Mr. Charles H. Cruse, Vice President Nuclear Energy Division Baltimore Gas and Electric Company 1650 Calvert Cliffs Parkway Lusby, MD 20657-4702

SUBJECT:

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR THE REVIEW OF THE CALVERT CLIFFS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNIT NOS. 1 & 2, INTEGRATED PLANT ASSESSMENT REPORT FOR THE SAFETY INJECTION SYSTEM (TAC NOS. MA1108, MA1109, AND M99222)

Dear Mr. Cruse:

By letter dated March 3, 1998, Baltimore Gas and Electric Company (BGE) submitted for review the Safety Injection System (5.15) integrated plant assessment technical report as attached to the "Request for Review and Approval of System and Commodity Reports for License Renewal." BGE requested that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff review the Safety Injection System (5.15) report to determine if this report meets the requirements of 10 CFR 54.21(a), "Contents of application-technical information," and the demonstration required by 10 CFR 54.29(a)(1), "Standards for issuance of a renewed license," to support an application for license renewal if BGE applied in the future. By letter dated April 8, 1998, BGE formally submitted its license renewal application.

The NRC staff has reviewed the Safety Injection System (5.15) report against the requirements of 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1), 10 CFR 54.21(a)(3). By letter dated April 4, 1996, the staff approved BGE's methodology for meeting the requirements of 10 CFR 54.21(a)(2). Based on a review of the information submitted, the staff has identified in the enclosure, areas where additional information related to scoping is needed to complete its review. Should the staff have additional information needs related to aging management they will be forwarded under a separate correspondence.

Please provide a schedule by letter or telephonically for the submittal of your responses within 30 days of the receipt of this letter. Additionally, the staff would be willing to meet with BGE prior to the submittal of the responses to provide clarifications of the staff's requests for additional information.

Sincerely.

Original Signed By

David L. Solorio, Project Manager License Renewal Project Directorate Division of Reactor Program Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-317 and 50-318

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION: See next page

OFFICE	LA:PDI-1	PDLR/DRPM	POLR/DRPM:ASC	PDLR/DRPM:D
NAME	SLittle	DSoloria	BPrato	CGrimes CG
DATE	9/2/98	91 2198	1 198	9/2/98

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

980 7180148 980902 ADOCK 05000317



Mr. Charles H. Cruse Baltimore Gas & Electric Company cc:

President
Calvert County Board of
Commissioners
175 Main Street
Prince Frederick, MD 20678

James P. Bennett, Esquire Counsel Baltimore Gas and Electric Company P.O. Box 1475 Baltimore, MD 21203

Jay E. Silberg, Esquire Shaw, Pittman, Potts, and Trowbridge 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 20037

Mr. Thomas N. Prichett, Director WRM
Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant 1650 Calvert Cliffs Parkway
Lusby, MD 20657-4702

Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P.O. Box 287
St. Leonard, MD 20685

Mr. Richard I. McLean
Nuclear Programs
Power Plant Research Program
Maryland Dept. of Natural Resources
Tawes State Office Building, B3
Annapolis, MD 21401

Regional Administrator, Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406 Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant Unit Nos. 1 and 2

Mr. Joseph H. Walter, Chief Engineer Public Service Commission of Maryland Engineering Division 6 St. Paul Centre Baltimore, MD 21202-6806

Kristen A. Burger, Esquire Maryland People's Counsel 6 St. Paul Centre Suite 2102 Baltimore, MD 21202-1631

Patricia T. Birnie, Esquire Co-Director Maryland Safe Energy Coalition P.O. Box 33111 Baltimore, MD 21218

Mr. Loren F. Donatell NRC Technical Training Center 5700 Brainerd Road Chattanooga, TN 37411-4017

David Lewis Shaw, Pittman, Potts, and Trowbridge 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 20037

Douglas J. Walters
Nuclear Energy Institute
1776 I Street, N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, DC 20006-3708
DJW@NELORG

Barth W. Doroshuk
Baltimore Gas and Electric Company
Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant
1650 Calvert Cliffs Parkway
NEF 1st Floor
Lusby, Maryland 20657

Distribution: HARD COPY **Docket Files** PUBLIC

PDLR R/F MEI-Zeftawy

DISTRIBUTION: E-MAIL:

FMiraglia (FJM)

JRoe (JWR)

DMatthews (DBM)

CGrimes (CIG)

TEssig (THE)

GLainas (GCL)

JStrosnider (JRS2)

GHolahan (GMH)

Silvewberry (SFN)

GBagchi (GXB1)

RRothman (RLR)

JBrammer (HLB)

CGratton (CXG1)

JMoore (JEM)

MZobler/RWeisman (MLZ/RMW)

SBajwa/ADromerick (SSB1/AXD)

LDoerflein (LTD)

BBores (RJB)

SDroggitis (SCD)

RArchitzel (REA)

CCraig (CMC1)

LSpessard (RLS)

RCorreia (RPC)

RLatta (RML1)

EHackett (EMH1)

AMurphy (AJM1)

TMartin (TOM2)

DMartin (DAM3)

GMeyer (GWM)

WMcDowell (WDM)

SStewart (JSS1)

THiltz (TGH)

SDroggitis (SCD)

DSolorio (DLS2)

PDLR Staff

TCollins (TEC)

RCaruso (RXC)

MRazzaque (MMR1)

SLittle (SLL)

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION CALVERT CLIFFS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNIT NOS. 1 & 2 SAFETY INJECTION SYSTEM INTEGRATED PLANT ASSESSMENT, SECTION 5.15 DOCKET NOS. 50-317 AND 50-318

Section 5.15.1 - Scoping

- 1. 10 CFR 54.21 (a)(1) states that valve bodies are passive. Page 5.15-12 identifies 29 device types as having only active functions, solenoid valves being one of these 29 components. The drawing on page 5.15.6 and 5.15.7 show solenoid valve bodies being within the evaluation boundary. Provide a justification for not including the pressure boundary function of solenoid valve bodies as being within the scope of the aging management review.
- Page 6.3-3 (Rev. 18) of the Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant (CCNPP) Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) indicates that there is a small drain valve controlled remotely from the Control Room, which is intended to drain any leakage from the reactor coolant system into the safety injection system. Was this drain valve subjected to an aging management review (AMR)? If so, provide a cross reference to where this is addressed in the license renewal application (LRA). If not, provide the basis for exclusion.
- Page 6.3-14 (Rev. 21) of the CCNPP UFSAR indicates that the containment sump suctions are enclosed by particulate screens. Are these screens included within the AMR? If so, provide a cross reference to where they are addressed in the LRA. If not, provide the basis for exclusion.