Alabama Power Company ATTN: Mr. R. P. McDonald Senior Vice President P. O. Box 2641 Birmingham, AL 35291

Gentlemen:

SUBJECT: MEETING SUMMARY - DOCKET NOS. 50-348 AND 50-364

This letter refers to a neeting conducted at your request at the Farley nuclear facility on December 11, 1985. This meeting was held to discuss items identified in NRC Inspection Report Nos. 50-348, 364/85-25; 50-348, 364/85-30; and 50-348, 364/85-32. Alabama Power Company (APC) presented information regarding these items. Specific item resolutions are discussed in Enclosure 1. An attendance list is contained in Enclosure 2.

It is our understanding that APC will respond with additional information as delineated in Enclosure 1 within 20 days of receipt of this letter.

It is our opinion that the meeting was beneficial. It provided a better understanding of actions being taken to resolve these items.

In accordance with Section 2.790 of NRC's Rules of Practice, Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, a copy of this letter and enclosures will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

Should you have any questions concerning these matters, we will be pleased to discuss them.

Sincerely,

albert Gibson for

J. Nelson Grace Regional Administrator

Enclosures:

1. Item Resolutions

2. Attendance List

cc w/encls: /See page 2)

8601030260 851227 PDR ADOCK 05000348

1 IE45

RII

for RWalker

12/24/85

Cc w/encls:
W. O. Whitt, Executive Vice President
J. D. Woodard, General Manager Nuclear Plant
W. G. Hairston, III, General Manager Nuclear Support
J. W. McGowan, Manager-Safety Audit
and Engineering Review
W. G. Ware, Supervisor-Safety Audit
and Engineering Review

NRC Resident Inspector

E. Reeves, Project Manager, NRR

Document Control Desk

State of Alabama

12/2/85

12/24/85

ENCLOSURE 1

ITEM RESOLUTION

1. NRC Inspection Report Nos. 50-348, 364/85-25

With regard to Violation 1, part a, we have concluded that this violation will be changed to an unresolved item pending further review by NRC and be identified as unresolved item 348, 364/85-25-06.

With regard to Violation 1, part b, we have concluded that this example of a violation remains valid. It is our understanding that applicable procedures will be revised to clarify the evaluation process and that applicable personnel will be retrained in this clarified process.

With regard to Violation 1, part c, we have concluded that this example of a violation remains valid. It is our understanding that applicable procedures will be revised to specify more clearly a time limit when evaluations must be performed.

With regard to the assigned severity level for Violation 1, we have concluded that a Severity Level 5 category is more appropriate. Our records will be adjusted accordingly.

With regard to Violation 2, we have concluded that example a of the violation remains valid. It is our understanding that applicable procedures will be revised to require verification and documentation of proper environmental conditions prior to measuring and test equipment calibration for equipment that is sensitive to temperature and humidity.

With regard to the assigned severity level for Violation 2, we have concluded that a Severity Level 5 category is more appropriate. Our records will be adjusted accordingly.

With regard to Violation 3, we have concluded that the violation remains valid. We have concluded that your proposed corrective action as discussed during the meeting appeared satisfactory. We also understand that the corrective action due date will have to be revised and that you will advise us of the revised date.

With regard to Violation 4, Alabama Power Company contends that the normal plant security is sufficient to protect the equipment in the I&C lab. Licensee representatives also stated that procedure FN-O-AP-11, section 4.8 was not intended to require more security control than normal plant security. We have concluded that additional information presented during the meeting resolves this issue; consequently, this violation will be withdrawn. Our records will be adjusted accordingly.

2. NRC Inspection Report Nos. 50-348, 364/85-30

With regard to this violation, we have concluded that additional inspection in this area is required. The violation is withdrawn pending further inspection and this will be identified as Inspector Followup Item 348, 364/85-30-01.

3. NRC Inspection Report Nos. 50-348, 364/85-32

With regard to this violation, we have concluded that there may be some ambiguity as to the intent of the Technical Specifications. The violation will be withdrawn and an unresolved item identified pending further review by the NRC. This will be identified as Unresolved Item 348, 364/85-32-01.

ENCLOSURE 2

ATTENDEES

NRC Meeting with Alabama Power Company, December 11, 1985

Alabama Power Company

G. Hairston, General Manager Nuclear Support

J. Woodward, Plant Manager

J. McGowan, Manager Safety Audit and Engineering Review (SAER) D. Money, Assistant Plant Manager Operations

W. Ware, SAER Supervisor

Nuclear Regulatory Commission

A. Gibson, Director, Division of Reactor Safety (DRS)

A. Belisle, Acting Chief, Quality Assurance Programs, DRS C. Julian, Acting Chief, Operations Branch, DRS F. Cantrell, Section Chief, Division of Reactor Projects (DRP)

V. Panciera, Section Chief, DRP

B. Bonser, Resident Inspector