Dave Morey Vice President Farley Project

.

Southern Nuclear Operating Company P.O. Box 1295 Birmingham, Alabama 35201 Tel 205.992.5131

September 11, 1998



TERRY

10 CFR 50.73

Docket No.: 50-348

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

> Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant - Unit 1 Licensee Event Report No. 98-003-00 Waste Gas Decay Tank Hydrogen and Oxygen Exceeded Concentration Limits

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant - Unit 1 Licensee Event Report No. 98-003-00 is being submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i). There are no NRC commitments in the Licensee Event Report.

If you have any questions, please advise.

Respectfully submitted,

or mory

Dave Morey

EWC/maf wgasd.doc

Enclosure

cc: Mr. L. A. Reyes, Region II Administrator Mr. J. I. Zimmerman, NRR Project Manager Mr. T. P. Johnson, Plant Sr. Resident Inspector

9809150007 980911 PDR ADOCK 05000348 S PDR

RC FORM 366								U.S.NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION								APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104																			
(4-95)				L	ICE	ENS	E	EEV	ENT	REPO	DF	RT (L	E	R)						LIC CO INF U.S 205 (31)	TIMAT NDAT PORT ENSIP MMEI ORM/ 55-00 50-010 S5-00 S0-010	TION CLEA 01. GTON	RE AND	ESS EGAR ID RE REGU FICE 205	ECILA TH	NGORI	RECONCEPTED DS	D BAC BURD MAN/ COM PERV MAN	NSE CTI RE CK DEN AGE		REQ REQ NDUS STIN	MPL UES RATI STRY MATE RAN	CH (HINGT	RWA 1-6 F3 ON, ROJE	RHE 33 DCT
	Y NAME (-		-																ETN				· ·						3E (3)	-
	h M. I	arle	ry I	Nuc	clea	r Pl	an	t - L	nit 1													0	5	0	1	0	10	0 3	3	4	8	1	OF		4
TITLE (4		-		-										10																					
	e Gas		ay	la	nk I			gen a		Txygen	1 E						tion	LU	mits																
MONTH	DAY	YEA	P	VE	AR	TANK	_							EPORT DATE (7)						FACI	FTY N		SK P	ACIL	TH	ESI	T	OLVE	:0 (8)					
Moath	DAT	10				10000	-	QUENTI		REVISIO	R	MUNIT	+	DAT	+	EAR	1			ACIL		AME						1	1				1		
													1				-	FACILITY NAME							+		_			1	1				
0 8	116	91	8	9	18		0	101	3	010		o h		1 11	h	18												1	1			1	1	1	
a consecutive second	ERATING	12	0			EPOR	20	1.1		PURSUAN		TO THE	RE	QUIREM	ENT		0 CFR	9:	Check	k one	or m	070) (11)				-	_	-						
MODE (9) 1			1	20.2201(b) 20.220					20.220)3(a)(2)(v)		X 50.73(a)(2)(i)							50.73(a)(2)(viii)															
POWER		-		20.	20.2203(a)(1)			petralitan				50.73	0.73(a)(2)(ii)					F	50.73(e)(2)(x)																
LEVEL (10) 1 0 0			1 0 0 20.2203(a)(2)(i)					20.2203(a)(3)(ii) 50.73(a)(2)(i 20.2203(a)(4) 50.73(a)(2)(i										F	73.71																
. 1	1			20.2203(a)(2)(ii)														OTHER																	
					20.2203(a)(2)(iii)					50.36(c)(1) 50.7				50.71).73(a)(2)(v)					Specify in Abstract below															
- AND STORY		-6-14-			20.	2203(a)(2))(iv)				50.36(_							3(a)(2	(iiv)(0	or in	NRO	For	m 36	6A		
												LICENS	SEE	CONTA	CTI	FOR TH	IS LE!	R (12)				-									_			
NAME																							L				T	ELEP	HO	INE N	IUME	BER			
	L. N	1. St	ins	on,	Ge	ener	al	Man	ager	- Nucl	lea	ar Pla	unt	:									1	REAC				. 1.	. 1						
								COM	PLETE	ONE LINE	FO	REACH	HC	OMPON	ENT	FAILLE	REDE	SCR	BEDI	NTH	IS RE	PORT		3	1	4	18	3 9	2	9	-	15	11	5	6
CALIFE	-	1				T						Provent			1		T															1000			
CAUSE SYSTEM COMP		TEM COMPONENT MANUFACT		ACTUR	ER	REPORTABLE TO EPIX			E		CAUSE	AUSE	SYSTEM CO			OMPONENT			MANUFACTURER				R	R REPORTABLE TO EPIX											
DWE				n	In	-		12											1		1														
1	WIE			K	IE	W	-	14	0	N		1	-		-		+					+	-						-		-				
	11	1			1			1 1									11		1	1	1		1	1		1									
an Alassadanana	handra and				h		SU	PPLE	MENTAL	REPORT	EX	PECTE	D (1	14)								-	-	EX	PE	CTI	ED		T	MON	TH	D	AY	YE	AR
													T			a had a size baran b	SUBMISSION						-												
	W yes, co	mplete	EXI	PEC	TED S	SUBM	ISSI	ONDA	TE)				ſ	X NO										D	ATE	E (1	5)								
ABSTR	ACT																																		

On August 16, 1998 at 0930, with Unit 1 in Mode 1 at 100% power, it was determined that Unit 1 had been operated in a condition prohibited by Technical Specifications (TS). TS 3.11.2.5 action statement b., requires that oxygen (O2) concentration be reduced to less than or equal to 4% within one hour and less than or equal to 2% within 48 hours when Waste Gas System (WGS) hydrogen (H₂) concentration is greater than 4%. In preparation for Unit 1 shutdown, the WGS had been started up on August 16, 1998 at 0150. Prior to WGS startup the #3 Waste Gas Decay Tank (WGDT) H_2 concentration was 43.45% with O_2 concentration within limit. Due to an undetected leak on the Waste Gas Compressor (WGC) suction radiation monitor (R-13), air was being drawn into the system by the operation of the WGC, increasing the O₂ concentration. On August 16, 1998 at 0830, sampling and analysis showed the WGDT #3 to contain 29.5% H₂ and 7.86% O₂. The WGS was promptly shutdown. The tank H₂ and O₂ were diluted by nitrogen (N2) addition to the maximum extent allowed by the tank pressure limit of 75 psig, but at 0930 the O2 remained above the 4% limit of TS 3.11.2.5 action b. The tank contents were released, the tank was diluted again by N2 addition, and TS 3.11.2.5 was met on August 17, 1998 at 0901. The leak was identified and isolated, and the WGS system was returned to service on August 18, 1998. This event was caused by an inadequate procedure in that no provisions existed for ensuring leak tightness of the monitor. Cognitive personnel error contributed to the event in that the operator did not respond properly to an increasing tank pressure trend. Procedures have been revised to provide leak checks upon reassembly. Operations procedures will be revised to provide for leak checks during system startup and periodic surveillance. Maintenance, Operations, and Chemistry personnel responsible for WGS will be trained on this event. The system operator involved will be coached.

NRC FORM 366A (4-95)	U.S.NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIO								DN APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 04/30/98											
LICENSEE EVENT REP TEXT CONTINUA		ESTIMATE MANDATO REPORTE LICENSIN COMMEN INFORMA U.S. NUC 20555-000 (3150-010	ED BURDI DRY INFO ED LESSO G PROCE TS REG TION AND LEAR RE AND 4), OFF	SS AN ARDIN RECO GULAT	R RE ON C ARNE D FEI IG DRDS TORY E PA OF	ESPONSE 1 COLLECTION ED ARE INC D BACK TC BURDEN MANAGEN COMMISS PERWORK MANAGEN	O COMPI N REQUES CORPORA INDUSTR ESTIMAT IENT BRAI ION WAS REDUCT IENT AN	Y WIT ST: 50 TED IN Y FO E TC NCH (T HINGT ION PI ID B	H THIS O HRS. TO THE RWARD THE 6 F33), ON, DC ROJECT UDGET.											
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3)																		
		YEAR	SEQUE	AR		REVISION		T												
Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant - Unit 1	0 5 0 0 0 3 4 8	9 8 .	. 010) 3	-	010	12	OF	14											

Plant and System Identification

Westinghouse -- Pressurized Water Reactor Energy Industry Identification System codes are identified in the text as [XX].

Description of Event

In preparation for a Unit 1 shutdown, the Waste Gas System (WGS) [WE] was started up in recirculation on August 16, 1998, at 0150. The waste gas catalytic hydrogen recombiners and analyzers were bypassed and no addition of waste gas to the WGS was in progress, although additions were planned. Therefore, at this time no additional sampling and analysis of the on-service Waste Gas Decay Tank (WGDT) was required. Prior to WGS startup WGDT #3 pressure was approximately 12.7 psig, and the tank contained 43.45% H_2 and 0.73% O_2 . Unknown to the operator, a leak existed on R-13 [IL] allowing air to enter the system. This leak was previously undetected since the system had not been in service.

Later at 0423 the system operator logged the tank pressure as 20 psig and noted an increase of 7.3 psig. but took no action either to determine the reason for, or respond to, the change. At 0430 a very small gas addition was made to the WGS as a result of testing the sampling system. Based on this waste gas addition, control room personnel requested a sample and analysis of WGDT #3. The first sample, drawn at 0538 at a tank pressure of 23.5 psig, indicated 35.45% H₂ and 6.24% O₂. Historically, abnormal high O2 sample results are indicative of air leaks into the sample system. Since no known additions were being made, these results were questioned by Chemistry personnel and were not reported to the control room. A confirmatory sample was taken using a different sample unit. The confirmatory sample was drawn at 0715 at 29 psig tank pressure. Results of the confirmatory sample analysis indicated 29.5% H₂ and 7.86 % O₂ in WGDT #3. Following receipt of these results, the control room entered a LCO action at 0830 due to not meeting the requirements of TS 3.11.2.5. Technical Specification 3.11.2.5 action statement b. requires that oxygen (O₂) concentration be reduced to less than or equal to 4% within one hour and less than or equal to 2% within 48 hours when Waste Gas System (WGS) hydrogen (H₂) concentration is greater than 4%. The WGS was promptly shutdown. Nitrogen (N₂) was added to WGDT #3 to dilute its contents to the maximum tank pressure of 75 psig. At this pressure, H2 and O2 concentrations of 14.02% H2 and 5.72 % O2 remained above the TS limits. Release of WGDT #3 was initiated on August 16, 1998 at 1045 and completed on August 17, 1998 at 0340. The tank was then repressurized with N₂ for additional dilution of H₂ and O2. The tank was resampled and the LCO was exited on August 17, 1998 at 0901 based on H2 and O2 concentrations less than 2%.

NRC FORM 366A (4-95)	U.S.NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	ION APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 04/30/98												
LICENSEE EVENT REPO	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS MANDATORY INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS REPORTED LESSONS LEARNED ARE INCORPORATED INTO THE LICENSING PROCESS AND FED BACK TO INDUSTRY. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (T-6 F33). U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET.													
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)			LER	NUMBER	(6)		1	PAGE	(3)				
		YEAR			VENTIAL		REVISION		T					
Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant - Unit 1	0 5 0 0 0 3 4 8	9 8	-	0	03	-	0 0]]3	OF	4				
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC r-orm 366) (17)	er rener constrations area finner and protected by a princhestry and sort and a street of remeands	COLUMN AND ADDRESS	adversare	-	descences when seen	Accesses	adverse annual securica	and another station of	And a support of the local division of the l	alexandra alexa				

Troubleshooting on August 17 and 18, found a leak on Waste Gas Compressor suction radiation monitor R-13. The radiation monitor was isolated and the WGS was restarted with no further abnormal pressure increase.

Cause of Event

The cause of this event was an inadequate procedure resulting in a leak on the WGC suction radiation monitor (R-13). Leak testing was neither performed nor required following reassembly of the detector.

A contributing cause to the severity of this event is cognitive personnel error in that the system operator noted an increasing trend in tank pressure on August 16, 1998 at 0423 but took no action to determine the reason for and respond to the change. Had the WGC been secured at that time, instead of approximately 4 hours later, the total air ingress would have been much less.

Safety Assessment

The gas mixture in WGDT #3 was above the flammability limit, but the recombiner remained isolated throughout this event. Therefore the primary potential ignition source in the WGS was not present, and the danger of fire was minimal. No chemical analysis of the gas mixture at any time during the event reported the existence of an explosive mixture; therefore, the likelihood of a WGDT rupture due to this event was small. Based on data from the release calculations for this tank on August 16-17, 1998, the site boundary dose was much less than 1% of the Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM) quarterly limit for releases from the plant vent stack. The total radioactivity released from this tank on this release was 8.18E-2 curies, which is also much less than 1% of the accident analysis assumptions for a WGDT rupture. Therefore, had a WGDT rupture occurred, no significant radiological consequences would have resulted.

The health and safety of the public were unaffected by this event.

Corrective Action

Maintenance procedures for R-13 have been revised to perform a leak test on the detector prior to returning it to service after opening the system.

NRC FORM 366A	U.S.NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	N		ED BY OMB N		
(4-05) LICENSEE EVENT REPO		MANDATORY INF	DEN PER RE ORMATION CONS LEARNE	EXPIRES 04/30 SPONSE TO COLLECTION F D ARE INCOLO D BACK TO IN BURDEN ES MANAGEMEN COMMISSION PERWORK R MANAGEMEN	COMPLY WIT REQUEST: 50. RPORATED IN IDUSTRY. FOI STIMATE TC IT BRANCH (T N, WASHINGTI REDUCTION PI	TH THIS 0 HRS TO THE RWARD 0 THE 1-8 F33), 0N, DC ROJECT
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	NAMES OF TAXABLE PARTY AND ADDRESS OF TAXABLE PARTY.	IUMBER (6)	MANAGEMEN	PAGE	(3)
Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant - Unit 1		Y	EAR	REVISION		
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366) (17)	0 5 0 0 0 3 4 8	98-0	0 3 -	00	4 OF	4
Operations procedures will be revised surveillance by September 30, 1998.	d to provide for leak checks	during syster	m startuj	p and per	riodic	
The system operator involved will be	coached by September 30,	1998.				
Maintenance, Operations, and Chemi event by October 14, 1998.	stry personnel responsible fo	or WGS will	be traine	ed on this	S	
Additional Information						
The following LERs have been subm accordance with TS due to inadequate		the subject of	of failure	e to oper	ate in	
LER 98-006-00 (Unit2) Containment Inadequate Procedure;	t Penetration Overcurrent Pr	rotective Dev	vice Ener	rgized D	ue to	
LER 96-004-01 (Shared) Surveillanc the Reactor Trip System;	e Requirements Not Met for	r Manual Saf	ety Injec	tion Inp	ut Into	
LER 96-002-00 (Unit 1) TS Surveilla	ance Requirement Not Met	and Common	Cause	Failure Io	dentified;	;
LER 96-002-00 (Unit 2) Misapplicat	ion of Technical Specification	on 4.4.6 Requ	uirement	s Regard	ling F*;	
LER 97-014-00 (Unit 1) RCS Leak I in Operating in Condition Prohibited		e Due to Defe	ective Pr	ocedure	Results	
LER 97-005-01 (Shared) Failure to F to Mode 2 and 3 Entry;	Perform Nuclear Instrumenta	ation Surveill	ance Re	quireme	nts Prior	
LER 97-003-00 (Unit 2) Failure to P Procedural Inadequacy; and	erform Diesel Generator Su	rveillance Re	quireme	nts Due	to	
LER 98-001-00 (Unit 1) Inadequatel	y Performed Surveillance D	ue to Improp	er Calcu	lation of	E-Bar.	