Mr. Pedro B. Perez Associate Director Nuclear Reactor Program Department of Nuclear Engineering Campus Box 7909 Raleigh, NC 27695-7909

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (TAC NO. MA1936)

Dear Mr. Perez:

We are continuing our review of your amendment request for Facility License No. R-120 for the North Carolina State University PULSTAR Research Reactor which you submitted on May 7, 1998. During our review of your amendment request, questions arose for which we require additional information and clarification. Please provide responses to the enclosed request for additional information within 60 days of the date of this letter. In accordance with 10 CFR 50.30(b), your response must be executed in a signed original under oath or affirmation. Following receipt of the additional information, we will continue our evaluation of your amendment request.

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact me at (301) 415-1127.

Sincerely.

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:

Alexander Adams, Jr., Senior Project Manager Non-Power Reactors and Decommissioning Project Directorate Division of Reactor Program Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-297

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/enclosure: See next page

MAC FILE CENTER COPY

DISTRIBUTION:

E-MAIL **PDoyle TDragoun TMichaels SHolmes** WEresian

PDND:LA EHylton CTD 912198 9/2898

Plsaac PDND:PN AAdams:

TBurdick

SWeiss

CBassett

MMendonca

HARD COPY Docket File 50-297 **PUBLIC** OGC **DMatthews** GHill (2)

PDND:D \M

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY 9809300369 980928

SWeiss 7 48/98

JRoe

AAdams

EHylton

PDND r/f

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\SECY\ADAMS\297RAI1.13

Mr. Pedro B. Perez
Associate Director
Nuclear Reactor Program
Department of Nuclear Engineering
Campus Box 7909
Raleigh, NC 27695-7909

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (TAC NO. MA1936)

Dear Mr. Perez:

We are continuing our review of your amendment request for Facility License No. R-120 for the North Carolina State University PULSTAR Research Reactor which you submitted on May 7, 1998. During our review of your amendment request, questions arose for which we require additional information and clarification. Please provide responses to the enclosed request for additional information within 60 days of the date of this letter. In accordance with 10 CFR 50.30(b), your response must be executed in a signed original under oath or affirmation. Following receipt of the additional information, we will continue our evaluation of your amendment request.

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact me at (301) 415-1127.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:

Alexander Adams, Jr., Senior Project Manager Non-Power Reactors and Decommissioning Project Directorate Division of Reactor Program Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-297

Enclosure:

As stated

cc w/enclosure: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

E-MAIL HARD COPY **PDoyle TBurdick** Docket File 50-297 JRoe **TDragoun** MMendonca PUBLIC AAdams **TMichaels SWeiss** OGC EHylton **SHolmes** CBassett **DMatthews** PDND r/f WEresian Plsaac GHill (2)

PDND:LA
PDND:PN
AAdepts

PDND:D

SWeiss

912098

PDND:D

SWeiss

912098

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY DOCUMENT NAME: G:\SECY\ADAMS\297RAI1.13



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

September 28, 1998

Mr. Pedro B. Perez
Associate Director
Nuclear Reactor Program
Department of Nuclear Engineering
Campus Box 7909
Raleigh, NC 27695-7909

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (TAC NO. MA1936)

Dear Mr. Perez:

We are continuing our review of your amendment request for Facility License No. R-120 for the North Carolina State University PULSTAR Research Reactor which you submitted on May 7, 1998. During our review of your amendment request, questions arose for which we require additional information and clarification. Please provide responses to the enclosed request for additional information within 60 days of the date of this letter. In accordance with 10 CFR 50.30(b), your response must be executed in a signed original under oath or affirmation. Following receipt of the additional information, we will continue our evaluation of your amendment request.

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact me at (301) 415-1127.

Sincerely,

Alexander Adams, Jr., Senior Project Manager Non-Power Reactors and Decommissioning

Project Directorate

Division of Reactor Program Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Day our Setem

Docket No. 50-297

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/enclosure: See next page CC:

Department of Environmental Quality
Office of Grants
Management/Intergovernmental
Affairs
629 East Main Street, Sixth Floor
Richmond, Virginia 23219

Dr. William Vernetson
Director of Nuclear Facilities
Department of Nuclear Engineering
Sciences
University of Florida
202 Nuclear Sciences Center
Gainesville, Florida 32611

Dr. Rolan E. Hertel, Director Neely Nuclear Research Center Georgia Institute of Technology 900 Atlantic Drive, N.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30332

Mr. Pedro B. Perez, Associate Director Nuclear Reactor Program North Carolina State University P. O. Box 7909 Raleigh, North Carolina 27695-7909

Office of the Attorney General 101 North 8th Street Richmond, Virginia 23219

Bureau of Radiological Health
Dission of Health Hazards Control
109 Governor Street, Room 916
Richmond, Virginia 23219

Dr. Ralph O. Allen, Chairman Reactor Decommissioning Committee University of Virginia Environmental Health and Safety P.O. Box 3425 Charlottesville, Virginia 22903 Mr. Paul E. Benneche, Supervisor Nuclear Reactor Facility University of Virginia c/o Thornton Hall Charlottesville, Virginia 22903-2442

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY PULSTAR RESEARCH REACTOR DOCKET NO. 50-297

- 1. In addition to the requested change in the Technical Specifications (TSs) allowing beryllium reflectors, you have proposed deleting the required in TS 3.1.a. for "a five by five array configuration" in the fuel element geometry. However, you have provided no discussion or justification for this change. If you want to make this change to the TSs, please provide a safety analysis including impacts on reactor operations and on reactor safety including accident analysis.
- 2. In the discussion of possible radiation damage to the proposed beryllium reflectors, it is stated that they will be visually inspected on the same schedule as the graphite reflectors. However, because the TSs do not require such inspection, please provide information on the current basis for the graphite reflector inspection, including the schedule and the criteria for acceptable performance.