TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE 37401
5N 157B Lookout Place

AUG 25 1988

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

In the Matter of)
Tennessee Valley Authority)

Docket Nos. 50-259 50-260 50-296

BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT (BFN) - RESPONSE TO INQUIRY BY NRC STAFF CONCERNING THE DISPOSITION OF WELD NUMBER DSRHR-2-5A AS A RESULT OF RADIOGRAPH INDICATIONS

During a meeting between the NRC staff and TVA held on May 11, 1988, concerning Generic Letter 88-01, "NRC position on IGSCC in BWR Austenitic Stainless Steel piping," a question was raised concerning the radiograph indications found in weld number DSRHR-2-5A. The purpose of this letter is to provide information concerning the indications and resulting action taken.

TVA responded to Generic Letter 84-11, "Inspections of BWR Stainless Steel piping," by letter dated December 31, 1987. In this submittal, it was reported that weld DSRHR-2-5A had been radiographed and that the radiography had revealed two linear indications, located 0.2 and 0.6-inch below the outside surface weld. Supplemental ultrasonic examinations (UT) of the weld determined that the indications did not originate at the inside surface of the weld but were located between weld layers. It was concluded that the indications were not Intergranular Stress Corrosion Cracking (IGSCC) but instead were lack of fusion between layers, with no measurable through wall dimension. The December letter stated that the weld would be left "as is".

During the course of the meeting on May 11, 1988, NRC staff asked whether the indications, with regard to weld DSRHR-2-5A, were allowable by the ASME code, and if not, what technical basis was used to allow continued operation with this weld. In response to this question, TVA is providing the following information.

The discovery and disposition of weld DSRHR-2-5A were documented by notification of indication (NOI) U2/C5-135 (see attached copy). According to the NOI, the indications exceeded allowable ASME code limits. The weld was determined to be acceptable for continued operation by a Unreviewed Continued Question Determination (USQD), which stated the following:

8809010181 880825 PDR ADOCK 05000259

0030

"... The linear inclusion indications in NOI U2/C5-135 appear to be from Jrck of fusion, not IGSCC. There is no appreciable through wall dimension to the indications, and they do not originate at the inside surface of the pipe. The weld is thus acceptable, and therefore the integrity of the system is not affected."

The weld was evaluated for compliance with ASME code to support the statement above. ASME code (Section XI, IWB-3514.3, 1975 Edition) allows a 10 percent through wall indication without further evaluation. Since these indications have no appreciable through wall depth, the ASME evaluation of the subject weld found the weld acceptable. A more frequent inspection frequency (1/3 interval) is required for weld with such indications. This more frequent inspection for this weld is included in the current ISI program.

In conclusion, weld DSRHR-2-5A was found to be acceptable for continued operation without repair because of the nature of the indications. The indications were determined to be caused by lack of fusion between weld layers and not IGSCC. Because the indications have no appreciable through wall depth they are not considered to be a threat to the piping integrity.

Please refer any questions regarding this matter to Patrick Carier at (205) 729-2689.

Very truly yours,

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

R. Gridley, Director Nuclear Licensing and Regulatory Affairs

Enclosures cc: See page 3 cc: Ms. S. C. Black, Assistant Director for Projects TVA Projects Division U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission One White Flint, North 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, Maryland 20852

Hr. F. R. McCoy, Assistant Director for Inspection Programs
TVA Projects Division
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region 11
101 Harietta Street, NW, Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Browns Ferry Resident Inspector Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Route 12, Box 637 Athens, Alabama 35611

(NCO)

BFNP SI-4.6.G Page 341 Rev. 5

DEC 08 1983

APPENDIX D
DATA SHEET 1.
NOTIFICATION OF INDICATION

PART I - FINDINGS

NOI No. 42/05-135

Examination Report No. R0751

*Drawing No. CHM-2070-C

Plant/Unit BFNP/2

Component ID DSRHR 2-54

MR-A-165091

Description of Indication: (Sketch/Photograph if needed)

River Frolise Indiations exceeding Allowable like Pait - Zinche below The AD Suchsion ON Sportpiece side. Indications AND a completively Penith of 6.25 inche in R.T. Film.

3-4. Verified by RT. Angle shot and VITEHSONIC'S. R.T. WAS ACCOMPP. i had To FERM BASE himo of worlds DBRHR 2-5 and 3-53 Buttle fact II 5-31-85

Signature of Examiner/Certif. Level

Signature of Field Supervisor (Contractor)

NDE Section Representative

Chrisis I. Sooken

Date

Date

PART II - DISPOSITION

Disposition Approved By

PART III - VERIFICATION

Verification of Completed Corrective Action and/or Examination By NDE Section . Representative





Not Required Accept RS IS Pa USAD

Work Plan/DCR No.

Signature Clarence & Stoolber

Date 6/21/27

Attachment to N.O.I. # U2/C5-135 %2 A-165091

Upon reexamining Weldment No. DSRHR-2-5A with the more sensitive "M-Film", an additional linear indication was discovered. Examination of sector 2-3 netted small indications while sectors 3-4 and 4-5 revealed defects of a larger nature. These indications appear to be a singular anomaly running intermittently for 45 inches in the circumferential direction on the upstream fusion wall.

Attachment to N.C.I. = 43/05-35 MR A-165091

Upon reexamining weighent No. DERHR-3-5A with the more tectilize "The FILM", AN additional linear indication was discovere Examination of sector 3-3 netted small indications while sectors 3-4 and 4-5 revealed defects of a Larger Nature. Thes indications appear to be a singular anomaly running intermittently for 45 inches in the circumferential direction on the upstren

