ENCLOSURE

SAFETY EVALUATION REPORT FOR

GENERIC LETTER 83-28, ITEM 1.2 - POST-TRIP REVIEW

(DATA AND INFORMATION CAPABILITY)

HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION

DOCKET NO.: 50-354

I. INTRODUCTION

On February 25, 1983, both of the scram circuit breakers at Unit 1 of the Salem Nuclear Power Plant (SNPP) failed to open upon an automatic reactor trip signal from the reactor protection system. This incident occurred during the plant start-up and the reactor was tripped manually by the operator about 30 seconds after the initiation of the automatic trip signal. The failure of the circuit breakers has been determined to be related to the sticking of the under voltage trip attachment. On February 22, 1983, during start-up of SNPP, Unit 1, an automatic trip signal occurred as the result of steam generator low-low level. In this case, the reactor was tripped manually by the operator almost coincidentally with the automatic trip. Following these incidents, on February 28, 1983, the NRC Executive Director for Operations (EDO) directed the staff to investigate and report on the generic implications of these occurrences. The results of the staff's inquiry into these incidents are reported in NUREG-1000, "Generic Implications of ATWS Events at the Salem Nuclear Power Plant." As a result of this investigation, the Commission requested (by Generic Letter 83-28 dated July 8, 1983) all licensees of operating reactors, applicants for an

operating license, and holders of construction permits to respond to certain generic concerns. These concerns are categorized into four areas: (1) Post-Trip Review, (2) Equipment Classification and Vendor Interface, (3) Post-Maintenance Testing, and (4) Reactor Trip System Reliability Improvements.

The first action item, Post-Trip Review, consists of Action Item 1.1, "Program Description and Procedure" and Action Item 1.2, "Data and Information Capability." This safety evaluation report (SER) addresses Action Item 1.2 only.

II. REVIEW GUIDELINES

The following review guidelines were developed after initial evaluation of the various utility responses to Item 1.2 of Generic Letter 83-28 and incorporate the best features of these submittals. As such, these review guidelines in effect represent a "good practices" approach to post-trip review. We have reviewed the applicant's response to Item 1.2 against these guidelines:

A. The equipment that provides the digital sequence of events (SOE) record and the analog time history records of an unscheduled shutdown should provide a reliable source of the necessary information to be used in the post-trip review. Each plant variable which is necessary to determine the cause and progression of the events following a plant trip should be monitored by at least one recorder (such as a sequence-of-events recorder or a plant process computer) for digital parameters; and strip

charts, a plant process computer or analog recorder for analog (time history) variables. Performance characteristics guidelines for SOE and time history recorders are as follows:

- Each sequence of events recorder should be capable of detecting and recording the sequence of events with a sufficient time discrimination capability to ensure that the time responses associated with each monitored safety-related system can be ascertained, and that a determination can be made as to whether the time response is within acceptable limits based on FSAR Chapter 15 Accident Analyses. The recommended guidelines for the SOE time discrimination is approximately 100 milliseconds. If current SOE recorders do not have this time discrimination capability the licensee should show that the current time discrimination capability is sufficient for an adequate reconstruction of the course of the reactor trip and post-trip events. As a minimum this should include the ability to adequately reconstruct the transient and accident scenarios presented in Chapter 15 of the plant FSAR.

- Each analog time history data recorder should have a sample interval small enough so that the incident can be accurately reconstructed following a reactor trip. As a minimum, the applicant should be able to reconstruct the course of the transient and accident sequences evaluated in the accident

analysis of Chapter 15 of the plant FSAR. The recommended guideline for the sample interval is 10 seconds. If the time history equipment does not meet this guideline, the applicant should show that the time history capability is sufficient to accurately reconstruct the transient and accident sequences presented in Chapter 15 of the FSAR. To support the post-trip analysis of the cause of the trip and the proper functioning of involved safety related equipment, each analog time history data recorder should be capable of updating and retaining information from approximately five minutes prior to the trip until at least ten minutes after the trip.

- All equipment used to record sequence of events and time history information should be powered from a reliable and non-interruptible power source. The power source used need not be Class IE.

B. The sequence of events and time history recording equipment should monitor sufficient digital and analog parameters, respectively, to assure that the course of the reactor trip and post-trip events can be reconstructed. The parameters monitored should provide sufficient information to determine the root cause of the unscheduled shutdown, the progression of the reactor trip, and the response of the plant parameters and protection and safety systems to the unscheduled shutdowns. Specifically, all input parameters associated with reactor

trips, safety injections and other safety-related systems as well as output parameters sufficient to record the proper functioning of these systems should be recorded for use in the post-trip review. The parameters deemed necessary, as a minimum, to perform a post-trip review that would determine if the plant remained within its safety limit design envelope are presented in Table 1. They were selected on the basis of staff engineering judgment following a complete evaluation of utility submittals. If the applicant's SOE recorders and time history recorders do not monitor all of the parameters suggested in these tables the applicant should show that the existing set of monitored parameters are sufficient to establish that the plant remained within the design envelope for the accident conditions analyzed in Chapter 15 of the plant FSAR.

C. The information gathered by the sequence of events and time history recorders should be stored in a manner that will allow for data retrieval and analysis. The data may be retained in either hardcopy, (e.g., computer printout, strip chart record), or in an accessible memory (e.g., magnetic disc or tape). This information should be presented in a readable and meaningful format, taking into consideration good human factors practices such as those outlined in NUREG-0700.

D. Retention of data from all unscheduled shutdowns provides a valuable reference source for the determination of the acceptability of the plant vital parameter and equipment response to subsequent unscheduled

shutdowns. Information gathered during the post-trip review is to be retained for the life of the plant for post-trip review comparisons of subsequent events.

III. EVALUATION AND CONCLUSION

By letters dated March 30, 1984, December 17, 1984, December 16, 1985, and January 24, 1986, Public Service Electric and Gas Company provided information regarding its post-trip review program data and information capabilities for Hope Creek Generating Station. We have evaluated the applicant's submittals against the review guidelines described in Section II. Deviations from the Guidelines of Section II were discussed with representatives of the licensee by telephone on November 26, 1985. A brief description of the licensee's responses and the staff's evaluation of the response against each of the review guidelines follows:

A. The applicant has described the performance characteristics of the equipment used to record the sequence of events and time history data needed for post-trip review. Based on our review of the applicant's submittals and our telephone conversation, we find that the sequence of events recorder characteristics conform to the guidelines described in Section II A, and are acceptable.

B. The applicant has established and identified the parameters to be monitored and recorded for post-trip review. Based on our review, we find that the parameters selected by the applicant include all of those

identified in Table 1 and conform to the guidelines described in Section II B and are, therefore, acceptable.

C. The applicant described the means for storage and retrieval of the information gathered by the sequence of events and time history recorders, and for the presentation of this information for post-trip review and analysis. Based on our review, we find that this information will be presented in a readable and meaningful format, and that the storage, retrieval and presentation conform to the guidelines of Section II C.

D. The applicant's submittal of December 16, 1985, indicates that the data and information used during post-trip reviews will be retained in an accessible manner for the life of the plant. Based on this information, we find that the applicant's program for data retention conforms to the guidelines of Section II D, and is acceptable.

Based on our review of the applicant's submittals, we conclude that the applicant's post-trip review data and information capabilities for Hope Creek Generating Station are acceptable.

TABLE 1 BWR PARAMETER LIST

SOE Recorder	Time History Recorder	Parameter/Signal
x		Reactor Trip
x		Safety Injection
x		Containment Isolation
x		Turbine Trip
x		Control Rod Position
x (1)	x	Neutron Flux, Power
x (1)		Main Steam Radiation
(2)		Containment (Dry Well) Radiation
x (1)	x	Drywell Pressure (Containment Pressure)
(2)		Suppression Pool Temperature
x (1)	x	Primary System Pressure
x (1)	x	Primary System Level
x		MSIV Position
x (1)		Turbine Stop Valve/Control Valve Position
x		Turbine Bypass Valve Position
	x	Feedwater Flow
	x	Steam Flow
(3)		Recirculation; Flow, Pump Status
x (1)		Scram Discharge Level
x (1)		Condenser Vacuum

SOE Recorder	Time History Recorder	Parameter/Signal
x		AC and DC System Status (Bus Voltage)
(3) (4)		Safety Injection; Flow, Pump/Valve Status
x		Diesel Generator Status (on/Off, Start/Stop)

(1) Trip parameters

(2) Parameter may be recorded by either an SOE or time history recorder.

(3) Acceptable recorder options are: (a) system flow recorded on an SOE recorder, (b) system flow recorded on a time history recorder, or (c) equipment status recorded on an SOE recorder.

(4) Includes recording of parameters for all applicable systems from the following: HPCI, LPCI, LPCS, IC, RCIC.

Mr. C. A. McNeill
Public Service Electric & Gas Co.

Hope Creek Generating Station

cc:
Gregory Minor
Richard Hubbard
Dale Bridenbaugh
MHB Technical Associates
1723 Hamilton Avenue, Suite K
San Jose, California 95125

Troy B. Conner, Jr. Esquire
Conner & Wetterhahn
1747 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Richard Fryling, Jr., Esquire
Associate General Solicitor
Public Service Electric & Gas Company
P. O. Box 570 T5E
Newark, New Jersey 07101

Resident Inspector
U.S.N.R.C.
P. O. Box 241
Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Richard F. Engel
Deputy Attorney General
Division of Law
Environmental Protection Section
Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex
CN-112P
Trenton, New Jersey 08625

Mr. Robert J. Touhey,
Acting Director
DNREC - Division of
Environmental Control
89 Kings Highway
P. O. Box 1401
Dover, Delaware 19903

Mr. R. S. Salvesen
General Manager-Hope Creek Operation
Public Service Electric & Gas Co.
P.O. Box A
Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Susan C. Remis
Division of Public Interest Advocacy
New Jersey State Department of
the Public Advocate
Richard J. Hughes Justice Comples
CN-850
Trenton, New Jersey 08625

Office of Legal Counsel
Department of Natural Resources
and Environmental Control
89 Kings Highway
P.O. Box 1401
Dover, Delaware 19903

Mr. K. W. Burrowes, Project Engineer
Bechtel Power Corporation
50 Beale Street
P. O. Box 3965
San Francisco, California 94119

Manager - Licensing and Regulation
c/o Public Service Electric & Gas
Bethesda Office Center, Suite 550
4520 East-West Highway
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Ms. Rebecca Green
New Jersey Bureau of Radiation
Protection
380 Scotch Road
Trenton, New Jersey 08628

Mr. Anthony J. Pietrofitta
General Manager
Power Production Engineering
Atlantic Electric
1199 Black Horse Pike
Pleasantville, New Jersey 08232

Regional Administrator, Region I
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
631 Park Avenue
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

cc:
Mr. B. A. Preston
Public Service Electric & Gas Co.
Hope Creek Site MC12Y
Licensing Trailer 12LI
Foot of Button wood Road
Hancock's Bridge, New Jersey 08038