

APPENDIX A

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Sacramento Municipal Utility District  
Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station

Docket No. 50-312  
License No. DPR-54

During an NRC inspection conducted on July 19-22, 1988, one violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1988), the violation is listed below:

- A. Technical Specification 6.11 requires that procedures for personnel radiation protection shall be prepared consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 20 and shall be approved, maintained, and adhered to for all operations involving personnel radiation exposure.

The licensee's Radiation Protection Manual, established pursuant to the above Technical Specification, procedure RP-305, Article 2 states, in part, "An example of responsibilities of all radiation workers follows: ... 3. Wear TLD/film badges when required by procedure, RWP or radiation Protection Personnel ...." Procedure RP 305.4 Section 6.6.2 states, in part, "It is the responsibility of all personnel using an RWP to familiarize themselves with radiological conditions listed, protective clothing, dosimetry required ...."

Contrary to the above, on July 20, 1988, an individual did not wear a TLD badge required by RWP No. 88-021A while working in the grade level decor room.

This is a Severity Level IV Violation (Supplement IV).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Sacramento Municipal Utility District is hereby required to submit a written statement of explanation to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region V, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice. This reply should be clearly marked as "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation if admitted, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified,

suspended, or revoked or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Consideration may be given to extending the response time for good cause shown.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

G.P. Yuhas

G. P. Yuhas, Chief  
Emergency Preparedness and  
Radiological Protection Branch

Dated this 16<sup>th</sup> day of Aug, 1988  
at Walnut Creek, California