NRC For (9-83)	m 364				LIC	ENSE	E EVE	NT RE	PORT	(LER)			-	08Y COM	
FACILIT	Y NAME (			-							DOCKET NUMBER	(2)		FA	0E (S)
		CR	YSTAL	RIVER U	NIT 3					district and	0   5   0   0	1013	1012	1 0	015
TITLE IA	7.134	CORRE		SIGN INP A CONDI			LURE THE I				QUALIFY E	EQUIP	MENT.		
17	ENT DATE	(6)		LER NUMBER	(6)	RE	PORT DAT	E (7)		OTHER	FACILITIES INVO	VED (8)			
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIA	REVISION	MONTH	DAY	YEAR		FACILITYNA	MES	DOCKET NUMBER(S)			
										N/A		0   5	1010	101	1.1
0 8	0 5	8 8	8 8	- 0 1 5	- 00	0 9	0 2	8   8	N/A			0   5   0   0   0   1			
	RATING		THIS REP	ORT IS SUBMITT	TED PURSUANT	TO THE F	SOUIREM	ENTS OF 1	O CFR 9. 10	Check one or more	of the following) (11	1)			-
POWER LEVEL (10) 1 0 0		20.4 20.4 20.4	02(b) 08(a)(1)(i) 08(a)(1)(ii) 08(a)(1)(iii) 08(a)(1)(iv)	X	50.38/s 50.38/s 50.73/s 50.73/s	(4) (2) (2)(() (2)(()		50.73(a)(2)(iv) 50.73(a)(2)(vii) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B) 50.73(a)(2)(xii)(B)			73.71(b) 73.71(c) OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Rorm 366.A)			etract C Form	
NAME						ICENSEE	CONTACT	FOR THIS	LER (12)						_
AAME .	L	W.	MOFFA	IT, NUCL	EAR SAFE	TY SU	PERVI	SOR			AREA CODE		1 51 -	1614	1816
				COMPLETE	ONE LINE FUE	EACH C	OMPONENT	FAILURE	DESCRIBE	D IN THIS REPOR	AT (135				
CAUSE	SYSTEM	сомес	NENT	MANUFAC- TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPROS			CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC- TURER	REPOR	RTABLE MPROS		
	1														
				111						111					
				SUPPLEM	ENTAL REPORT	EXPECT	0 (14)				EXPECTE	10	MONTH	DAY	YEAR
X YES	(III yan, co	implete El	PECTED S	UBMISSION DAT	w)	-	7 40				SUBMISSIO DATE IN	ON	0.1	3 11	8.9

Crystal River Unit 3 was operating at 100% of full power on August 5, 1988. At 2145 the shift supervisor was notified by utility engineers that components associated with the Main Feedwater Startup Block Valves were not environmentally qualified. This constituted a condition outside the plant design basis.

0 1 1 3 11 8 19

When the initial list of environmentally qualified equipment was developed, incorrect design input led engineers to conclude that modifications to the Emergency Feedwater System would eliminate the need to environmentally qualify the Startup Block Valves. Therefore, the valves were subsequently removed from the list.

Following discovery of the condition, utility engineers investigated the components of concern. The engineers were able to determine by analysis that continued plant operation was justified.

Since the time of the initial failure to environmentally qualify the Startup Block Valves, better guidance has been developed to aid engineers in determination of environmental qualification requirements. This guidance is provided in engineering procedures, an Environmental Qualification program manual, and training programs.

> 8809080078 880902 PDR ADOCK 05000302

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 speces, i.e., approximately fifteen single spece typewritten lines) (16)

sv D		Eni	W	364	-
			200	nation of	•
-16-4	58.0				
1911	5.21				

#### LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
APPROVED DMB NO. 3150-0104

		EAZ (MES) (A/J1/85)								
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		PAGE (3)							
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION						
CRYSTAL RIVER UNIT 3	0 15 10 10 10 13 10 13	2 818	- 01115	-010	0 12	OF O	15			

TEXT (If more spece is required, use additional MRC Form 366A's) (17)

#### EVENT DESCRIPTION

Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was operating at 100% of full power on August 5, 1988. At 2145 the shift supervisor was notified by utility engineers that components associated with the Main Feedwater Startup Block Valves (tag numbers FWV-33 and FWV-36) [SJ,SHV] were not environmentally qualified. The components of concern were the motor operators [SJ,MO], motor starters [SJ,MSIR], valve control stations [SJ,XC], and terminal boxes [SJ,CON]. Lack of environmental qualification constituted a condition outside the plant design basis.

Crystal River 3 design incorporates two Main Feedwater [SJ] trains. Each train contains three parallel block valves sized for different flow capacities. (Please refer to Figure 1.) The Startup Block Valves supply feedwater during operations below 15% of full power. Block valves are normally opened and closed by the Integrated Control System [JA] during power increases and decreases. Block valves also receive commands to close from the Emergency Feedwater Initiation and Control (EFIC) system [BA] when it becomes necessary to isolate a Steam Generator (3G) [AB,BIR]. In order to isolate Main Feedwater, the EFIC system also trips the Main Feedwater Pump (MFWP) [SJ,P], and closes the crosstie and MFWP suction valves.

Initial compilation of the list of equipment requiring environmental qualification was completed in 1983 in response to NRC I&E Bulletin 79-01B. Many equipment modifications were made during the 1985 refueling outage in order to environmentally qualify plant equipment. Following the cutage, a consultant reviewed the list of environmentally qualified and safety related equipment. The review also included equipment which was initially considered for environmental qualification, but had been determined to not require such qualification. This study was deemed necessary by the utility, in order to assure that all plant equipment was properly classified with regard to environmental qualification requirements. The consultant submitted results of the study in 1986. The report contained several questions that the consultant was unable to resolve concerning the qualification requirements for certain equipment.

The original CR-3 Architect/Engineer (AE) reviewed the 1986 report in order to resolve the consultant's questions. The AE presented results of the review in 1987. Florida Power Corporation (FPC) engineers at the corporate office examined these results in March and April of 1988. Their examination raised questions concerning certain items of equipment. Valves FW-30 and FW-36 were among these items. A list of the questionable items was submitted to the AE in May of 1988. The AE's response received in June of 1988, stated the need to environmentally qualify FW-33 and FW-36. Utility engineers assessed the environmental qualification problems and initiated plans for work necessary to qualify the Startup Block Valves. At this time, this lack of environmental qualification was not identified as a condition outside the plant design basis.

Form 366A

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104

							EXPIRES 8/31/85					
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)					PAGE (3)					
COVETAL DIVER LINES		YEAR	AR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		RÉVISION NUMBER		T	T				
CRYSTAL RIVER UNIT 3	0   5   0   0   0   3   0   2	8   8	_	0 11 15	-010	0 13	0	0	15			

Utility engineers also evaluated actions suggested by NRC Generic Letter 88-07 (Modified Enforcement Policy Relating to 10CFR50.49). The Generic Letter provided guidance regarding actions to be taken if equipment was determined to be inoperable due to lack of environmental qualification. For equipment not declared inoperable, the letter directed licensees to evaluate the reportability of the lack of environmental qualification. The Startup Block Valves were not declared inoperable, and were not addressed by Technical Specifications. Therefore, utility engineers did not initially identify that lack of environmental qualification for FWV-33 and FWV-36 constituted a potentially reportable condition. The engineers proceeded with their corrective action plans.

On August 4, 1988, additional questions were raised concerning the necessity of the work planned for FWV-33 and FWV-36. Discussions between the AE and utility engineers confirmed that the Startup Block Valves must be environmentally qualified, and determined that the lack of environmental qualification constituted a condition outside the plant design basis. Utility engineers then promptly notified plant personnel of the condition. Reports required by 10CFR50.72 were made at that time.

#### CAUSE

The initial list of environmentally qualified equipment was developed in response to NRC I&E Bulletin 79-01B and included FWV-33 and FWV-36. When the list was later refined, engineers had received information indicating that the EFIC system would perform functions that would eliminate the need to environmentally qualify the Startup Block Valves. Based on this input, the valves were removed from the environmental qualification list. This input was later found to be incorrect.

#### EVENT ANALYSIS

Valves FWV-33 and FWV-36 are located in the CR-3 Intermediate Building. This building also houses the Emergency Feedwater Pumps [BA,P], and portions of the Main Steam Lines [SB] and Main Feedwater lines. A High Energy Line Break (HELB) (rupture of a main steam line, feedwater line, or steam supply line to the turbine driven Emergency Feedwater Pump [BA,F]) would cause a harsh environment, as defined in 10CFR50.49, "Environmental Qualification of Electrical Equipment," to exist in the Intermediate Building. A HELB would also cause the EFIC system to isolate the Steam Generator affected by the failure.

Two factors mitigate the effect of a harsh environment on the Startup Block Valves. First, the onl, function of the block valves following a HELB is to close. The valves are required to close within 34 seconds of receiving a command to close, and have exhibited closure times less than 25 seconds during surveillance testing. Second, the harsh environment in the Intermediate Building would exist for only a few minutes. The mass of metal components of each valve operator is large enough to prevent rapid heatup. Therefore, it is not likely that a HELB would prevent the Startup Block Valves from closing within the required time.

-16 Form 366A 3-831	LICENSEE EVENT RE	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED DMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 8/31/85					
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUM	BER (6)	PAGE (3)		
			YEAR SEQUE	NTIAL REVISION			
CRYST	AL RIVER UNIT 3	0   5   0   0   0   3   0   2	8 8 - 0 1	15 - 00	0 14 OF 0 15		

Even if the harsh environment prevented closure of the Startup Block Valve associated with the affected Steam Generator, feedwater isolation would be accomplished by closing the MFWP Suction Valve and tripping the MFWP. However, if the associated MFWP suction valve failed to close, the Feedwater Booster Pump (FWBP) [SJ,P] could continue to feed the affected Steam Generator. Additional feedwater flow would worsen the consequences of a HELB.

Several factors reduce the risk associated with this scenario. Such a scenario is a low probability event, requiring multiple equipment failures, as well as failures of operators to take appropriate actions. Following a HETB, the FWBP's would not be able to feed the affected Steam Generator until SG pressure decreased to approximately 250 psig or less. Therefore, operators would be able to take actions to trip the FWBP's and terminate feeding of the affected Steam Generator before significant addition of feedwater occurred.

#### CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

Following discovery of the lack of environmental qualification for the Startup Block Valves, FPC engineers performed an investigation of the components of concern. Engineers were able to gather sufficient data to qualify components by analysis. Although qualification by analysis was not sufficient to satisfy the requirements of 10CFR50.49, such qualification did support the justification for continued operation.

All nonqualified components associated with the Startup Block Valves will be replaced with environmentally qualified parts. Due to availability difficulties with replacement parts, a schedule for the replacement can not be determined at this time. A schedule will be provided in a supplement to this report by January 31, 1989.

Review of the questionable items identified by FPC engineers in May 1988 has been completed. Additional items from the list have been identified as requiring environmental qualification. A separate report will be issued concerning these additional items.

The Startup Block Valves were not environmentally qualified due to incorrect design input data. The error was made during the developmental phase of the environmental qualification program. Since the time the error was made, better guidance has been developed to aid engineers in determination of environmental qualification requirements. Such guidance is provided in engineering procedures, the Environmental Qualification Manual, and in environmental qualification training programs for utility engineers. Also, FPC has recently developed procedural guidelines for evaluating conditions which may affect the plant design basis.

### PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENIS

This is the first LER reporting a condition outside of the plant design basis due to lack of environmental qualification.

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION LICENSES EVENT KAPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION APPROVED OMB NO 3150-0104 EXPIRES 8/31/85 DOCKET NUMBER (2) LEA NUMBER (6) PAGE (3) SE JUENTIAL NUMBER YEAR CRYSTAL RIVER UNIT 3 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 8 0 | 1 | 5 -010 015 OF 0 15 TEXT Iff more spece is required, use additioned MRC Form 366A's/ (17)

\*\*



# Florida Power

September 2, 1988 3F0988-04

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555

Subject: Crystal River Unit 3 Docket No. 50-302

Operating License No. DPR-72

Licensee Event Report No. 88-015-00

Dear Sir:

Enclosed is Licensee Event Report (LER) 88-015-00 which is submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73.

Should there be any questions, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

Rolf C. Widell

Director, Nuclear Operations Site Support

WLR: mag

Enclosure

xc: Regional Administrator, Region II Senior Resident Inspector

JE35