

EG

ISOTOPES SPECIALTIES COMPANY, INC.

703 South Main Street
Burbank, California
VI. 9-2273

ADDRESSES IN THE U.S.A.
P.O. BOX 10000

Social Source Files

AFFILIATED COMPANIES —
PRODUCTS SOLD, SERVICED AND
GUARANTEED BY ISOTOPES SPECIALTIES COMPANY, INC.
IN WESTERN UNITED STATES

NUCLEAR CONSULTANTS, INC.
LONG ISLAND CITY, N. Y.

NUCLEAR CONSULTANTS, INC.
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI

RESEARCH CHEMICALS
BURBANK, CALIFORNIA

NRD INSTRUMENTS
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI

NULOR RESEARCH
FERNDALE, MICHIGAN

Earl Wright
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Licensing Division
Oak Ridge, Tenn.


November 12, 1957

Dear Mr. Wright,

Enclosed are the drawings which I promised you covering the amount of shielding and design of the cameras for which the U. S. Naval Ordnance Powder Factory at Indianhead Maryland has requested authorization. These cameras are similar to our LSS-2 series except that the source is permanently mounted in the center rather than being on a sliding rod, and that they are designed to rotate through a 180 degree arc. All dimensions are given on the drawings and we hope that our tardiness in supplying you with these has not delayed the granting of the authorization to the U. S. Naval Powder Factory at Indianhead.

Thank you for your cooperation, if we can be of further aid to you in any way please let us know.

Yours very truly,



J. L. Shepherd
Director, Industrial Sales

JLS:jft

9810070272 980922
PDR FOIA
FRESQUE98-196 PDR

B/38

SUBSIDIARY OF NUCLEAR CORPORATION OF AMERICA, INC.



(CND)
11-20-57

2217

Call from Dick Cunningham, DCA, Washington regarding Isotopes Specialties
Burbank, California application for waste disposal License Control No.

7496

He said that they would need the original copies of any letter or any other information that Goldstein transmitted with the application. Since Goldstein had marked in Item 13 of the application that a drawing had been sent in, he asked why it had not been sent. Told him that the folder indicated that three letters had been duplicated but evidently only the 113 was sent. Checked with RHH who handled the application and found that JWH felt that it was not necessary to send all the letters and this drawing. REC requested that we send him the copies so there will not be any question of what gets into the docket file. RHH to follow-up.

He said they had received a note from the Inspection Division regarding Special Instruments Laboratory inspection report received May 1957 (License No. 41-1054-1) of Knoxville, Tennessee. They had asked if a letter had been sent out to this Company as a result of the inspection and if we had received a reply to our letter. Had files checked and found that a letter had gone out in July and we received their reply in August. REC requested that a duplicated copy of the letters be sent to them at DCA and a copy to the field office.

Pat Smith got on phone and requested that we make a copy of November 1, 1957 letter, drafted by LPG, to Pittsburgh Testing Laboratory, Pittsburgh, Pa. relative to the joint visit made to this Company by OWM and Bob Kirman NYO Inspection. Pat explained their copy was legible but it would not reproduce and they need an extra copy.

B/39