



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 4 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-62

CLINTON POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 1

ILLINOIS POWER COMPANY, ET AL.

DOCKET NO. 50-461

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated October 30, 1987, the Illinois Power Company, et al. (the licensees) proposed changing the Technical Specifications (TS) for the Clinton Power Station to correct action statements associated with the Reactor Water Level - low, low, level 2 channels for Reactor Water Cleanup System isolation.

A notice of consideration of issuance of an amendment to the license and a proposed no significant hazards consideration determination and an opportunity for hearing related to the requested action was published in the Federal Register on January 27, 1988 (53 FR 2318). No request for hearing and no public comments were received.

2.0 EVALUATION

The licensees propose to change the action statements associated with the Containment and Reactor Vessel Isolation Control System (CRVICS), Technical Specification (TS) 3.3.2, Table 3.3.2-1, Item 3.e. ACTION 21 for Item 3.e (Reactor Vessel Water Level - low low, Level 2 channels for Reactor Water Cleanup System isolation) was not changed in the previous submittal by the licensees dated January 1987 (Illinois Power letter U-600785) due to an oversight and resultant typographical error that occurred when changes were proposed for the Clinton Full Power License Technical Specifications. Consequently, ACTION 21 does not apply since it is associated with an ACTION that has been deleted. The revised designation is ACTION 25 for OPERATIONAL CONDITION "#" and ACTION 29 for OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS 1, 2 and 3.

The changes proposed by the licensees were previously approved by the NRC in Appendix Q of Supplement 8 of the Clinton Safety Evaluation Report.

Based on the above, the staff finds the proposed change to Technical Specification 3.3.2, Table 3.3.2-1, Item 3.e acceptable.

### 3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

This amendment involves a change to the administrative procedures. Accordingly, this amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), neither an environmental impact statement nor an environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

### 4.0 CONCLUSION

Based on the considerations discussed above, the staff has concluded that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and the security of the public.

Principal Contributor: Roger Mendez, RIII

Dated: August 3, 1988