

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME (1) LaSalle County Station Unit 1	DOCKET NUMBER (2) 0 5 0 0 0 3 7 3	PAGE (3) 1 OF 0 3
--	--------------------------------------	----------------------

TITLE (4)
Missed Fire Protection Surveillance by Mechanical Maintenance Department

EVENT DATE (5)			LER NUMBER (6)			REPORT DATE (7)			OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)		
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAMES		DOCKET NUMBER(S)
11	30	85	85	069	00	12	20	85	LaSalle Unit 2		0 5 0 0 0 3 7 4
											0 5 0 0 0

OPERATING MODE (9) 5	THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR § (Check one or more of the following) (11)									
POWER LEVEL (10) 0 0 0	20.402(b)	20.408(e)	80.73(e)(2)(iv)	73.71(b)						
	20.408(a)(1)(i)	80.38(a)(1)	80.73(e)(2)(v)	73.71(e)						
	20.408(a)(1)(ii)	80.38(a)(2)	80.73(e)(2)(vi)	OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 365A)						
	20.408(a)(1)(iii)	X 80.73(a)(2)(i)	80.73(e)(2)(vii)(A)							
	20.408(a)(1)(iv)	80.73(a)(2)(ii)	80.73(e)(2)(vii)(B)							
	20.408(a)(1)(v)	80.73(a)(2)(iii)	80.73(e)(2)(viii)							

LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)

NAME Terry W. Wiemholt, extension 534	TELEPHONE NUMBER AREA CODE: 8 1 5 3 5 7 1 - 6 7 6 1
--	---

COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)

CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPROS	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPROS
A	KIP	ZIZ ZIZ ZIZ	Z Z Z Z	N					

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)

YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)	MONTH	DAY	YEAR

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)

LMS-FP-15, "Monthly Inspection of Tech Spec Fire Hose Stations", was not performed within the time interval specified by Technical Specifications.

The Mechanical Maintenance Department Surveillance Foreman prepared his work schedule correctly scheduling LMS-FP-15 for completion prior to its due date. Then he left for vacation after inadequately turning over his responsibilities to another Maintenance Foreman who had no previous experience as Surveillance Coordinator. The temporary Foreman did not understand the significance of exceeding the "critical date" of a surveillance and incorrectly rescheduled LMS-FP-15 without attempting to find out whether the original scheduled completion date was essential. The General Foreman failed to ensure an adequate turnover was performed and failed to detect that the scheduled test was not done as required.

The following corrective actions were taken; LMS-FP-15 was successfully completed, all Foremen involved were reprimanded and all Mechanical Maintenance Management were retrained on the significance of "Priority", "Due" and "Critical" parameters of the LSCS General Surveillance Program.

8601020662 851220
PDR ADOCK 05000373
S PDR

IE 22
1/1

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1) LaSalle County Station Unit 1	DOCKET NUMBER (2) 0 5 0 0 0 3 7 1 3	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
		8 5	0 6 1 9	0	0	0	2 OF 0 3

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 386A's) (17)

I. EVENT DESCRIPTION

LaSalle Unit 1 was in its first refuel with no fuel in the core and Unit 2 was in Cold Shutdown.

On December 2, 1985, the Station Fire Marshal was notified that surveillance LMS-FP-15, "Monthly Fire Inspection of Tech Spec Fire Hose Stations" (KP), was past due but was in progress. On December 3, 1985, the Unit 1 Operating Engineer was notified that LMS-FP-15 was past due and past the critical due date. The uninspected fire hose stations were declared inoperable pursuant to Technical Specification 4.0.3. The 1 hour Action Statement required in Technical Specification 3.7.5.4 had not been satisfied. LMS-FP-15 was successfully completed on December 3, 1985.

Surveillance Coordination in the LSCS Mechanical Maintenance Department is performed by the Maintenance Foreman whose crew of Mechanics performs surveillances and lubrication. On November 21, 1985, the Surveillance Foreman prepared his work schedule for the week of November 25, 1985. He scheduled LMS-FP-15 to be started on November 25, 1985, and completed by November 27, 1985. LMS-FP-15 was due on November 29 and past critical (outside the 3.25 month Technical Specification maximum time interval) after November 30. The 7-day grace period had been reduced to one day because the September surveillance was delayed by fire hose replacement done at the same time.

On November 22, 1985, the Surveillance Foreman left for vacation. His crew was assigned to another Maintenance Foreman who had no previous experience as Surveillance Coordinator. The Surveillance Foreman turned over his work schedule.

On November 25, 1985, the temporary Surveillance Foreman, who was now directing 12 Mechanics instead of the usual crew of 6, decided that his manpower was insufficient to perform LMS-FP-15. The temporary Foreman was not aware of the significance of postponing a surveillance required by Technical Specifications. The temporary Foreman rescheduled LMS-FP-15 for the week of December 2.

II. CAUSES

The causes of missing the required test interval on LMS-FP-15 were personnel error, incomplete training, and inadequate turnover.

1. The permanent Surveillance Foreman failed to provide adequate turnover to the temporary Foreman.
2. The temporary Foreman rescheduled LMS-FP-15 without attempting to find out whether the original scheduled completion date was essential.
3. The temporary Foreman did not understand the significance of exceeding the "Critical Date" of a surveillance.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1) LaSalle County Station Unit 1	DOCKET NUMBER (2) 0 5 0 0 0 3 7 3 8 5	LER NUMBER (8)			PAGE (3)	
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		
		8 5	0 6 9	0 0	0 3	OF 0 3

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 388A's) (17)

II. CAUSES (Continued)

4. The General Foreman failed to ensure an adequate turnover was performed, and failed to detect the scheduled test that was not done.

III. PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES OF THE OCCURRENCE

Consequences of the late performance of LMS-FP-15 were minimal. All required fire equipment was at the fire hose stations as required by Technical Specification 4.7.9.4.a. Safe operation of the plant was maintained at all times.

IV. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

1. On December 3, 1985, LMS-FP-15 was successfully completed.
2. On December 3, 1985, Mechanical Maintenance Management was retrained on the importance of performing surveillances when due and trained on the significance of "Critical Dates", "Priority" parameters of the LSCS General Surveillance Program. All Mechanical Maintenance Management received documented training by December 4, 1985.
3. The Surveillance Foreman and General Foreman were reprimanded because turnover of the surveillance work was inadequate. They were counseled concerning the importance of adequate work turnover.
4. The temporary Surveillance Foreman was reprimanded for rescheduling LMS-FP-15 without attempting to find out whether or not it was important to do as scheduled.

V. PREVIOUS OCCURRENCES

Four other events of a similar nature were documented by LER's:

82-007/03L-0	82-035/03L-0
83-004/03L-0	83-151/03L-0

VI. NAME AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF PREPARER

Terry W. Wiemholt, Technical Staff Engineer, 815/357-6761, extension 534.



Commonwealth Edison
LaSalle County Nuclear Station
Rural Route #1, Box 220
Marseilles, Illinois 61341
Telephone 815/357-6761

December 20, 1985

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Document Control Desk
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sir:

Reportable Occurrence Report #85-069-00, Docket #050-373 is being submitted to your office in accordance with 10CFR 50.73.

C E Sargent

G. J. Diederich
Station Manager
for LaSalle County Station

GJD/DRR/kg

Enclosure

xc: NRC, Regional Director
INPO-Records Center
File/NRC

IE22
1/1