

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

### STP NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY

#### DOCKET NO. 50-498

### SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNIT 1

### AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 97 License No. NPF-76

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment by STP Nuclear Operating Company\* acting on behalf of itself and for Houston Lighting & Power Company (L&P), the City Public Service Board of San Antonio (CPS), Central Power and Light Company (CPL), and City of Austin, Texas (COA) (the licensees), dated December 31, 1997, as supplemented by letters dated June 30, August 6, August 18, and August 27, 1998, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission
  - C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

\*STP Nuclear Operating Company is authorized to act for Houston Lighting & Power Company (HL&P), the City Public Service Board of San Antonio, Central Power and Light Company and City of Austin, Texas and has exclusive responsibility and control over the physical construction, operation and maintenance of the facility.

9810060073 980929 PDR ADOCK 05000498 P PDR

- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-76 is hereby amended to read as follows:
  - 2. Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 97, and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. The license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance to be implemented prior to startup from the October 1998 refueling outage for Unit 2.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

homas W. alexon

Thomas W. Alexion, Project Manager Project Directorate IV-1 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: September 29, 1998



# UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

### STP NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY

### DOCKET NO. 50-499

### SOUTH TEYAS PROJECT. UNIT 2

### AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 84 License No. NPF-80

- The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment by STP Nuclear Operating Company\* acting on behalf of itself and for Houston Lighting & Power Company (HL&P), the City Public Service Board of San Antonio (CPS), Central Power and Light Company (CPL), and City of Austin, Texas (COA) (the licensees), dated December 31, 1997, as supplemented by letters dated June 30, August 6, August 18, and August 27, 1998, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

<sup>\*</sup>STP Nuclear Operating Company is authorized to act for Houston Lighting & Power Company (HL&P), the City Public Service Board of San Antonio, Central Power and Light Company and City of Austin, Texas and has exclusive responsibility and control over the physical construction, operation and maintenance of the facility.

- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-80 is hereby amended to read as follows:
  - 2. Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 84, and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. The license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance to be implemented prior to startup from the October 1998 refueling outage for Unit 2.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Thomas W. alexion

Thomas W. Alexion, Project Manager Project Directorate IV-1 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: September 29, 1998

# ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NOS. 97 AND 84

### FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. NPF-76 AND NPF-80

### DOCKET NOS. 50-498 AND 50-499

Replace the following pages of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the attached pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain marginal lines indicating the areas of change. The corresponding overleaf pages are also provided to maintain document completeness.

REMOVE	INSERT		
ш	ш		
2-1	2-1		
	2-2(A)		
2-4	2-4		
2-7	2-7		
2-8	2-8		
2-9	2-9		
2-10	2-10		
3/4 2-11	3/4 2-11		
B 3/4 2-6	B 3/4 2-6		

INDEX

2.0 SAFETY LIMITS AND LIMITING SAFETY SY	STEM	SETTINGS
--	------	----------

SECTION	PAGE
2.1 SAFETY LIMITS	
2.1.1 REACTOR CORE	2-1
2.1.2 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM PRESSURE	2-1
FIGURE 2.1-1 REACTOR CORE SAFETY LIMIT - FOUR LOOPS IN OPERATION	2-2
FIGURE 2.1-2 REACTOR CORE SAFETY LIMIT-FOUR LOOPS IN OPERATION (ALTERNATE)	2-2(A)
2.2 LIMITING SAFETY SYSTEM SETTINGS	
2.2.1 REACTOR TRIP SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION SETPOINTS	2-3
TABLE 2.2-1 REACTOR TRIP SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION TRIP SETPOINTS	2-4
BASES	
SECTION	PAGE
2.1 SAFETY LIMITS	
2.1.1 REACTOR CORE	B 2-1
2.1.2 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM PRESSURE	B 2-2
2.2 LIMITING SAFETY SYSTEM SETTINGS	
2.2.1 REACTOR TRIP SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION SETPOINTS	B 2-3

Unit 1 - Amendment No. 97 Unit 2 - Amendment No. 84

# INDEX

LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION AND SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

and an enter and an	PAGE
3/4.0 APPLICABILITY	
3/4.1 REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS	
3/4.1.1 BORATION CONTROL	
Shutdown Margin - T <sub>avg</sub> Greater Than	200°F 3/4 1-1
FIGURE 3.1-1 REQUIRED SHUTDOWN MARGIN VERSUS CONCENTRATION (MODES 1, 2, 3, AND 4)	RCS CRITICAL BORON
Shutdown Margin - Tava Less Than or	Equal to 200°F 3/4 1-4
FIGURE 3.1-2 REQUIRED SHUTDOWN MARGIN VERSUS	RCS CRITICAL BORON
CONCENTRATION (MODE 5)	
Moderator Temperature Coefficient	
IGURE 3.1-2a BOL MODERATOR TEMPERATURE COEFFI	CIENT VERSUS POWER 3/4 1-7
Minimum Temperature for Criticality.	
3/4.1.2 BORATION SYSTEMS	
Flow Paths - Shutdown	
Flow Paths - Operating	
Charging Pumps - Shutdown	
Charging Pumps - Operating	
Borated Water Sources - Shutdown	
Borated Water Sources - Operating	
/4.1.3 MOVABLE CONTROL ASSEMBLIES	
Group Height	3/4 1-16
ABLE 3.1-1 ACCIDENT ANALYSES REQUIRING REEVA	LUATION IN THE
EVENT OF AN INOPERABLE FULL-LENGTH RO	DD 3/4 1-18
Position Indication Systems - Operat	ing 3/4 1-19
Position Indication Systems - Shutdow	wn 3/4 1-20
Rod Drop Time	
Shutdown Rod Insertion Limit	
Control Rod Insertion Limite	3/4 1-23

I EVW3 DUTID T & C

14

Unit 1 - Amendment No. 9, 27 Unit 2 - Amendment No. I, 17

#### 2.0 SAFETY LIMITS AND LIMITING SAFETY SYSTEM SETTINGS

#### 2.1 SAFETY LIMITS

#### REACTOR CORE

2.1.1 The combination of THERMAL POWER, pressurizer pressure, and the highest operating loop coolant temperature ( $T_{avg}$ ) shall not exceed the limits shown in Figure 2.1-1, or in Figure 2.1-2 when operating under alternate operating criteria consistent with reduced Reactor Coolant System flow as addressed in Technical Specification 3.2.5.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1 and 2.

### ACTION:

Whenever the point defined by the combination of the highest operating loop average temperature and THERMAL POWER has exceeded the appropriate pressurizer pressure line, be in HOT STANDBY within 1 hour, and comply with the requirements of Specification 6.7.1.

REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM PRESSURE

2.1.2 The Reactor Coolant System pressure shall not exceed 2735 psig.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, 4, AND 5.

ACTION:

MODES 1 and 2:

Whenever the Reactor Coolant System pressure has exceeded 2735 psig, be in HOT STANDBY with the Reactor Coolant System pressure within its limit within 1 hour, and comply with the requirements of Specification 6.7.1.

MODES 3, 4 and 5:

Whenever the Reactor Coolant System pressure has exceeded 2735 psig, reduce the Reactor Coolant System pressure to within its limit within 5 minutes, and comply with the requirements of Specification 6.7.1.

SOUTH TEXAS - UNITS 1 & 2

Unit 1 - Amendment No. 97 Unit 2 - Amendment No. 84



FIGURE 2.1-1

REACTOR CORE SAFETY LIMIT - FOUR LOOPS IN OPERATION

SOUTH TEXAS - UNITS 1 & 2

2-2

Unit 1 - Amendment No. 4,61 Unit 2 - Amendment No. 50

12: 17 ....

. ..



Figure 2.1-2



SOUTH TEXAS - UNITS 1 & 2

2-2(A)

Unit 1 - Amendment No. 97 Unit 2 - Amendment No. 84

# SAFETY LIMITS AND LIMITING SAFETY SYSTEM SETTINGS

## 2.2 LIMITING SAFETY SYSTEM SETTINGS

# REACTOR TRIP SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION SETPOINTS

2.2.1 The Reactor Trip System Instrumentation and Interlock Setpoints shall be set consistent with the Trip Setpoint values shown in Table 2.2-1.

APPLICABILITY: As shown for each channel in Table 3.3-1.

#### ACTION:

- a. With a Reactor Trip System Instrumentation or Interlock Setpoint less conservative than the value shown in the Trip Setpoint column but more conservative than the value shown in the Allowable Value column of Table 2.2-1, adjust the Setpoint consistent with the Trip Setpoint value.
- b. With the Reactor Trip System Instrumentation or Interlock Setpoint less conservative than the value shown in the Allowable Value column of Table 2.2-1, either:
  - Adjust the Setpoint consistent with the Trip Setpoint value of Table 2.2-1 and determine within 12 hours that Equation 2.2-1 was satisfied for the affected channel. or
  - Declare the channel inoperable and apply the applicable ACTION statement requirement of Specification 3.3.1 until the channel is restored to OPERABLE status with its Setpoint adjusted consistent with the Trip Setpoint value.

Equation 2.2-1  $Z \div R \div S \le TA$ 

Where:

- Z = The value from Column Z of Table 2.2-1 for the affected channel,
- R = The "as-measured" value (in percent span) of rack error for the affected channel,
- S = Either the "as-measured" value (in percent span) of the sensor error, or the value from Column S (Sensor Error) of Table 2.2-1 for the affected channel, and
- TA = The value from Column TA (Total Allowance) of Table 2.2-1 for the affected channel.

### **TABLE 2.2-1**

### REACTOR TRIP SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION TRIP SETPOINTS

FUN	ICTIONAL UNIT	TOTAL ALLOWA (TA)	NCE	SENSOR ERROR (S)	TRIP SETPOINT	ALLOWABLE VALUE
1.	Manual Reactor Trip N.A.	N.A.	N.A.		N.A.	N.A.
2.	Power Range, Neutron Flux					
	a. High Setpoint	7.5	6.1	0	≤109% of RTP**	≤110.7% of RTP**
	b. Low Setpoint	8.3	6.1	0	≤25% of RTP**	≤27.7% of RTP**
3.	Power Range, Neutron 2.1 Flux, High Positive Rate	0.5	0		≤5% of RTP** with a time constant ≥2 seconds	<ul> <li>≤6.7% of RTP** with</li> <li>a time constant</li> <li>≥2 seconds</li> </ul>
4.	Deleted					
5.	Intermediate Range, 16.7 Neutron Flux	8.4	0		≤25% of RTP**	≤31.1% of RTP**
6.	Source Range, Neutron Flux	17.0	10.0	0	≤10 <sup>5</sup> CPS	≤1.4 X 10 <sup>s</sup> cps
7.	Overtemperature ΔT	10.7	8.7	1.5 + 1.5#	See Note 1	See Note 2
8.	Overpower ΔT	4.7	2.1	1.5	See Note 3	See Note 4
9.	Pressurizer Pressure-Low	5.0	2.3	2.0	≥1870 psig	≥1860 psig
10.	Pressurizer Pressure-High	5.0	2.3	2.0	≤2380 psig	≤2390 psig
11.	Pressurizer Water Level-High	7.1	4.3	2.0	<92% of instrument span	<94.1% of instrument span
12.	Reactor Coolant Flow-Low	4.0	2.1	0.6	≥91.8% of loop design flow*	≥90.5% of loop design flow*

\* Loop design flow = 95,400 gpm (or 92,500 gpm for alternate operation with reduced RCS flow) \*\*RTP = RATED THERMAL POWER

# 1.5% span for AT; 1.5% span for Pressurizer Pressure

24

### TABLE 2.2-1 (Continued)

# TABLE NOTATIONS

NOTE	NOTE 1: OVERTEMPERATURE AT					
	$ \Delta T \left( \frac{1 + \tau_1 S}{(1 + \tau_2 S)} \left( \frac{1}{(1 + \tau_3 S)} \right) \le \Delta T_0 \left\{ K_1 - K_2 \left( \frac{1 + \tau_4 S}{(1 + \tau_5 S)} \left( T \left( \frac{1}{(1 + \tau_6 S)} \right) - T \right) + K_3 (P - P') - f_1 (\Delta I) \right\} $					
	Where:	ΔT	= Measured △T by RCS Instrumentation;			
		$\frac{1 + \tau_1 S}{1 + \tau_2 S}$	= Lead-lag compensator on measured △ī;			
		τ <sub>1</sub> , τ <sub>2</sub>	= Time constant utilized in lead-lag compensator for $\Delta T$ . $\tau_1 = 8$ sec. $\tau_2 = 3$ sec:			
		$\frac{1}{1 + \tau_3 S}$	= Lag compensator on measured ∆T:			
		τ,	= Time constant utilized in the lag compensator for $\Delta T$ , $\tau_3 = 0$ sec:			
		∆T <sub>0</sub>	= Indicated AT at RATED THERMAL POWER;			
		K <sub>1</sub>	= 1.14, or 1.13 for alternate operation with reduced RCS flow;			
		K <sub>2</sub>	= 0.028/°F;			
		$\frac{1 + \tau_4 S}{1 + \tau_5 S}$	<ul> <li>The function generated by the lead-lag compensator for T<sub>avg</sub> dynamic compensation;</li> </ul>			
		τ <sub>4</sub> , τ <sub>5</sub>	= Time constants utilized in the lead-lag compensator for $T_{avg}$ . $\tau_4$ = 28 sec. $\tau_5$ = 4 sec;			
		т	= Average temperature. °F;			
		$\frac{1}{1 + \tau_6 S}$	= Lag compensator on measured $T_{avg}$ ;			
		τ <sub>6</sub>	= Time constant utilized in the measured $T_{ave}$ lag compensator, $\tau_6 = 0$ sec;			

#### TABLE 2.2-1 (Continued)

#### TABLE NOTATIONS (Continued)

T

K3

P

P

S

- ≤ 593.0°F (Nominal T<sub>avg</sub> at RATED THERMAL POWER) OR 590.0°F for alternate operation with reduced RCS flow;
- = 0.00143/psig;
- = Pressurizer pressure, psig;
- = 2235 psig (Nominal RCS operating pressure);
- = Laplace transform operator, sec-1;

and  $f_1(\Delta I)$  is a function of the indicated difference between top and bottom detectors of the power-range neutron ion chambers; with gains to be selected based on measured instrument response during plant startup tests such that:

- (1) For q<sub>t</sub> q<sub>b</sub> between -70% and + 8%, or +6% for alternate operation with reduced RCS flow, f<sub>t</sub>(ΔI) = 0, where q<sub>t</sub> and q<sub>b</sub> are percent RATED THERMAL POWER in the top and bottom halves of the core respectively, and q<sub>t</sub> + q<sub>b</sub> is total THERMAL POWER in percent of RATED THERMAL POWER;
- (2) For each percent that the magnitude of q<sub>t</sub> q<sub>b</sub> exceeds -70%, the ΔT Trip Setpoint shall be automatically reduced by 0.0% of its value at RATED THERMAL POWER; and
- (3) For each percent that the magnitude of q<sub>t</sub> q<sub>b</sub> exceeds +8%, or +6% for alternate operation with reduced RCS flow, the ΔT Trip Setpoint shall be automatically reduced by 2.65% of its value at RATED THERMAL POWER.
- NOTE 2: The channel's maximum Trip Setpoint shall not exceed its computed Trip Setpoint by more than 1.6% AT span.

N

#### TABLE 2.2-1 (continued)

#### TABLE NOTATIONS (Continued)

NOTE 3: OVERPOWER AT  $\Delta T \left( \frac{1 + \tau_1 S}{(1 + \tau_2 S)} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \tau_3 S} \right) \leq \Delta T_0 \left\{ K_4 - K_5 \left( \frac{\tau_2 S}{(1 + \tau_2 S)} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \tau_5 S} \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 + \tau_6 S} \right) + K_6 \left( T \left( \frac{1}{1 + \tau_6 S} \right) + T^* \right) + f_2(\Delta I) \right\}$ Where:  $\Delta T$  = As defined in Note 1,  $1 + \tau_1 S = As$  defined in Note 1, 1 + T.S  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  = As defined in Note 1. 1 = As defined in Note 1, 1 + 1,S T<sub>3</sub> = As defined in Note 1.  $\Delta T_{o}$  = As defined in Note 1. K = 1.08, or 1.07 for alternate operation with reduced RCS flow. = 0.02/°F for increasing average temperature and 0 for decreasing average Ks temperature.  $\underline{I_{7}S}$  = The function generated by the rate-lag compensator for  $T_{avg}$  dynamic 1 + T,S compensation,  $\tau_7$  = Time constant utilized in the rate-lag compensator for  $T_{avg}$ ,  $\tau_7$  = 10 sec. 1 = As defined in Note 1, 1 + T.S 16 = As defined in Note 1.

SOUTH TEXAS - UNITS 1 & 2

2-9

Unit 1 - Amendmerit No. 97 Unit 2 - Amendmerit No. 84

#### TABLE 2.2-1 (Continued)

#### TABLE NOTATIONS (Continued)

NOTE 3: (Continued)

- $K_6 = 0.002/^\circ F$  for T > T" and  $K_6 = 0$  for T  $\leq$  T",
- T = As defined in Note 1,
- T" = Indicated T<sub>avg</sub> at RATED THERMAL POWER (Calibration temperature for ΔT instrumentation, ≤ 593.0°F, or ≤590.0°F for alternate operation with redcued RCS flow),
- S = As defined in Note 1, and

 $f_2(\Delta I) = 0$  for all  $\Delta I$ .

NOTE 4: The channel's maximum Trip Setpoint shall not exceed its computed Trip Setpoint by more than 1.9% ΔT span.

SOUTH TEXAS - UNITS 1 & 2

### POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS

#### 3/4.2.5 DNB PARAMETERS

#### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.2.5 The following DNB-related parameters shall be maintained within the limits following:

- Reactor Coolant System T<sub>avg</sub>, ≤ 598°F (or ≤ 595°F with reduced RCS flow of 3.2.5.c)
- b. Pressurizer Pressure, > 2189 psig\*
- c. Reactor Coolant System Flow, ≥ 392,300 gpm\*\* (or ≥380,500 gpm\*\* with reduced RCS T<sub>ave</sub> of 3.2.5.a)

APPLICABILITY: MODE 1.

#### ACTION:

With any of the above parameters exceeding its limit, restore the parameter to within its limit within 2 hours or reduce THERMAL POWER to less than 5% of RATED THERMAL POWER within the next 4 hours.

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.2.5.1 Each of the parameters shown above shall be verified to be within its limits at least once per 12 hours. The provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable for verification that RCS flow is within its limit.

4.2.5.2 The RCS flow rate indicators shall be subjected to a channel calibration at least once per 18 months.

4.2.5.3 The RCS total flow rate shall be determined by precision heat balance measurements at least once per 18 months. The provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable.

<sup>\*</sup> Limit not applicable during either a Thermal Power ramp in excess of 5% of RTP per minute or a Thermal Power step in excess of 10% RTP.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Includes a 2.8% flow measurement uncertainty.



### POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS

#### BASES

# HEAT FLUX HOT CHANNEL FACTOR and NUCLEAR ENTHALPY RISE HOT CHANNEL FACTOR (Continued)

When an  $F_Q$  measurement is taken, an allowance for both experimental error and manufacturing tolerance must be made. An allowance of 5% is appropriate for a full-core map taken with the Incore Detector Flux Mapping System, and a 3% allowance is appropriate for manufacturing tolerance.

The Radial Peaking Factor,  $F_{xy}(Z)$ , is measured periodically to provide assurance that the Hot Channel Factor,  $F_0(Z)$ , remains within its limit. The  $F_{xy}$  limit for RATED THERMAL POWER ( $F_{xy}^{RTP}$ ) as provided in the Core Operating Limits Report (COLR) per Specification 6.9.1.6 was determined from expected power control manuevers over the full range of burnup conditions in the core.

### 3/4.2.4 QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO

The QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO limit assuras that the radial power distribution satisfies the design values used in the power capability analysis. Radial power distribution measurements are made during STARTUP testing and periodically during power operation.

The limit of 1.02, at which corrective action is required, provides DNB and linear heat generation rate protection with x-y plane power tilts. A limit of 1.02 was sciected to provide an allowance for the uncertainty associated with the indicated power tilt.

The 2-hour time allowance for operation with a tilt condition greater than 1.02 is provided to allow identification and correction of a dropped or misaligned control rod. In the event such action does not correct the tilt, the margin for uncertainty on  $F_Q$  is reinstated by reducing the maximum allowed power by 3% for each percent of tilt in excess of 1.

For purposes of monitoring QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO when one excore detector is inoperable, the moveable incore detectors are used to confirm that the normalized symmetric power distribution is consistent with the QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO. The incore detector monitoring is done with a full incore flux map or two sets of four symmetric thimbles. The two sets of four symmetric thimbles if a unique set of eight detector locations. These locations are C-8, E-5, E-11, H-3, H-13, L-5, L-11, N-8.

#### 3/4.2.5 DNB PARAMETERS

The limits on the DNB-related parameters assure that each of the parameters are maintained within the normal steady-state envelope of operation assumed in the transient and accident analyses. The limits are consistent with the

SOUTH TEXAS - UNITS 1 & 2

B 3/4 2-5

Unit 1 - Amendment No. 27 Unit 2 - Amendment No. 17

#### POWER DISTRIBUTION L'MITS

#### BASES

### 3/4.2.5 DNB PARAMETERS (Continued)

initial FSAR assumptions and have been analytically demonstrated adequate to maintain a minimum DNBR of greater than or equal to the design limit throughout each analyzed transient. The T<sub>avg</sub> value of 598°F and the pressurizer pressure value of 2189 psig are analytical values. The readings from four channels will be averaged and then adjusted to account for measurement uncertainties before comparing with the required limit. The flow requirement (392,300 gpm) includes a measurement uncertainty of 2.8%.

Technical Specification 3.2.5 provides for an alternate minimum measured Reactor Coolant System flow limit consistent with plugging up to 10% of steam generator tubes and Departure from Nucleate Boiling requirements. When using the alternate minimum flow limit, the  $T_{avg}$  limit is reduced to 595°F for Reactor Coolant System flow no less than 380,500 gpm. Setpoint and constant values for OPAT and OTAT are also revised accordingly when this alternate mode of operation is entered.

The 12-hour periodic surveillance of these parameters through instrument readout is sufficient to ensure that the parameters are restored within their limits following load changes and other expected transient operation.

SOUTH TEXAS - UNITS 1 & 2