July 19, 1971

Pacific Gas and Electric Company 245 Market Street San Francisco, California 94106

Docket No. 050-0275 Docket No. 050-0323

Attention: Hr. Richard H. Peterson Senior Vice President

Gentlemen:

This refers to the inspection conducted by Messrs. A. D. Johnson and R. T. Dodds of this office on June 23-25, 1971, of construction activities suthorized by AEC Construction Permit Mos. CFR-39 and CFFR-69, and to the discussion of our findings held by Mr. Johnson with Mr. J. D. Worthington, Mr. F. F. Mautz, and members of their staffs on June 36, 1971.

Areas examined during this inspection included the QA-QC programs as related to menufacture, testing, placement and curing of concrete to be used in the construction of Unit No. 2, and the QA-QC progress specifically relating to Class I components to be installed in Unit Mo. 1. Additional areas examined included (1) the methods and procedures used for assuring that vendor certifications are consistent with descriptions to be provided in the Finel Safety Analysis Report for both units, (2) the need for, and evailability of, documented dimensional checks of well thicknesses of significant sixed valves to be installed within the pressure boundary of the primary coolent system, (3) the use of your Safety Report Analysis change procedure, and (4) the mairtenance and control of informal records relating to construction activities which may reflect the quality characteristics of the structures, systems and components incorporated in the units. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of selective exeminations of procedures and representative records, interviews with reactor facility personnel, and observations by the inspectors.

No items of nonconformance were identified within the scope of this inspection.

					A-3
	OFFICE .	- /		REGION: V	The same of the sa
BB05190221 PDR FOIA MCMILLANGB-	880510	Johnsoniia	Spe A er	Smith	
	-156 PDR	71	77	77 - 3, 774	the state of the s

It is our understanding, with respect to the following items, that you intend to take the actions indicated:

- Review your QA system to assure that all changes made either by you
 or your nuclear steem supplier, which would significantly alter the
 description provided in the PSAR, will be reflected in the FSAR.
- Collect all calibration procedures related to inspection and test equipment and incorporate these procedures into an appropriate menual form as required by your recently approved QA Procedure No. PRQ-5.
- Conduct a survey of both official and unofficial logs and records at the site to determine the scope and content of all records being maintained.
- 4. Determine whether dimensional checks have been made of significant sized valves within the pressure boundary of the primary coolant system and, where documented results are unavailable, initiate a program to determine the adequacy of valve wall thicknesses.

These items will be examined during the next inspection.

No reply to this letter is necessary, but should you have any questions concerning this inspection, we will be glad to discuse them with you.

Sincerely,

- Min 1

R. W. Smith Director

cc: J. D. Worthington

F. P. Haute

G. V. Bicherde

bcc: J. B. Henderson, CO (5)

A. Giambusso, CO

R. H.Engelken, CO

L. Kornblith, Jr., CO