

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION I

Report Nos. 50-277/88-21
50-278/88-21

Docket Nos. 50-277
50-278

License Nos. DPR-44
DPR-56

Licensee: Philadelphia Electric Company
2301 Market Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101

Facility Name: Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station
Units 2 and 3

Type of Meeting Management Meeting

Meeting Date: June 9, 1988

Report Prepared by:

W. K. Lancaster
W. K. Lancaster, Physical Security
Inspector

7/21/88
date

Approved by:

R. R. Keimig
R. R. Keimig, Chief, Safeguards Section
FRSSB, DRSS

7-21-88
date

Meeting Summary: A management meeting was held at NRC Region I, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania, on June 9, 1988, to discuss security concerns at Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Unit: 2 and 3. These security concerns were reported in the Philadelphia Electric Company's Annual Security Audit for the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Units 2 and 3 (dated May 25, 1988) and the findings of Philadelphia Electric Company's investigation into allegations by members of the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station's security force (report dated April 15, 1988).

The two reports identified several security concerns dealing with the general performance objectives of 10 CFR 73.55(a). The meeting was attended by NRC and licensee management and lasted about 2½ hours, during which the results of the two reports and the licensee's corrective actions were discussed.

Details

1. Meeting Attendees

a. Philadelphia Electric Company

- B. Birely, Senior Licensing Engineer
- D. Helwig, General Manager, Nuclear Quality Assurance
- D. Smith, Vice President, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station (PBAPS)
- D. Meyers, Support Manager, PBAPS
- G. Bird, Auditor, Nuclear Quality Assurance
- R. Weindorfer, Director, Nuclear Plant Security

b. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

- J. Allan, Deputy Regional Administrator
- G. Sjoblom, Acting Director, Division of Radiation Safety and Safeguards
- W. Kane, Director, Division of Reactor Projects
- R. Bellamy, Chief, Facilities Radiological Safety and Safeguards Branch
- R. Keimig, Chief, Safeguards
- R. Capra, Acting Chief, Project Branch No. 2
- J. Linville, Chief, Projects Section 2A
- J. Williams, Project Engineer
- T. Johnson, Senior Resident Inspector
- R. Bailey, Physical Security Inspector
- W. Lancaster, Physical Security Inspector
- W. Kushner, Safeguards Scientist
- J. Gutierrez, Regional Attorney
- W. Butler, Project Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
- R. Skelton, Project Reviewer, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

2. Summary of Discussion

Mr. Allan opened the meeting and the attendees were introduced. Mr. Allan described the purpose of the Management Meeting and addressed the following issues:

- a. There appeared to be little evidence of prior security management planning and contractor oversight as indicated in both the 1988 Annual Audit Report and the April 15, 1988 investigation report.
- b. The security program is a significant issue for restart of the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station.
- c. Allegations by Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station security force personnel are repetitive in nature and indicative of ineffective and/or insufficient security management oversight.

- d. The proprietary Security Shift Supervisors' training program does not appear to be effective as indicated by the continuing problems being experienced.
- e. There appears to be a general lack of basic supervisory and nuclear security skills within the contractor's security force supervisory staff.

Mr. Kane expressed Region I's concern relative to short-term corrective actions and asked Mr. Smith to discuss actions that the licensee proposed to take. Mr. Smith was not prepared to discuss short-term corrective actions and asked for a two week extension to prepare a presentation which would address that subject. Mr. Smith did discuss long-term corrective actions which included: 1) hiring a new proprietary security specialist to eventually being trained to assume command of the PECO security organization; 2) reconsideration of the current security force contractor; 3) developing and implementing a new security force training program; and 4) providing more effective management oversight of the security force contractor.

Conclusion of Meeting

Mr. Allan concluded the meeting by requesting the following:

- a. Assurance in writing from the licensee that the station is presently in compliance with the general performance objectives of 10 CFR 73.55(a) and, also in writing the licensee's short-term plans to address the issues discussed at this meeting.
- b. Another Management Meeting, to be held in the near future, at which time the licensee will be expected to present its short term plans and their anticipated results, as well as to provide a status on implementation of long term plans.

Mr. Smith agreed to Mr. Allan's requests.