SIXTH QUARTERLY REPORT CEI SEISMIC MONITORING NETWORK JANUARY 1 THROUGH MARCH 31, 1988

Prepared for CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY

APRIL 1988



8805110122 880506 PDR ADOCK 05000440 R DCD

1.1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST	OF TABLE	i
LIST	OF FIGURES	ii
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	SEISMIC NETWORK	1
3.0	OBSERVED SEISMICITY	1
	 3.1 Epicentral Area of January 31, 1986 3.2 The Corridor Between the January 31, 1986 Epicenter and the Injection Wells 3.3 Other Events Recorded by AUTOSTAR 	1
4.0	DISCUSSION	2
5.0	CONCLUSION	4
TABLE	Ε	

FI	GU	JRE	ES	

12331

APPENDIX A Figure Al

Page

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1 Microearthquakes in the Corridor Between the Injection Wells and the Main Shock

1444

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	1	Telemetered Network Station Configuration
FIGURE	2	Recorded Signals for the January 16, 1988 Microearthquake
FIGURE	3	Recorded Signals for the January 17, 1988 Microearthquake
FIGURE	4	Recorded Signals for the February 5, 1988 Microearthquake
FIGURE	5	Seismicity for the Period of January 1 to March 31, 1988
FIGURE	6	Cumulative Seismicity

Weston Geophysical

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1. 2 3

In accordance with its agreement with the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Cleveland Electric Illuminating [CEI] continues to monitor the seismic activity in a restricted region of Northeastern Ohio, encompassing the site of the Perry Nuclear Power Plant, two deep injection wells operated by CALHIO, and the epicentral area of the January 31, 1986 earthquake. This sixth Quarterly Report covers the period from January 1 to March 31, 1988. An update of the injection data is also provided in Appendix A.

2.0 SEISMIC NETWORK

During this period, the Automated Seismic Telemetering and Recording System [AUTOSTAR], fully described in the third Quarterly Report, has performed in a very reliable manner. The downtime was minimal, less than five percent; usually caused by noise on one of the five telephone lines. Figure 1 shows the station locations around the area of interest.

3.0 OBSERVED SEISMICITY

3.1 Epicentral Area of January 31, 1986

There was no earthquake activity within the epicentral area during the present quarter. The last event occurred on February 12, 1987.

3.2 <u>The Corridor Between the January 3.</u>, 1986 Epicenter and the Injection Wells

During this quarter, only three microearthquakes $[M_c = 1.8, 0.5, and 0.5]$ triggered the digital system. These events occurred on January 16, 17, and February 5, 1988, respectively. A close examination of the analog seismograms reveals five other smaller events, all with coda magnitudes less than 0. Two of them, apparently foreshocks,

occurred within an hour before the $M_c = 1.8$ January 16 microearthquake. The other three followed the first aftershock of January 17, within twelve hours. These events are too small to be independently located using phase arrival times. In view of their close occurrences in time and the apparent similarity of the S-P interval at the closest station, about 0.5 sec, a common origin with the main shock of January 16, 1988 is currently assumed.

Figures 2, 3, and 4 show the 15 seismic signals recorded for each of the three events that triggered. The calculated locations are plotted on Figure 5. Table 1 presents an updated list of the location parameters of all events located in the corridor since 1986; Figure 6 illustrates the cumulative seismicity observed in the area of interest, including the January 31, 1986 sequence.

3.3 Other Events Recorded by AUTOSTAR

During the period covered, AUTOSTAR triggered on several local and regional quarry blasts. It also recorded on March 31, at $16^h 30^m$, a small earthquake with an approximate magnitude $M_c = 2.8 \pm 0.2$. Ten good phase arrival times were provided by CEI to John Carroll Observatory. A preliminary location obtained by Rev. W. Ott, S.J., places the epicenter at 41° 18.88 and 81° 02.88, in the vicinity of Nelson, Ohio, approximately 40 Km south of the January 31, 1986 epicenter. An uncertainty of several kilometers should be attached to the location to account for a model and configuration bias.

4.0 DISCUSSION

The seismicity observed during this quarter is consistent with the previous rate and spatial distribution. The occurrences are sporadic instead of regularly spaced, but the long term average of one event per month $[\pm 0.1]$ is maintained and the activity was centered at the northern end of the epicentral cluster.

1 . 4 ;

An interesting sequence of microshocks was observed on January 16 and 17. Within 15 hours, seven microearthquakes occurred; the two largest ones, $M_c = 1.8$ and 0.5 were recorded digitally; the five others with estimated M = -0.6 could only be seen on the analog seismograms of C the two closest stations, FORD and SCH at epicentral distances of 2.5 and 3.8 km. It must be stressed that these extremely small events, with a coda of about 3 sec, are almost at the limit, if not beyond, of what can be identified with confidence as seismic.

The January 16, 1988 event is the largest [$M_c = 1.8$] to occur within the array. On May 1, 1987, an event with M = 1.3 had been located no more than one half kilometer away to the east of the January 16, 1988 microearthquake [see Figure 6]. This May 1, 1987 event, illustrated on Figure 8 of the Third Quarterly Report, had also one foreshock $[M_{c} = -.6]$, in the preceeding minute, and was followed by two small events on May 2, 1987. In the discussion of these four events, it was then suggested that they could well be from the same origin, although individual locations had been calculated at that time, given that additional MEQ-800 data were available. Considering that the January 16, main shock and January 17, 1988 principal aftershock, both recorded digitally, yield almost identical epicentral solutions, it now seems more likely that all four events of May 1 and 2, 1987 were parts of a single sequence and from the same source, about half kilometer to the east of the recent January 1988 events. It is felt that mixing digital data with MEQ-800 data and their individual time correction and poorer resolution, may have caused the apparent opicentral scatter for the May 1987 events.

The occurrence of these two similar and closely located sequences, within eight months, suggests a common mechanism, whatever it might be, purely tectonic or possibly induced. At this time, we can only notice that the January 1988 sequence occurred approximately four days after injection at the Calhio well number 1 had resumed, while in May 1987, the sequence occurred several days after injection at the same

1. 1. 1. 1

• 3 •

well had stopped. This difference does not rule out the possible influence of injection, since both variations in pressure, positive or negative, can result in induced activity.

As suggested in previous reports, it is only through reliable data, patiently accumulated over a reasonable time period, that a true understanding of these complex problems will be reached.

5.0 CONCLUSION

1 5 4 3

During this quarter, three microearthquakes were digitally recorded by AUTOSTAR. The January 16, 1988 event is the largest (Mc=1.8) to occur within the array. The availability of analog seismograms has made it possible to identify the occurrence of a small seismic sequence associated with this event which is similar to one recorded in May last year. The identification of interesting characteristics of these two sequences suggests that gradual progress in the understanding of this localized microactivity is being realized. The nature of the seismic activity, tectonic or induced, is still under evaluation.

. 4 .

TABLE

*

TABLE 1

MICROEARTHQUAKES IN THE CERRIDOR BETWEEN THE INJECTION WELLS AND THE MAIN SHOCK

YEAD	-	HRMISEC	LAT.N	LONG.W	D	RMS	EM	EZ	NP	NS	GAP	MC	50
				41 1707	2.0	0.06	0.7	0.4	10	6	216	3	GS
1986	03 1	2 085526-6	41.1212	21 1091	2.3	0.04	0.3	0.4	11	6	174	• 3	WG
1986	09 21	8 103604-2	41.1241	01.1453	3.0	0.07	1.7	2.0	6	4	337	6	WG
1986	10 2	0 105944.7	41.7587	01.1435	2.9	0.07	2.7	1.5	6	3	221	2	WG
1996	10 2	7 122555.5	41.7435	01.0944	1.8	0.06	0.5	0.5	7	5	145	.3	WG
1986	11 0	3 035449.6	41.7098	81.1292	2 1	0.07	0.6	5.8	7	5	188	2	WG
1986	12 0	1 050317.5	41.7120	81.1195	2.1	0.04	8.5	6.7	6	3	306	.3	WG
1986	12 2	4 093733.9	41.7487	81.2392	1.0	0.04	0.3	0.5	10	6	174	.6	NG
1987	010	2 024114.3	41.7472	81.1027	2.5	0.03	0.4	0.7	8	5	199	7	WG
1987	01 2	8 235829.8	41.7299	81.0976	2.1	0.03	0.1	0.3	10	7	100	.5	WG
1987	02 2	3 114556.4	41.7:04	31.1197	2.0	0.03	1 0	1.7	7	4	239	4	WG
1987	02 2	8 204644.5	41.7451	81.0932	2.4	0.01	0.3	0.2	7	4	196	6	WG
1987	05 0	1 211332.3	41.7456	81.0872	1.9	0.00	0.5	0.8	15	9	100	1.3	WG
1987	05 0	1 211352.1	41.7466	81.0921	2.4	0.08	0.2	3 0	6	4	174	6	NG
198	1 05 3	2 183307.7	41.7475	81.0932	2.0	0.02	0.1	0.6	14	8	115	.4	WG
108	7 05 0	2 202526.5	41.7424	81.0889	2.7	0.38	0.3	0.0		s	166	2	96
109	7 07 3	034835.2	41.7:92	81.1037	2.7	0.07	0.1	1.1		6	133	1	NG
100	7 08	5 052637-7	41.6994	81.1472	2.8	0.06	0.4	1.0			166	6	WG
100	7 30	0 000610-4	41.74 30	81.1030	1.9	0.04	0	5 0.4			190	7	MG
190	7 10	14 195974-8	41. 12 50	81.1318	3.4	0.04	1.0	5 0.1			120	- 1	MG
130	7 10	22 026918-9	41. 5589	81.1447	2.2	0.04	0	2 3.8		y)	120		NGO
195		14 222403.	41.747	81.098						2		- 6	NG
198	8 01	10 2224034	41.747	81.098				- G					HC
198	9 01	10 223010.	41.7474	81.0991	2.0	0.05	0.	5 0.3	- L	9 5	185	1.5	
198	8 01	10 231104.4	41.7467	91.0997	1.9	6.06	0.	5 0.3	1	0 5	180	0.5	
198	8 01	17 024821	41.747	81.098						3		0	
198	8 01	11 092230.	41 747	91.098						2			
198	18 01	11 092400.	41 747	81.098						2		- 01	5 66
198	18 01 18 02	35 155837.0	41.7251	81.0907	2.0	0.04	с.	4 0.2	1	0 5	195	0.9	> WG

REVISED 04-20-88

* LOCATION INFERRED

FIGURES

a 1

.0

.

2

1



1879	16 23:17:04.782036956mv	-11
	How and allowed the second	NORMALIZE
ANZ	- And the of a house of a low a second as a se	
ANX	HAR	
ANY		
scz	- temas platities and as more and as more and as a second as a	
SCX		
SCY	- france	
FOZ	- prosperition of the second s	
FOX	ppusan	
FOY		
WIZ		*****
WIX		lagerships-doversedpropsed
WIY		ebend washer an and warded
RAZ		ty utu canke maja nya ngagi ngalingi ng mbu
RAX		
RAY	Mannen	

TIME (Sec)



<u>17 2:48:21.439</u> .035764mv 1881 NORMALIZE Palin with the state of a state of a hourses and surgery and ANZ and a set of the set o ANX ANY SCZ SCX SCY An all the second FOZ FOX FOY and a fail a spectra with a fail a draw a second and a second a s WIZ CALLER PLANE AND A COMPANY AND PARTY AND A COMPANY AND A manner m WIX the first applied to the second of the secon samples W WIY and the first of the state with the second and the RAZ have RAX Man Mann RAY



TIME (Sec)

4.0

1. 1. 1. 1

1971	<u>36 15:58:37,137058414my</u>
ANZ	
АИХ	man with the fight the sold provide a second and a second and the
ANY	an man an a
SCZ	
SCX	
SCY	- farma
FOZ	- Helphanter
FOX	
FOY	
WIX	mannenersessanderstander
WIY	
RAZ	
RAX	
RAY	

Strat 1

10 TIME (Sec)







APPENDIX A

St :



Solid lines represent data from Calhio well #1 Dashed lines represent data from Calhio well #2 Injection well volume data from Calhio Chemical

. . !

. *

PNPP	PERRY NUCLEAR POWER PLANT THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY
D	AILY INJECTION VOLUME
AN	D OBSERVED SEISMICITY
	1988
	Figure A1