OP-100-012 Revision 0

6

1 3-18-58 Be

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 PURPOSE

- 2.0 REFERENCES
- 3.0 DEFINITIONS
- 4.0 RESPONSIBILITIES
- 5.0 PROCEDURE
- 6.0 ATTACEMENTS

6.1 Post Trip Review Cover and Turnover Sheet
6.2 Post Trip Review - Initial Conditions
6.3 Post Trip Review - Plant Response
6.4 Post Trip Review - Transient Data
6.5 Post Trip Review - Safety Assessment
6.6 Post Trip Review - Analysis and Evaluations by SS/CRS/STA
6.7 Post Trip Review - Notifications
6.8 Plant Personnel Statements

LIST OF EFFECTIVE PAGES

Title	Revision 0
1-14	Revision 0
1,13	CHANGE 1

OP-100-012 Revision 0

1.0 FURPOSE

The purpose of the Post Trip Review (PTR) is to document conditions prior to, during, and following an unscheduled Reactor Trip; to identify any malfunction or abnormality during the event; and to provide the necessary information in making the determination that the plant can be restarted safely. A Post Trip Review is a prerequisite for a reactor restart following an unscheduled reactor trip.

2.0 REFERENCES

2.1 NUREG - 1000, Vol. 1 Section 2.2

2.2 INPO - OP-211, Post-Trip Reviews

3.0 DEFINITIONS

- 3.1 CAUSE The root initiator of an event (usually an equipment malfunction or procedural or personnel error). When the cause is corrected the possibility of the event recurring is minimized.
- 3.2 REACTOR TRIP A manual or automatic insertion of control rods into the reactor core to interrupt the reactor's ability to sustain a chain reaction.
- 3.3 SEQUENCE OF EVENTS A hard copy display of the chronological sequence of major plant alarms, trips, and actuations.
- 3.4 TYPE I EVENT An event in which the cause of the reactor trip and malfunction of safety related and/or other important plant equipment was positively identified and corrected. In addition Technical Specification constraints were also positively identified. The Shift Supervisor, with Operations Superintendent concurrence, can make a restart decision in a Type I Event.

3.5 TYPE II EVENT - Any reactor trip that cannot be classified as a Type I Event. The Duty Plant Manager is responsible for a restart decision in a Type II Event.

4.0 RESPONSIBILITIES

- 4.1 DUTY PLANT MANAGER The Duty Plant Manager is responsible for evaluating the recommendations made by the personnel performing the trip investigation and for making the decision for a reactor restart following a Type II Event.
- 4.2 SHIFT SUPERVISOR The Shift Supervisor is responsible for safety assessment, review and approval of the PTR, and for making the decision for a reactor restart following a Type I Event, with the concurrence of the OSN.
- 4.3 SHIFT TECHNICAL ADVISOR (STA) The STA is responsible for collecting information and documenting the information on the PTR. The STA may consult plant personnel for their observation and/or participation in the unscheduled reactor trip event. The STA is also responsible for assisting the shift supervisor in identifying the cause(s) of a reactor trip.
- 4.4 CONTROL ROOM SUPERVISOR The Control Room Supervisor is responsible for assisting the STA in the reconstruction of the unscheduled reactor trip, if needed.
- 4.5 STA SUPERVISOR AND OPERATION SUPERINTENDENT The STA Supervisor and the Operations Superintendent are responsible for the review of the PTR if the cause of the reactor trip is not positively identified within 8 hours.

OP-100-012 Revision 0

5.0 PROCEDURE

- 5.1 The Post Trip Review shall not distract the Shift Supervisor, operating personnel, or STA from their primary responsibility of maintaining the plant in a safe condition.
- 5.2 Complete Attachment 6.1, Post Trip Review Cover and Turnover Sheet, as the other Post Trip Review Attachments and Reports are obtained or completed.
 - 5.2.1 The turnover portion of this attachment should be completed if any section of the Post Trip Review is incomplete at shift turnover.
 - 5.2.2 The on-shift STA and SS at the Post Trip Review completion should sign off on Attachment 6.1.
- 5.3 Plant conditions before the trip should be documented on Attachment 6.2, Post Trip Review - Initial Conditions.
- 5.4 Plant response during and after the trip should be documented on Attachment 6.3, Post Trip Review Plant Response.
 - 5.4.1 Any abnormal response of the plant should be explained in the comment section.
- 5.5 Obtain photographs or strip chart traces for the parameters listed on Attachment 6.4, Post Trip Review - Transient Data.
 - 5.5.1 Use the normal recorders listed on the attachment, if available, or note the substitute recorder used.

- 5.5.2 Determine and record the minimum and maximum parameter values during and immediately following the reactor trip.
- 5.5.3 If any of the parameters lie outside the normal range listed, give an explanation of the occurrence.
- 5.6 Complete Attachment 6.5, Post Trip Review Safety Assessment.
- 5.7 Attachment 6.5, Post Trip Review Analysis and Evaluations by SS/CRS/STA, should be completed with the concurrence of the CRS and SS.
 - 5.7.1 From the Sequence of Events or Computer Alarm History determine and report the type PPS trip, channels tripped, and time of trip. Include a brief explanation of events leading to the trip.
 - 5.7.2 Describe any abnormal behavior, follow up actions, or Technical Specification violations that may have occurred.
 - 5.7.3 Determine whether the event was Type I or Type II.
- 5.8 Complete Attachment 6.7, Post Trip Review Notifications, as the listed notifications are performed.
- 5.9 Obtain and submit written statements from plant personnel whose actions are important in developing an understanding of the event in accordance with Attachment 6.8.

OP-100-012 Revision 0

- 5.10 Obtain and submit any EOP Attachments, the Pre and Post Trip Primary Chemistry Reports, the Plant Monitoring Computer Sequence of Events and Post Trip Review, and the CPC Snapshot if the Shift Supervisor requests it.
- 5.11 Forward the completed Post Trip Review to the Event Analysis and Reporting Coordinator and a copy to the Independent Safety Engineering Group (ISEG) for review.

6.0 ATTACHMENTS

- 6.1 Post Trip Review Cover and Turnover Sheet
- 6.2 Post Trip Review Initial Conditions
- 6.3 Post Trip Review Plant Response
- 6.4 Post Trip Review Transient Data
- 6.5 Post Trip Review Safety Assessment
- 6.6 Post Trip Reveiw Analysis and Evaluations by SS/CRS/STA
- 6.7 Post Trip Review Notifications
- 6.8 Plant Personnel Statements

POST TRIP REVIEW COVER & TURNOVER SHEET

Trip No.	Trip Date/Time
(Next Sequential)	
STA During Trip	SS During Trip
STATUS OF POST TRIP REVIEW	
Attachments	Initials Comments
6.2 Initial Conditions	
6.3 Plant Response	
6.4 Transient Data	
6.5 PTR Safety Assessment	
6.6 Analysis and Evaluation	
6.7 Notifications	
Reports	
Statements obtained	
EOP Attachments	
Primary Chemistry (Pre Trip	
Primary Chemistry (Post Tri	ip)
PMC Sequence of Events	
PMC Post Trip Review	
CPC Snapshot (If SS Require	es)
TURNOVER	
Oncoming STA SS	Date/Time
Title	Status
All Attachments and Reports Con	
STA	SS
Date/Time	
OP-100-012 Revision 0	Attachment 6.1 (1 of 1)

POST-TRIP REVIEW - INITIAL	CONDITIONS
----------------------------	------------

PERCENT RX	POWER :		
GEN GROSS C	DUTPUT :		
(CIRCLE)		(CIRCLE)	
MAN/AUTO	MFW MASTER CONT. A	MAN/AUTO	MFW MASTER CONT. B
	MFW SPEED CONT. A		MFW SPEED CONT. B.
MAN/AUTO	MFW MAIN REG VALVE A	MAN/AUTO	MFW MAIN REG VALVE 1
	MFW BYPASS VALVE A	MAN/AUTO	
MAN/AUTO	PZR PRESS CONTROL	MAN/AUTO	PZR LEVEL CONTROL
MAN/AUTO	PZR HEATER CONTROL		PZR SPRAY CONTROL
A B	MFW PUMP OPERATING	A A/B B	CHARGING PUMPS ON
CEDMCS	STATUS		
SBCS			
3003	SIALUS		
RPC	STATUS		Detaíls)
RPC	STATUS		Details)
RPC OFF NORMAL ST RPS SIS	STATUS		Details)
RPC OFF NORMAL ST RPS SIS CSS	STATUS		Details)
RPC OFF NORMAL ST RPS SIS CSS CIS	STATUS		Details)
RPC OFF NORMAL ST RPS SIS CSS CIS EFW	STATUS		Details)
RPC OFF NORMAL ST RPS SIS CSS CIS EFW MSIS	STATUS		Details)
RPC OFF NORMAL ST RPS SIS CSS CIS EFW MSIS EDG	STATUS	MPONENT: (Give	
RPC OFF NORMAL ST RPS SIS CSS CIS EFW MSIS EDG	STATUS	MPONENT: (Give	
RPC OFF NORMAL ST RPS SIS CSS CIS EFW MSIS EDG EVOLUTIONS/TE	STATUS	MPONENT: (Give	

Trip No.

Trip Date/Time

Reactor Protection System:

Type Trip: Manu	al/Auto		All CEAS I	nserted: Yes/No
ESFAS Actuations Channe	1 (Circle)		Actuation	Time
	BCD			
EFAS 2 A	BCD			
	BCD			
	BCD			
CSAS A	BCD			
MSIS A	B C D			
Equipment Response	Trains	Responding		Actuation Time
HP Safety Injection System		AB		
LP Safety Injection System		AB		
Cntmt Spray System		AB		
Cntmt Isolation System		AB		- Management of the second sec
Emergency Feedwater		AB A/	В	
Emergency Diesel Generator		AB		
			Comments	
Did Turbine Trip Actuate	Yes/No			
Did PZR Heaters Respond Normally	Yes/No			
Did PZR Level Respond Normally	Yes/No			
Did PZR Spray Respond Normally	Yes/No			
Did PZR Code Safeties Lift	Yes/No			
Reset	Yes/No/NA			
Did SIT's Empty	Yes/No			
Did S/G Level Respond Normally	Yes/No			
Did S/G Press Respond Normally	Yes/No			
Did Main Stm Code Safeties Lift	Yes/No			
Reset	Yes/No/NA			
Unplanned Radiological Release	Yes/No			
Abnormal Radmonitor Indications	Yes/No			
Did Additional Chg Pumps Start	Yes/No	A	A/B B	
Reactor Power Cutback	Yes/No	Time:		
Initiating Event	_	Subgro	ups:	
AND MAN (ATTEC Charter and the Man				
Any MAN/AUTO Station put in MAN _				

OP-100-012 Revision 0

Attachment 6.3 (1 of 1)

Attach Parameter Recorder Plots or Plot Photographs

Parameter	Normal Recorder	Substitute Recorder	MAX	MIN	Requires Explanation
Reactor Power (Log)	ENI-IJR-0001				> 100%
Pressurizer Level	RC-ILR-0110	and the second		and the second second	< 15%, >55.6%
Pressurizer Pressure	RC-IPR-0100				the second se
RC Th 1	RC-ITR-0112-1			The second second second	< 1800 psia, >2275 psia
RC Th 2	RC-ITR-0112-2			and a state of the second s	$< 545^{\circ}F, > 611^{\circ}F$
RC Tc I	RC-ITR-0115				$< 545^{\circ}F, > 611^{\circ}F$
RC Tc 2	RC-ITR-0125	And the second sec			$< 545^{\circ}F, > 554^{\circ}F$
M.S. Pressure !	MS-1PR-0301A			and the second second	$< 545^{\circ}F, > 554^{\circ}F$
M.S. Pressure	MS-IPR-0301B		-		< 900 psia, > 1050 psia
			and the second second		< 900 psia, > 1050 psia
S/G Level 1	SG-1PR-1013A				< 15%, > 70% (NR)
S/G Level 2	SG-IPR-1023A				< 15%, > 70% (NR)
M.S. Flow 1	FW-1FR-1011				NA
M.S. Flow 2	FW-IFR-1021				NA
Subcool Margin	RC-IUR-0101-AS1				< 28°F

Explanations

ons

OP-100-012 Revision 0

Attachment 6.4 (1 of 1)

POST-TRIT PRVIEW - SAFETY ASSESSMENT

		(Ci	rc	le)
(a)	RCS pressure remained above setpoint for			
	automatic SI actuation	Yes	1	No
(b)	RCS pressure remained below setpoint for			
	P2R code safety valve actuation	Yes	1	No
(c)	RCS temperature decreases less than 100			
	deg. F per hour	Yes	1	No
(d)	Was reactor coolant contained within the			
	primary RCS and Quench Tank?	Yes	/	No
(e)	No indication no head voiding occurred	Yes	1	No
(f)	Were OP-902-000 and OP-902-001 the only			
	EOPs implemented	Yes	1	No
(g)	Did RCS activity remain within normal levels			
	after the trip	Yes	1	No

POST TRIP REVIEW - ANALYSIS AND EVALUATIONS BY SS/CRS/STA

CAUSE OF TRIP
PPS CHANNELS Time
SEQUENCE OF EVENTS
DESCRIBE ANY UNEXPECTED TRANSIENT BEHAVIOR OR ANY SYSTEMS/OR COMPONENTS
INADEQUATE PERFORMANCE. INCLUDE ANY DATA ACQUISTION PROBLEMS.
IDENTIFY ANY FOLLOW UP ACTION REQUIRED, INCLUDE CIS GENERATED.
LIST ANY TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS THAT WERE EXCEEDED.
EVENT CONDITION DETERMINATION (BY SS/CRS/STA)

WAS THE CAUSE OF THE REACTOR TRIP, MALFUNCTION OF SAFETY-RELATED AND/OR OTHER IMPORTANT PLANT EQUIPMENT POSITIVELY IDENTIFIED AND CORRECTED AND WERE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION CONSTRAINTS POSITIVELY IDENTIFIED?

(Circle)

Yes No

IF ANSWER IS YES, TYPE I EVENT IF ANSWER IS NO, TYPE II EVENT POST TRIP REVIEW - NOTIFICATIONS

WAS NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION NOTIFIED IN 1 HOUR? _____ 4 HOURS? _____

WAS DUTY PLANT MANAGER NOTIFIED

WERE THE STA SUPERVISOR AND OPERATIONS SUPERINTEDENT NOTIFIED IF THE CAUSE OF THE TRIP WAS NOT IDENTIFIED IN LESS THAN EIGHT HOURS?

HAS PERMISSION BEEN GRANTED BY SHIFT SUPERVISOR, WITH OPERATIONS SUPERINTENDENT CONCURRENCE, TO RESTART (TYPE I ONLY) IF ANY SAFETY LIMITS WERE "EXCEEDED, VERIFY THE ACTION OF T.S.6.7.1.d IS COMPLETED PRIOR TO RESUMING CRITICAL OPERATIONS.

OP-100-012 Revision 0

Attachment 6.7 (1 of 1)

1 CHE 1

SR

PLANT PERSONNEL STATEMENTS

Attach statements from personnel involved with the trip concerning the events that preceded and followed the trip. Each individual should submit a statement concerning the way he remembers the event.

Example:

Name:

Position:

For handwritten statements, include the plant conditions prior to the trip, your indications that a problem existed, your action as a result of those indications, noted equipment malfunctions or inadequacies, and any identified procedure deficiencies. Also, include any information you consider important to review this unscheduled reactor trip and actions to prevent recurrence.

Signature

Date/ Time