

ENCLOSURE 1
NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Duke Power Company
Catawba

Docket Nos. 50-413 and 50-414
License Nos. NPF-35 and NPF-52

During the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) inspection conducted on August 1-5, 1988 a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1988), the violation is listed below:

Catawba Technical Specification 6.2.3.1 states that the Catawba Safety Review Group (CSRG) shall function to examine plant operating characteristics, NRC issuances, industry advisories, reportable events, and other sources which may indicate areas for improving plant safety and shall make detailed recommendations for revised procedures, equipment modifications, or other means of improving plant safety to the director, Nuclear Safety Review Board.

Contrary to the above, no objective evidence exists that the CSRG reviews NRC issuances, industry advisories, or other sources which may indicate areas for improving plant safety in order to make detailed recommendations for revised procedures, equipment modifications, or other means of improving plant safety.

This is a Severity Level V violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Duke Power Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555, with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region II, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector, Catawba, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include: (1) admission or denial of the violation, (2) the reason for the violation if admitted, (3) the corrective steps which have been taken and the results achieved, (4) the corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further violations, and (5) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Caudle A. Julian

Caudle A. Julian, Chief
Operations Branch
Division of Reactor Safety

Dated at Atlanta, Georgia
this 2nd day of September 1988

8809130029 880902
PDR ADOCK 05007413
Q FED