RC Form 366 9-63)		LIC	ENSE	E EVE	NT RE	PORT	(LER)	U.S. 1	APP	AR REGULATO ROVED OMB IRES: 8/31/88	0RY COMM NO. 3150-01	M
ACILITY NAME (1) PLANT HA	ATCH, UNIT 1							0 5 0 0	R (2)	131211	PAG 1 OF	0
DEFICIENT PROCEDU	JRE CAUSES N	1ISSED	тесн.	SPE	. SUR	VEILL	ANCE ON H	PCI VAL	ES			
EVENT DATE (5)	LER NUMBER (6)		REF	PORT DAT	E (7)		OTHER	FACILITIES INV	OLVE	D (8)		
MONTH DAY YEAR YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR		FACILITY NAP	AES	00	CKET NUMBER	1(\$)	
0 8 1 7 8 8 8 8	3 - 0 0 8	00	0 9	0 8	8 8				0	151010	101	
OPERATING THIS R	EPORT IS SUBMITTED	PURSUANT T	O THE RI	EQUIREM	ENTS OF 10	CFR \$1 (0	Check one or more	of the following)	(11)	T		
POWER LEVEL (10) 1100 22 22 22	0.405(a)(1)(i) 0.405(a)(1)(ii) 0.405(a)(1)(iii) 0.405(a)(1)(iii) 0.405(a)(1)(iv) 0.405(a)(1)(iv)	x	20.405(c) 50.36(c) 50.73(a) 50.73(a) 50.73(a)	c))(1))(2))(2)(i))(2)(iii))(2)(iii)			50.73(a)(2)(v) 50.73(a)(2)(v) 50.73(a)(2)(vii) 60.73(a)(2)(viii)(50.73(a)(2)(viii)(50.73(a)(2)(vii))(50.73(a)(2)(x)	A.) B)		73.71(6) 73.71(6) 0THER (Sp below and i 366A)	ecity in Abe Text, NBC	røct Form
internet in the second it is		L	CENSEE	CONTACT	FOR THIS	LER (12)		4.00				
Steven B. Tipps,	Manager Nuc	lear S	afety	and	Compl	iance	, Hatch	AREA 000	3	677	785	1
CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT	COMPLETE OF MANUFAC. TURER	NE LINE FOR	EACH CC	OMPONEN	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUPAC TURER	-	EPORTABLE TO NPROS		
1 111							1.1.1	11				
					1			11			,	
YER (If yee, complete EXPECTED BETRACT (Limit to 1400 speces, i.e. On 08/17/88 approximate Procedure U for operabi motor opera with the re 4.5.D.1.e. "HPCI Valve month as re The root ca operability 7/1/79 in co plan. (Pro	at approximately fifteen to at approximately fifteen to be at approximately fifteen to be at approximately fifteen to ly 100 perce lity testin ted valves quirements These valves operabilit quired by t tuse of this testing pr order to imp ocedure HNP-	imately imately imately ing of t (1E41- of Unives wer ty", at the Tec s event occedur 1-3302	0800 rate UP) p wo Hi F006 t 1 T e bei ever hnica is a e, HN the was	NO CDT, ed the person igh Pr and I rechning te y col ing te y col ing te ry col ing te ry col ing te ry col	, Unit ermal nel r essur LE41-F ical S ested d shu ecific cedure 3302, new I aced b	1 wa power e Coo 007) pecif per p tdown ation defi was e SI pu y pro	s in the . At tha ed that t lant Inje was not i ications, rocedure , rather s. ciency. rroneousl mp and va cedure	RUN mode t time, the freque ction sy n compli- section 34SV-E41 than ond The HPC y revise	ansi e al venco ste ianco -00 ce p i ve ed o ting	t cy ce D1-1S ber alve on g		
34SV-E41-00 The correct testing the	ive actions HPCI pump	for t discha	his e rge v	event valves	inclu , 1E4	ded: 1-F00	1) satisf 6 and 1E4	actorily	2)			

UICENSEE EVE	1	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 8/31/88							
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NI MBER (6)	5) PAGE (3)					
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION		1			
PLANT HATCH, UNIT 1	0 15 10 10 10 3 12 1	8 8	0 0 8	_ 0 0	012	OF	0	5	

Plant and System Identification:

General Electric - Boiling Water Reactor Energy Industry Identification System codes are identified in the text as [XX].

Summary of Event

At approximately 0800 CDT on 08/17/88, it was determined that operability testing of the Unit One High Pressure Coolant Injection System (HPCI)[BJ] pump discharge and injection valves was not being performed once per month as required by the Technical Specifications. This occurred due to a deficient procedure. The valves were proven operable and a procedure change was initiated.

Description of Event

On 08/17/88 at approximately 0800 CDT, Procedure Upgrade Program (PUP) personnel reported that the frequency for operability testing of two High Pressure Coolant Injection (HPCI) Motor Operated Valves (1E41-F006 and 1E41-F007) was not in compliance with the Unit 1 Technical Specifications section 4.5.D.1.e. The valves were being tested per procedure 34SV-E41-001-1s (Rev 2), "HPCI Valve Operability", at every cold shutdown rather than once per month as required by the Technical Specifications.

PUP personnel requested that Nuclear Safety and Compliance personnel determine if the valves (1E41 F006 & 1E41-F007) should be tested monthly per the Technical Specifications or once per cold shutdown per the site In-Service Inspection (ISI) plan. PUP personnel were advised that the ISI plan did not supersede the Technical Specifications.

Operability testing of valves 1E41-F006 and 1E41-F007 was begun at approximately 1136 CDT on 08/17/88, and was satisfactorily completed by approximately 1145 CDT.

NRO FORM 2663

NRC Form 366A (9-83) LICENSEE EVE	ENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINU	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COM APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-01 EXPIRES. 8/31/88					MM15	SION					
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	1	LE	R NUM	BER (6	6				p.	AGE	(3)	
		YEAR		SEQUE	NTIAL		NUS	ISION UBER	_				
PLANT HATCH, UNIT 1	0 5 0 0 0 3 2 1	8 8	-	0,0	8	_	0	0	0	3	OF	0	5

Cause of Event

The root cause of this event is a deficient HPCI valve operability procedure. Procedure 34SV-E41-001-1S (which replated procedure HNP-1-3301) did not state the correct frequency for testing to comply with the requirements of Unit One Technical Specifications, section 4.5.D.1.e.

Prior to July 1, 1979, procedure HNP-1-3302 required that the HPCI pump discharge valves be tested on a monthly basis. The ISI plan and the procedure were changed following a meeting with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) held at Plant Hatch on February 22, 1979. At this meeting, the "Guidelines for Excluding Exercising (cycling) Testing of Certain Valves during Plant Operation" were discussed.

Georgia Power provided a basis for an exemption from ASME Section XI requirements to extend the test frequency for valves 1E41-F006 and 1E41-F007 to once per cold shutdown. The NRC subsequently approved the exemption request but stated that, "... where the updated program is less restrictive than particular Technical Specification requirements, the licensee must continue to comply with the Technical Specifications until he requests and is issued a Technical Specification change."

On June 6, 1979, the revised In-Service Inspection plan, with the change of stroke time testing frequency for valves 1E41-F006 and 1E41-F007, was submitted to the NRC for review and approval.

On July 1, 1979, procedure HNP-1-3302 was revised to reflect the frequency shown in the ISI program yet no Technical Specification change was ever requested.

Subsequent revisions to the procedure did not identify the previous oversight until the procedure was upgraded in August, 1988.

Reportability Analysis and Safety Assessment

This report is required per 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(i)(B), because a condition existed that was prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications.

NRC Form 366A (9-83)	EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINU	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED DMB NO 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 8/31/88
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3)
	이 김 가슴을 줄을 다 못 한 것 같.	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER
PLANT HATCH, UNIT 1	0 15 10 10 10 3 12 1	8 8 0 0 8 0 0 0 4 OF 0 5

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

The requirements, as stated in the Unit 1 Technical Specifications, section 4.5.D.1.e, assure the operability of HPCI pump discharge and injection valves by prescribing the surveillances at defined intervals to check the valves' functional capabilities and stroke times. In this event, portions of HPCI system were not tested in accordance with these requirements. Specifically, the pump discharge valve, 1E41-F007 and the injection valve, 1E41-F006, were tested at every cold shutdown instead of once monthly.

A review of surveillance data packages from 1979 through the present revealed that the valves passed their stroke time testing per the requirements of 34SV-E41-001-15.

Based on the above information it is concluded that this event had no adverse impact on nuclear safety. Additionally, while this event occurred when Unit 1 was at full power operation, the above analysis is applicable to all power levels and operating modes.

Corrective Action

The corrective actions for this event included:

- Satisfactory completion of valve operability testing, per requirements of procedure 34SV-E41-001-1S, (Rev 2), of valves 1E41-F006 and 1E41-F007 on 08/17/88.
- Upgrading procedure 34SV-E41-001-1S (Rev. 2) to ensure compliance with the Unit 1 Technical Specification (section 4.5.D.1.e). The upgraded procedure will be approved and issued by 10/11/88.
- 3. Valve operability procedures for the High Pressure Coolant Injection, Core Spray, Residual Heat Removal and Reactor Core Isolation Cooling systems for both Hatch Units 1 and 2 were reviewed to ensure Technical Specification compliance. No discrepancies other than those described in this report were identified.

m 366A	LICENSEE EVENT RE	PORT (LER) TEXT CONTIN	UATION	U.S. NUCLEAR REG APPROVED OF EXPIRES 8-31	MB NO 3150-01	MISSIO
ANT I	HATCH, UNIT 1	0 15 10 10 10 3 12 1	LER NUMB	ER (6)	DI 5 OF	01
Add.	itional Information					
1.	Failed Component(s) Ident	ification				
	There was no component fa	ilure experienced in	this event.			
2.	Previous Similar Events					
	There have been six event LER. They were reported 50-336/1986-004(2/28/86), 50-366(1988-002(3/18/86), 50-366/1988-014(5/26/28 a	s similar to the one in the following LE.s 50-366/1986-006(4/18 50-366/1988-012(5/23 and 50-366/1988-016(6/	described in : /86), /88), 22/88).	this		
	These LERs describe event untimely (non-Tech Spec) equipment. The plant equ Equipment, (2) Hydraulic Protection Circuitry, (4) Building and Refueling Fl Steam Isolation Valve and	s where inadequate pr surveillance/operabil ipment involved was: Shock and Sway Arrest Supply and Exhaust d oor Normal Ventilatio Turbine Stop Valve C	ocedure caus ity testing (1) Fire Det ors, (3) Rea ampers in th n Systems, (losure logic	ed of plant ection ctor e Reactor 5) Main and (6)		
	In all cases, the correct procedure or develop a te plant equipment for compl	ive actions were: (1) mporary procedure and iance with the Techni	to upgrade (2) to test cal Specific	the the ation.		
	However, the corrective a have prevented the event the procedure in question	ctions for the simila described by LER 50-3 had not yet been upg	r events wou 21/1982-008 raded.	ld not because		
	The long term corrective sorts of events is PUP. personnel identified the testifies to the effectiv are reportable per the re corrective actions were i deficiencies.	actions to identify a In all of the events procedure inadequacy. eness of the program. quirements of 10 CFR n progress to detect	nd prevent t discussed he This detec While the 50.73, long and correct	hese rein, PUP tion events term procedure		

Georgia Power Company 333 Piedmont Avenue Atlanta, Georgia 30308 Telephone 404 526-6526

> Mailing Address Post Office Box 4545 Atlanta, Georgia 30302

W. G. Hairston, III Senior Vice President Nuclear Operations

the southern electric system

HL-66 0454I X7GJ17-H310

September 8, 1988

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555

PLANT HATCH - UNIT 1 NRC DOCKET 50-321 OPERATING LICENSE DPR-57 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT DEFICIENT PROCEDURE CAUSES MISSED TECH. SPEC. SURVEILLANCE ON HPCI VALVES

Gentlemen:

In accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i), Georgia Power Company is submitting the enclosed Licensee Event Report (LER) concerning an event where a surveillance was missed. This is a condition prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications. This event occurred at Plant Hatch - Unit 1.

Sincerely,

W.S. Want In

W. G. Hairston, III

CLT/ct

Enclosure: LER 50-321/1988-008

c: (see next page)



.

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission September 8, 1988 Page Two

c: <u>Georgia Power Company</u> Mr. H. C. Nix, General Manager - Plant Hatch Mr. L. T. Gucwa, Manager Licensing and Engineering GO-NORMS

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C. Mr. L. P. Crocker, Licensing Project Manager - Hatch

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II Dr. J. N. Grace, Regional Administrator Mr. J. E. Menning, Senior Resident Inspector - Hatch