



Log # TXX-98208
 File # 10010
 916
 Ref. # 10 CFR 50.90
 10 CFR 50.36

C. Lance Terry
*Senior Vice President
 & Principal Nuclear Officer*

September 24, 1998

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
 Attn: Document Control Desk
 Washington, DC 20555

**SUBJECT: COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION (CPSES)
 DOCKET NOS. 50-445 AND 50-446
 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION CONVERSION APPLICATION
 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR SECTIONS 3.4 AND 5.0**

- REF:** 1) TU Electric letter, logged TXX-97105, from C. L. Terry to the NRC dated May 15, 1997
- 2) NRC letter from T. A. Polich to C. L. Terry dated July 22, 1998, concerning section 3.4
- 3) NRC letter from T. A. Polich to C. L. Terry dated July 15, 1998, concerning section 5.0

Gentlemen:

TU Electric requested an amendment to the CPSES Unit 1 facility operating license (NPF-87) and Unit 2 facility operating license (NPF-89) by incorporating changes to the CPSES Units 1 and 2 Technical Specifications (TS) as provided in reference 1. The NRC staff requested additional information regarding Section 3.4, "Reactor Coolant System (RCS)," and Section 5.0, "Administrative Controls," of the proposed TS changes in references 2 and 3. The requested information is provided in the attachment to this letter as are any additional changes needed for Sections 3.4 and 5.0 as identified by TU Electric.

This letter and the attachment are not a supplement to reference 1. A supplement to reference 1 will be provided at a later date. Any deviations from the responses provided in this letter will be discussed in the supplement.

The only commitment contained in this letter is to provide a supplement to reference 1 at a later date.

9809280032 980924
 PDR ADOCK 05000445
 P PDR

//
 Dφ29

If you have any questions concerning the content of this letter, please contact Mr. Bob Dacko (254-897-0122).

Sincerely,

C. L. Terry
C. L. Terry

By: *Roger D. Walker*
Roger D. Walker
Regulatory Affairs Manager

BSD/bd

- Attachment 1. Section 3.4, "Reactor Coolant System (RCS)"
2. Section 5.0, "Administrative Controls"

c- E. W. Merschoff, Region IV
J. I. Tapia, Region IV
Resident Inspectors, CPSES
T. J. Polich, NRR
J. N. Donohew, NRR

Mr. Arthur C. Tate
Bureau of Radiation Control
Texas Department of Public Health
1100 West 49th Street
Austin, Texas 78704

JLS CONVERSION TO IMPROVED TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

CTS 3.4 - REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

ITS 3.4 - REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND LICENSEE INITIATED
ADDITIONAL CHANGES**

INDEX OF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

<u>ADDITIONAL INFORMATION NUMBER</u>	<u>APPLICABILITY</u>	<u>ENCLOSED</u>
3.4.Gen-1	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
3.4.1-1	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
3.4.1-2	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
3.4.1-3	DC	NA
3.4.2-1	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
3.4.3-1	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
3.4.4-1	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
3.4.5-1	CA, WC	NA
3.4.5-2	CA, WC	NA
3.4.5-3	CA, WC	NA
3.4.5-4	CP	YES
3.4.6-1	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
3.4.6-2	DC	NA
3.4.7-1	WC	NA
3.4.7-2	WC	NA
3.4.7-3	CA	NA
3.4.8-1	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
3.4.8-2	DC	NA
3.4.9-1	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
3.4.9-2	CA	NA
3.4.9-3	CP, DC, WC	YES
3.4.9-4	DC	NA
3.4.10-1	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
3.4.11-1	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
3.4.11-2	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
3.4.11-3	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
3.4.11-4	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
3.4.11-5	WC	NA
3.4.11-6	CA, CP, WC	YES
3.4.12-1	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
3.4.12-2	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
3.4.12-3	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
3.4.12-4	CA, CP, WC	YES
3.4.12-5	CA, WC	NA
3.4.12-6	DC	NA
3.4.12-7	CP	YES
3.4.12-8	CP	YES
3.4.13-1	DC, WC	NA
3.4.13-2	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
3.4.13-3	CA, CP, WC	YES
3.4.13-4	CP, DC	YES
3.4.13-5	DC	NA

**INDEX OF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
(cont.)**

<u>ADDITIONAL INFORMATION NUMBER</u>	<u>APPLICABILITY</u>	<u>ENCLOSED</u>
3.4.13-6	CA	NA
3.4.14-1	CA, CP, WC	YES
3.4.14-2	CP, DC, WC	YES
3.4.14-3	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
3.4.14-4	CA, WC	NA
3.4.14-5	DC, WC	NA
3.4.15-1	CA, DC, WC	NA
3.4.15-2	CA, WC	NA
3.4.15-3	WC	NA
3.4.15-4	CP, DC	YES
3.4.15-5	DC	NA
3.4.16-1	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
3.4.16-2	WC	NA
3.4.16-3	WC	NA
3.4.G-1	CP	YES
CA 3.4-002	CA	NA
CA 3.4-003	CA	NA
CA 3.4-004	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
CP 3.4-004	CP	YES
DC 3.4-ED	DC	NA
DC ALL-001 (3.4 changes only)	DC	NA
DC ALL-002 (3.4 changes only)	DC	NA
DC ALL-005 (3.4 changes only)	DC	NA
DC 3.4-003	DC	NA
TR 3.4-004	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
TR 3.4-005	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
TR 3.4-006	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
TR 3.4-009	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
WC 3.4-001	WC, CP	YES
WC 3.4-002	CA, CP, DC, WC	YES
WC 3.4-004	WC	NA
WC 3.4-006	WC	NA
WC 3.4-007	WC, CA, DC	NA
WC 3.4-008	WC, CA	NA
WC 3.4-009	WC	NA
WC 3.4-010	WC, CA	NA

JOINT LICENSING SUBCOMMITTEE METHODOLOGY FOR PROVIDING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The following methodology is followed for submitting additional information:

1. Each licensee is submitting a separate response for each section.
2. If an RAI does not apply to a licensee (i.e., does not actually impact the information that defines the technical specification change for that licensee), "NA" has been entered in the index column labeled "ENCLOSED" and no information is provided in the response for that licensee.
3. If a licensee initiated change does not apply, "NA" has been entered in the index column labeled "ENCLOSED" and no information is provided in the response for that licensee.
4. The common portions of the "Additional Information Cover Sheets:" are identical, except for brackets, where applicable (using the same methodology used in enclosures 3A, 3B, 4, 6A and 6B of the conversion submittals). The list of attached pages will vary to match the licensee specific conversion submittals. A licensee's FLOG response may not address all applicable plants where there is insufficient similarity in the plant specific responses to justify their inclusion in each submittal. In those cases, the response will be prefaced with a heading such as "PLANT SPECIFIC DISCUSSION."
5. Changes are indicated using the redline/strikeout tool of WordPerfect or by using a hand markup that indicates insertions and deletions. If the area being revised is not clear, the affected portion of the page is circled. The markup techniques vary as necessary, based on the specifics of the area being changed and the complexity of the changes, to provide the clearest possible indication of the changes.
6. A marginal note (the Additional Information Number from the index) is added in the right margin of each page being changed, adjacent to the area being changed, to identify the source of each change.
7. Some changes are not applicable to one licensee but still require changes to the Tables provided in Enclosures 3A, 3B, 4, 6A, and 6B of the original license amendment request to reflect the changes being made by one or more of the other licensees. These changes are not included in the additional information for the licensee to which the change does not apply, as the changes are only for consistency, do not technically affect the request for that licensee, and are being provided in the additional information being provided by the licensees for which the change is applicable. The complete set of changes for the license amendment request will be provided in a licensing amendment request supplement to be provided later.

**JOINT LICENSING SUBCOMMITTEE METHODOLOGY FOR
PROVIDING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

(continued)

8. The item numbers are formatted as follows: [Source] [ITS Section]-[nnn]

Source = Q - NRC Question	CA - AmerenUE	DC - PG&E
WC - WCNOG	CP - TU Electric	TR - Traveler

ITS Section = The ITS section associated with the item (e.g., 3.3). If all sections are potentially impacted by a broad change or set of changes, "ALL" is used for the section number.

nnn = a three digit sequential number or ED (ED indicates editorial correction with no impact on meaning)

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION COVER SHEET

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION NO: 3.4.G-1

APPLICABILITY: CA, CP, DC, WC

REQUEST: ITS 3.4.x Bases

General

There have been a number of instances that the specific changes to the STS Bases are not properly identified with redline or strikeout marks.

Comment: Perform an audit of all STS Bases markups and identify instances where additions and/or deletions of Bases were not properly identified in the original submittal.

FLOG response: The submitted ITS Bases markups for Section 3.4 have been compared to the STS Bases. Some differences that were identified were in accordance with the markup methodologies (e.g., deletion of brackets and reviewer's notes). Most of the differences were editorial in nature and would not have affected the review. Examples of editorial changes are:

- 1) Capitalizing a letter with only a "redline" but not striking out the lower case letter that it replaced.
- 2) Changing a verb from singular to plural by adding an "s" without "redlining" the "s".
- 3) Deleting instead of striking-out the A, B, C,.. etc. following a specification title (e.g., SR3.6.6A.7).
- 4) Changing a bracketed reference (in the reference section) with only a "redline" for the new reference but failing to include the strike-out of the old reference.
- 5) In some instances the brackets were retained (and struck-out) but the unchanged text within the brackets was not redlined.
- 6) Not redlining a title of a bracketed section. The methodology calls for the section title to be redlined when an entire section was bracketed.
- 7) Additional text not contained in the STS Bases was added to the ITS Bases by the lead FLOG member during the development of the submittal. Once it was determined to not be applicable, the text was then struck-out and remains in the ITS Bases mark-up.

Differences of the above editorial nature will not be provided as attachments to this response. The pages requiring changes that are more than editorial and are not consistent with the markup methodology are attached.

ATTACHED PAGES:

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| B 3.4-46 | The STS bracketed "three" should have been redlined. |
| B 3.4-56 | The STS bracketed "18" should have been redlined. |
| B 3.4-58, 61 and 64 | The STS HPI pumps were incorrectly struckout and the plant specific charging pump usage was incorrectly redlined. |
| B 3.4.68 | The STS bracketed "SR 3.4.12.2" should have been redlined. |
| B 3.4-75 | The STS bracketed "500" should have been redlined. |
| B 3.4-81 | The STS bracketed phrases (2 locations) should have been redlined. |
| B 3.4-84 | The STS bracketed "425" should have been struckout instead of deleted. |
| B 3.4-85 | The STS bracketed phrase should have been redlined and the bracketed "is or" should have been struckout instead of deleted. |
| B 3.4-90 | The STS bracketed "C" should have been redlined. |