Contention 83: Three distinct aspects of likely human behavior in the Massachusetts portion of the EPZ in the event of a radiological emergency have not been recognized and their effects planned for208

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DOCKETED
USNRC

'88 MAY -9 P8:27

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

In the Matter of)

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF)
NEW HAMPSHIRE, ET AL.)
(Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2))
_____)

Docket No.(s)
50-443/444-OL

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, John Traficonte, hereby certify that on May 5, 1988, I made service of the TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR MASSACHUSETTS ATTORNEY GENERAL'S CONTENTIONS, by First Class Mail to:

Ivan Smith, Chairman
Atomic Safety & Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
East West Towers Building
4350 East West Highway
Bethesda, MD 20814

Gustave A. Linenberger, Jr.
Atomic Safety & Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
East West Towers Building
4350 East West Highway
Bethesda, MD 20814

Dr. Jerry Harbour
Atomic Safety & Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
East West Towers Building
4350 East West Highway
Bethesda, MD 20814

Sherwin E. Turk, Esq.
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of General Counsel
15th Floor
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, MD 20852

H. Joseph Flynn, Esq.
Assistant General Counsel
Office of General Counsel
Federal Emergency Management
Agency
500 C Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20472

Docketing and Service
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Washington, DC. 20555

Roberta C. Pevear
State Representative
Town of Hampton Falls
Drinkwater Road
Hampton Falls, NH 03844

Atomic Safety & Licensing
Appeal Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Atomic Safety & Licensing
Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Paul McEachern, Esq.
Matthew T. Brock, Esq.
Shaines & McEachern
25 Maplewood Avenue
P.O. Box 360
Portsmouth, NH 03801

Sandra Gavutis, Chairperson
Board of Selectmen
RFD 1, Box 1154
Rte. 107
E. Kingston, NH 03827

Senator Gordon J. Humphrey
U.S. Senate
Washington, DC 20510
(Attn: Tom Burack)

Senator Gordon J. Humphrey
1 Eagle Square, Suite 507
Concord, NH 03301
(Attn: Herb Boynton)

Stephen E. Merrill
Attorney General
George Dana Bisbee
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
25 Capitol Street
Concord, NH 03301

Paul A. Fritzsche, Esq.
Office of the Public Advocate
State House Station 112
Augusta, ME 04333

Diana P. Randall
70 Collins Street
Seabrook, NH 03874

Robert A. Backus, Esq.
Backus, Meyer & Solomon
116 Lowell Street
P.O. Box 516
Manchester, NH 03106

Jane Doughty
Seacoast Anti-Pollution League
5 Market Street
Portsmouth, NH 03801

J. P. Nadeau
Board of Selectmen
10 Central Road
Rye, NH 03870

Calvin A. Canney
City Manager
City Hall
126 Daniel Street
Portsmouth, NH 03801

Angelo Machiros, Chairman
Board of Selectmen
25 High Road
Newbury, MA 01950

Edward G. Molin
Mayor
City Hall
Newburyport, MA 01950

Donald E. Chick
Town Manager
Town of Exeter
10 Front Street
Exeter, NH 03833

Brentwood Board of Selectmen
RFD Dalton Road
Brentwood, NH 03833

Philip Ahrens, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Department of the Attorney
General
State House Station #6
Augusta, ME 04333

Thomas G. Dignan, Esq.
R.K. Gad III, Esq.
Ropes & Gray
225 Franklin Street
Boston, MA 02110

Beverly Hollingworth
209 Winnacunnet Road
Hampton, NH 03842

William Armstrong
Civil Defense Director
Town of Exeter
10 Front Street
Exeter, NH 03833

Robert Carrigg, Chairman
Board of Selectmen
Town Office
Atlantic Avenue
North Hampton, NH 03862

Allen Lampert
Civil Defense Director
Town of Brentwood
20 Franklin Street
Exeter, NJ 03833

Dr. Emmeth A. Luebke
5500 Friendship Boulevard
Apartment 1923
Chevy Chase, MD

William Lord
Board of Selectmen
Town Hall
Friend Street
Amesbury, MA 01913

Gary W. Holmes, Esq.
Holmes & Ellis
47 Winnacunnet Road
Hampton, NH 03841

Diane Curran, Esq.
Harmon & Weiss
Suite 430
2001 S Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20009

Richard A. Hampe, Esq.
Hampe & McNicholas
35 Pleasant Street
Concord, NH 03301

Edward A. Thomas
Federal Emergency Management
Agency
442 J.W. McCormack (POCH)
Boston, MA 02109

Michael Santosuosso, Chairman
Board of Selectmen
Jewell Street, RFD 2
South Hampton, NH 03827

Anne E. Goodman, Chairperson
Board of Selectmen
13-15 Newmarket Road
Durham, NH 03824

Sheldon J. Wolfe, Chairperson
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Charles P. Graham, Esq.
McKay, Murphy & Graham
Old Post Office Square
100 Main Street
Amesbury, MA 01913

Judith H. Mizner, Esq.
Lagoulis, Clark, Hill-Whilton & McGuire
79 State Street
Newburyport, MA 01950

John Traficonte
Assistant Attorney General
Nuclear Safety Unit
Department of the Attorney General
One Ashburton Place
Boston, MA 02108-1698
(617) 727-0190

Dated: May 5, 1988