



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

June 21, 1985

Docket No. 50-488

MEMORANDUM FOR: Martin J. Virgilio, Group Leader
Technical Specification Review Group, DL

FROM: Dean Houston, Reactor Engineer
Technical Specification Review Group, DL

SUBJECT: RESOLUTION OF CONTRACTOR (EG&G) COMMENTS ON RIVER
BEND TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS (FINAL DRAFT)

On May 9, 1985, a report was issued by EG&G describing their audit of the River Bend Technical Specifications (Final Draft) and identifying areas of the Technical Specifications that were either consistent or different in regard to the FSAR (through Amendment 18) or SER. For those areas which were consistent, we have no further response. For those areas which were different, we have addressed each item individually in the enclosure (Attachment 1) and have shown that appropriate action has been taken or that none is currently required. A copy of this memorandum will be provided to EG&G prior to their audit of the revised River Bend Tech Specs against the FSAR (through Amendment 20) and the SER (through SSER No. 2).

M. Dean Houston

Dean Houston, Reactor Engineer
Technical Specification Review Group
Division of Licensing

cc: ~~R. Benedict~~
S. Bruske (EG&G)
C. Schulten

8507020079 XA

B/24

Resolution of Comments by EG&G
Regarding River Bend Technical Specifications

1. Concern

(Section I-Note*)-The Technical Specifications do not specify a change in MCPR from 1.06 to 1.07 after reload.

Resolution

The Technical Specifications address only the MCPR limit for the current core configuration. If MCPR limits change with a reload, GSU will have to request an amendment and a change to the Technical Specifications for the core configuration at that time. For the Technical Specifications at this time, no further revision is required.

2. Concern

(Section V*)-Technical Specification Table 3.6.4-1 does not list valve 1RHS-V240 at penetration 1KJB-Z20. Also, two typographical errors in valve identification were noted: 1B21A0VF1)A should be 1B21A0VF010A and 1B21A0VF)32B should be 1B21A0VF032B.

Resolution

The valve and penetration have been incorporated into Table 3.6.4-1 on page 3/4 6-43. The typographical errors have been confirmed and corrected as proposed. No further action is required.

3. Concern

(Section VIII-Item 13*)-The Technical Specifications do not specifically identify the SLCS interlock with valve C41-F031 for periodic testing.

Resolution

The applicant has indicated that the surveillance identified above is included in SR 4.1.5.b.3 which requires verification of valve position and flow paths every 31 days. No further action is required.

4. Concern

(Section VIII, Item 17*)-The Technical Specifications do not specifically identify the Digital Radiation Monitoring System (DRMS) for surveillance.

Resolution

The DRMS system provides the isolation signal for containment purge isolation valves. This function is listed as Item 1.C. in Table 3.3.2-1 and surveillance requirements for the system is listed as Item 1.C in Table 4.3.2.1-1. Specific reference to the DRMS system does not appear in the Technical Specifications. No further action is required.

*-Identity of comment in EG&G Draft Report

5. Concern

(Section III, Item 18.b*)-The Technical Specifications do not specifically discuss the periodic calibration of thermal overloads.

Resolution

Surveillance requirement 4.8.4.1.a.3 specifies testing of overcurrent protection devices such that the interruption of current will be within the associated thermal overload time delay band width for the specific current. This is intended to fulfill the calibration requirements as questioned above. No further action is required.

6. Concern

(Section VIII, Item 19*)-The Technical Specifications do not address the overcurrent devices for emergency lighting in the control room.

Resolution

Technical Specification 3.8.4.2, "Other Overcurrent Protection Devices," does cover these areas - Main Control Room Lighting and RPS Alternate Source of Power. No further action is required.

7. Concern

(Section VIII, Item 22*)-The Technical Specifications in Sections 6.9.1.5 and 6.9.1.6 do not address SRV failures as required.

Resolution

Sections 6.9.1.5 and 6.9.1.6 of the Technical Specifications have been revised to include a summary of SRV failures in the annual report and a discussion of SRV failures in the monthly report. No further action is required.

8. Concern

(Section VIII, Item 23*)-The Technical Specifications do not include the bypass timer or manual inhibit switch for the ADS system.

Resolution

The timer and switch for the ADS system have been installed and are included in the revised Technical Specifications in Tables 3.3.3-1, 3.3.3-2 and 4.3.3-1. No further action is required.